

COUNCIL
AGENDA

MAR 14, 1977

THE COUNCIL OF
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

A G E N D A

MONDAY, MARCH 14, 1977, 1:30 P.M.

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

1 CITY CENTRE DRIVE, MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO

Prepared by: Clerk's Department
Date: March 10, 1977
Time: 12:00 Noon

NOTE: If the above items are changed in any way, you will be advised prior to the commencement of the Meeting by the Chairman.

COUNCILLORS AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS ARE REQUESTED TO CONTACT THE APPROPRIATE DEPARTMENT HEADS PRIOR TO THE MEETING IF GREATER EXPLANATION OR DETAIL IS REQUIRED WITH REGARD TO ANY ITEM ON THE AGENDA.

E. J. Martin

Reviewed by
City Manager

1. THE LORD'S PRAYER

2. MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETINGS: February 28, 1977

3. DEPUTATIONS

(a) FILE 35-77 - REGION OF PEEL

Mr. W. West, Chairman of the Region of Peel Electrical Utility Local Study Team, together with members of the Local Study Team, will appear before Council with respect to the Resource Group Report and the preliminary proposals of the Local Study Team. (See attachment I-1. Report enclosed with Agenda).

(b) FILE 7-77 - CLERK'S GENERAL

Representatives of the Brampton and District Labour Council, will appear before Council with respect to a resolution urging the Provincial Government to withdraw its support from the Federal Governments Wage and Price Controls Program. (See attachment I-2).

4. PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD

5. CORRESPONDENCE

- (a) INFORMATION ITEMS - Attachments I-1 to I-11
- (b) ITEMS REQUIRING DIRECTION - Nil

6. NOTICES OF MOTION

7. REPORTS FROM MUNICIPAL OFFICERS

Nil

PAGE 2
MARCH 14, 1977

8. COUNCIL TO MOVE INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO CONSIDER
REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

Verbal motion

9. COMMITTEE REPORTS

- (a) GENERAL COMMITTEE REPORT - March 2, 1977
- (b) GENERAL COMMITTEE REPORT - March 9, 1977

10. COMMITTEE TO RISE

Verbal motion

11. PETITIONS - Attachment P-1

P-1 - FILE 49-77 - PETITIONS
FILE 112-77 - SURFACE TRANSIT

Letter dated February 16, 1977, together with a petition from residents in the area south of Lakeshore Road, requesting public transportation be provided along the Lakeshore Road - Lorne Park Road route. To be received. Report requested from Mr. E. Dowling.

12. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

(a) FILE 33-77 - BUDGET 1977

General Committee at its meeting held March 2, 1977, made the following recommendation:

"That the Current Budget be distributed to members at Council, March 14, 1977, with an introduction by the City Manager, and further, that budget discussions commence the first week of April and that the April calendar reflect the days necessary for budget discussion."

A schedule for current budget meetings was tentatively approved by General Committee on March 9, 1977, and it is expected that copies of the draft current budget will be available for all members of Council at this meeting.

13. BY-LAWS

Verbal motion for required number of readings.

- #95-77 - A By-law to appoint a member to the Committee of Adjustment for the City of Mississauga. (This is as recommended by Council on February 28, 1977, Resolution #133).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #96-77 - A By-law to authorize execution of a contract for municipal purposes. (Contract for the 1977 Vehicle Rental (Short Term) - Applewood Leasing Ltd. and Wilcox Truck Rentals - TR-1-1977. This is as recommended by Council on January 24, 1977, Resolution #72).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #97-77 - A By-law to authorize temporary advances not exceeding \$100,000.00 (all of which is to be debentured) pending the issue and sale of debentures. (This by-law provides for the temporary financing for the replacement and upgrading of street lighting at various locations in the City of Mississauga as set out in By-law #387-76).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #98-77 - A By-law to execute a Deed of Land. (This by-law conveys a closed portion of Southcreek Road to Markland Wood Country Club. This is as recommended by General Committee Item 1269, adopted by Council on September 27, 1976).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #382-76 - A By-law to stop up part of an allowance for road in the City of Mississauga. (This by-law is necessary as a one-foot reserve on Twinmaple Drive was lifted in error. No objections to this by-law have been received).

THIRD READING REQUIRED

13. BY-LAWS CONTINUED

- #409-76 - A By-law to stop up part of an allowance for road in the City of Mississauga. (This by-law closes part of Water Street shown as parts 3 and 4 on reference plan 43R-4177. No objections have been received to this by-law).

THIRD READING REQUIRED

- #686-76 - A By-law to stop up part of an allowance for road in the City of Mississauga. (This by-law closes part of Blundell Road being designated as part 4 on reference plan 43R-1966. No objections have been received to this by-law).

THIRD READING REQUIRED

- #24-77 - A By-law to stop up part of an allowance for road in the City of Mississauga. (This by-law closes part of the Burnhamthorpe West road allowance between Glen Erin Drive and Winston Churchill Blvd. as contained within the Minister's conditions for R. P. M-123. No objections have been received to this by-law).

THIRD READING REQUIRED

- #99-77 - A By-law to amend By-law No. 411-74, The Taxicab By-law. (This by-law amends the penalty to \$1,000.00. This is as recommended by General Committee on March 2, 1977).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #100-77 - A By-law to execute an Agreement. (This is an agreement between Menkes Development and the City of Mississauga and is a condition of the Land Division Committee approval C.A. 'B' 182 and 183/76-M to protect the City's rights in respect of levies. This is as recommended by General Committee on March 2, 1977).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED -

13. BY-LAWS CONTINUED

- #101-77 - A By-law to authorize the execution of an Agreement between N. Van Vliet, New Generation Homes and the Corporation of the City of Mississauga for landscape architect services. (This is an addendum to the agreement dated November 4, 1976 between the said parties. This is as recommended by General Committee on March 2, 1977).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #102-77 - A By-law to execute an Offer to Sell. (This is an Offer to Sell from White Birch Lands Limited for the future Lorne Park Road C.N.R. right-of-way grade separation shown as Lots 4 and 5 on Plan M-154. This is as recommended by General Committee on February 9, 1977, adopted by Council on February 14, 1977).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #103-77 - A By-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system. (This by-law establishes the lands shown as part of Lot 26, Conc. 2, S.D.S., as part of Truscott Drive).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #104-77 - A By-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system. (This by-law establishes the lands shown as part of lot 11, Conc. 5, E.H.S., as part of Lucknow Drive).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #105-77 - A By-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system. (This by-law establishes lands shown as Part of Lot 13, Conc. 1, S.D.S., as part of Cliff Road).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

PAGE 6
MARCH 14, 1977

13. BY-LAWS CONTINUED

- #106-77 - A By-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system. (This by-law establishes the lands shown as Block I, R.P. M-91, as part of Burnhamthorpe Road West).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #107-77 - A By-law to authorize the execution of an Engineering Agreement between Cadillac-Fairview Corporation Limited and the Corporation of the City of Mississauga. (File T-23581, Neighbourhood 101A, Lands located south of Burnhamthorpe Road between Erin Mills Parkway and Mississauga Road).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #108-77 - A By-law to authorize the execution of an Engineering Agreement between Cadillac-Fairview Corporation Limited and the Corporation of the City of Mississauga. (File T-23581, Neighbourhood 106A, Lands located south of Burnhamthorpe Road between Erin Mills Parkway and Mississauga Road).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #109-77 - A By-law to authorize the execution of an Engineering Agreement between Cadillac-Fairview Corporation Limited and the City of Mississauga. (File T-23581, Neighbourhood 101B and 106B, Lands located south of Burnhamthorpe Road between Erin Mills Parkway and Mississauga Road).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

13. BY-LAWS CONTINUED

- #110-77 - A By-law to authorize the execution of an Engineering Agreement between Cadillac-Fairview Corporation Limited and the City of Mississauga. (File T-23581 - This agreement is submitted in connection with works in the Sawmill Creek Valley, Neighbourhoods 101, 106 and 109).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #111-77 - A By-law to authorize the execution of an Engineering Agreement between Cadillac-Fairview Corporation Limited and the City of Mississauga. (File T-23581 - This agreement is submitted in connection with works for the construction and re-alignment of Burnhamthorpe Road in Neighbourhoods 101A, 101B, 106A, 106B and 109).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #112-77 - A By-law to convey part of Lot 2, Range 1, Credit Indian Reserve, City of Mississauga, Regional Municipality of Peel. (As a result of a re-alignment to the entrance of the Craigie Orchard Condominium townhouse development, it is necessary to convey back a one-foot reserve shown as Part 2, on Reference Plan 43R-3174 and for the developer to convey a one-foot reserve to the City).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #113-77 - A By-law to amend By-law No. 234-75, as amended. (This by-law designates 2121 Roche Court, 1750 Bloor Street, 1100 Caven Street, 45 Paisley Blvd. West, 3395 Ponytail Drive and 3355 Ponytail Drive as Fire Routes. This is as recommended by General Committee on March 9, 1977).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

PAGE 8
MARCH 14, 1977

13. BY-LAWS CONTINUED

- #114-77 - A By-law to amend By-law No. 234-75, as amended. (This by-law prohibits vehicles other than school buses in school bus loading zones. This is as recommended by General Committee on March 9, 1977).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #115-77 - A By-law to authorize the execution of an Engineering Agreement between Seventh Series Limited and the City of Mississauga. (This agreement is with respect to a storm sewer for parking lot extension for Park 'N Fly on Campus Road, east of Caroga Drive. File C.A. 'A' 274/74. This is as recommended by General Committee on March 9, 1977).

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

14. MOTIONS

- (a) To adopt General Committee Report of March 2, 1977.
- (b) To adopt General Committee Report of March 9, 1977.
- (c) Motion to assume works and release securities with respect to Sheridan Homelands, Phase VII, R.P. 824.
- (d) Motion to assume works and release securities with respect to Sheridan Homelands, Phase VIII, R.P. 824

14. MOTIONS CONTINUED

- (e) Motion to assume works and release securities with respect to Kensington Estates, R.P. M-16.
- (f) Motion to advise the Ontario Municipal Board that By-law 77-77 is in conformity with the Official Plan for the City of Mississauga Planning Area.
- (g) Motion to advise the Ontario Municipal Board that By-law 84-77 is in conformity with the Official Plan for the City of Mississauga Planning Area.
- (h) Motion to advise the Ontario Municipal Board that By-law 89-77 is in conformity with the Official Plan for the City of Mississauga Planning Area.
- (i) Motion to advise the Ontario Municipal Board that By-law 90-77 is in conformity with the Official Plan for the City of Mississauga Planning Area.
- (j) Motion to advise the Ontario Municipal Board that By-law 91-77 is in conformity with the Official Plan for the City of Mississauga Planning Area.
- (k) Motion to advise the Ontario Municipal Board that By-law 92-77 is in conformity with the Official Plan for the City of Mississauga Planning Area.
- (l) To make application to the Ontario Municipal Board for approval of City of Mississauga Restricted Area By-law No. 77-77.
- (m) Motion re Mississauga Firefighters Golf Tournament.
(H. McCallion)
- (n) Motion re self-serve gasoline stations. (F. McKechnie)
- (o) Motion re streetlighting on Hwy 10 south of Hwy. 401 to the existing streetlighting.

15. NEW BUSINESS

16. BY-LAW TO CONFIRM PROCEEDINGS OF COUNCIL AT THIS MEETING

17. ADJOURNMENT




Regional Municipality of Peel

Electrical Utility Restructuring

8 George Street South
Brampton
L6Y 1P2
Telephone (416) 453-0910

Chairman Wilbert E. West



I-1

February 23, 1977.

Mr. T.L. Julian,
City Clerk,
City of Mississauga,
1 City Centre Drive,
Mississauga, Ontario.

Dear Mr. Julian:

Further to our recent telephone conversation, I am writing you on behalf of the Region of Peel Electrical Utility Local Study Team to request that the Chairman and Members of the Local Study Team be afforded the opportunity of appearing before your Council at its meeting of March 14th., 1977 at 1:30P.M. to present the Resource Group Report and the preliminary proposals of the Local Study Team. Copies of both reports will be forwarded to you for Councils' information.

As you may already be aware, the Local Study Team has been meeting since the autumn of 1974 and is now entering the final phase of its deliberations. When comments on the preliminary findings of the Local Study Team have been received, the Local Study Team will present its report to the Provincial Steering Committee for consideration by the Government of Ontario. The members of Public Utility Commissions in your municipality will also be asked to attend this presentation.

At this time, I would also ask that you confirm that your Council Chambers will be available on March 22nd. at 8:00 P.M. in order that the Local Study Team might hold a public meeting on this same matter.

Your consideration of this request is most appreciated, and I look forward to hearing from you at the earliest opportunity.

Yours Sincerely,

Larry Button
Larry Button for
J.W. Dillane,
Secretary to the
Local Study Team.

TO BE RECEIVED.



Regional Municipality of Peel

I-1(a)

Electrical Utility Restructuring

8 George Street South
Brampton
L6Y 1P2
Telephone (416) 453-0910

Chairman Wilbert West

FEBRUARY 25, 1977

FOR RELEASE
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 28/

NEWS RELEASE

PUBLIC INPUT SOUGHT IN UTILITY RESTRUCTURING STUDY

THE LOCAL STUDY TEAM INVESTIGATING THE RESTRUCTURING OF ELECTRICAL UTILITIES IN THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL WILL RECEIVE ITS FIRST OFFICIAL PUBLIC INPUT NEXT WEEK.

WILBERT WEST OF BRAMPTON, CHAIRMAN OF THE STUDY TEAM, HAS ANNOUNCED THE DATES FOR PUBLIC MEETINGS IN CALEDON, MISSISSAUGA AND BRAMPTON.

THE FIRST MEETING WILL BE HELD NEXT MONDAY, MARCH 7, 1977 AT 7:30 P.M. IN THE CALEDON COUNCIL CHAMBER.

SUBSEQUENT MEETINGS ARE SCHEDULED FOR MISSISSAUGA SQUARE ONE AUDITORIUM ON MARCH 16, 1977 AT 8:00 P.M. AND IN THE BRAMPTON COUNCIL CHAMBER ON MARCH 29, 1977 AT 7:30 P.M.

MR. WEST NOTED THAT THE PUBLIC ALSO HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO ATTEND MEETINGS OF ALL THREE COUNCILS AT WHICH TIME THE SAME REPORTS WILL BE DISCUSSED. THESE ARE CALEDON COUNCIL MARCH 7, 1977 AT 10:00 A.M. AND MISSISSAUGA COUNCIL MARCH 14, 1977 AT 1:30 P.M. AND BRAMPTON COUNCIL MARCH 14, 1977 AT 7:30 P.M.

THE RESTRUCTURING STUDY WAS ESTABLISHED LATE IN 1975 IN ORDER TO RATIONALIZE THE ELECTRICAL SUPPLY SERVICE WITHIN THE REGION. MR. WEST EXPLAINED THAT WHEN THE REGION WAS ESTABLISHED, THREE TOWNS DISAPPEARED. THESE WERE STREETSVILLE, PORT CREDIT AND BOLTON. HOWEVER THE UTILITIES REMAINED AND THE RESULT HAS BEEN CUSTOMER CONFUSION OVER SERVICE POLICIES AND DISPARITIES IN RATES.

HE POINTED OUT THAT IN BOTH BRAMPTON AND CALEDON, CUSTOMERS NOW SERVED BY ONTARIO HYDRO PAY HIGHER RATES THAN DO THOSE SERVED BY THE LOCAL UTILITY.

MISSISSAUGA'S RATES VARY BETWEEN THE SUPPLY AUTHORITIES SERVING THAT MUNICIPALITY.

THE OBJECTIVE, SAID MR. WEST, IS TO RESOLVE THE INEQUITIES AND IMPROVE SERVICE. HE NOTED THAT A TECHNICAL TEAM HAD REPORTED THAT ONE REGION-WIDE UTILITY WAS ECONOMICALLY VIABLE AS WERE THREE AREA UTILITIES, ONE EACH FOR MISSISSAUGA, BRAMPTON AND CALEDON. UTILIZING THIS INFORMATION THE STUDY TEAM HAD DEBATED THE PROS AND CONS OF EACH AND HAD COME TO THE PRELIMINARY CONCLUSION THAT INDIVIDUAL UTILITIES WOULD WORK IN MISSISSAUGA AND BRAMPTON TO RESOLVE THE SERVICE PROBLEMS THERE BUT THAT IN CALEDON THE STATUS QUO SHOULD REMAIN. THIS WOULD MEAN THAT ONTARIO HYDRO WOULD CONTINUE TO SERVE RURAL CUSTOMERS WHILE BOLTON HYDRO WOULD REMAIN AS IT IS.

FEBRUARY 25, 1977

PUBLIC INPUT SOUGHT IN UTILITY RESTRUCTURING STUDY

WE ARE NOW AT THE POINT WHERE WE ARE SEEKING INPUT FROM THE PEOPLE THE UTILITIES SERVE. WE WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM INDUSTRY, FARMERS, RURAL AND URBAN RESIDENTS AND BUSINESS GROUPS AS WELL AS THE UTILITY EMPLOYEES. WE ARE LAYING THE FACTS ON THE TABLE NOW AND ARE ANXIOUS TO RECEIVE SUBMISSIONS FROM COUNCILS, UTILITY COMMISSIONS, CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATIONS, COMMUNITY RATEPAYERS GROUPS, AGRICULTURAL SOCIETIES OR ANYONE WHO IS INTERESTED. COPIES OF THE REPORT ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE REGIONAL CLERK, CAN BE VIEWED AT ANY INVOLVED UTILITY OFFICE OR ANY PUBLIC LIBRARY WITHIN THE REGION. WE ARE UNABLE TO PROVIDE AS MANY COPIES AS WE WOULD LIKE BUT WE WILL DO OUR BEST TO ENSURE THAT THEY ARE PROVIDED TO ALL THOSE WHO REQUIRE THEM", SAID MR. WEST.

THE DEADLINE FOR RECEIVING WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS HAS BEEN SET AT MARCH 30, 1977.

THE STUDY TEAM HOPES TO MAKE A REPORT BY EARLY APRIL TO THE PROVINCIAL STEERING COMMITTEE WHICH MUST THEN REFER IT TO ONTARIO HYDRO AND THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT.

CONTACT: WILBERT WEST
416 - 451-1460



Regional Municipality of Peel

Electrical Utility Restructuring

6 George Street South
Brampton
L6Y 1P2
Telephone (416) 453-8910

Chairman William E. West

NOTICE

I-1(c)

The PUBLIC MEETING originally scheduled to be held
March 29th., 1977 at 7:30 P.M. in the Brampton Council
Chambers will be held:

MARCH 29th., 1977

at 8:00 P.M.

in the AUDITORIUM of

CENTRAL PEEL SECONDARY SCHOOL

Kennedy Road North of Queen Street East,
in Brampton.

J.W. Dillane,
Secretary to the
Local Study Team.
(416) 457-9400 Ext.276.

1-2

**BRAMPTON
AND
DISTRICT
LABOUR
COUNCIL**

CHARTERED BY CANADIAN LABOUR CONGRESS
AFFILIATED ONT. FED. OF LABOUR
MEMBER RELIGION LABOUR COUNCIL

P.O. BOX 36
BRAMPTON, ONTARIO

BE IT RESOLVED

That the Brampton and District Labour Council
calls upon the Municipal Government in the best interest of
their constituents to urge and persuade the Provincial
Government to withdraw its support from the Federal Govern-
ments Wage and Price Controls Program when it comes up for
renewal at the end of March 1977.

TO BE RECEIVED.



I-3

February 21st, 1977

~~TO: Councils of all Municipalities in Ontario~~

The following resolution which is self-explanatory was passed by the Council of the Town of Valley East on February 1st 1977:

"WHEREAS our northern climate does not facilitate proper information dispersal by candidates to electors, and,

WHEREAS, the nearness of this date to Christmas, the financial strain at this time of the year, and the high possibility of inclement weather is badly affecting voters' turnout,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Council of the Town of Valley East solicit:

- 1) Other Municipal Council in the Province,
- 2) The Ontario Government,
- 3) The Association of Municipalities of Ontario

to amend the Municipal Election Act to read that Ontario Municipal Elections shall be held on the first Monday in November beginning in the next election year 1978.


We would request that this resolution be placed before your council for consideration and if endorsed, would you please inform the Premier of Ontario, your M.P.P. and the Town of Valley East.

E. Lelièvre

E. Lelièvre
Clerk

TO BE RECEIVED.

NOTE: A COPY OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA'S RECOMMENDATION REGARDING ELECTION DATES WAS FORWARDED TO THE TOWN OF VALLEY EAST



I-3(a)

March 3, 1977.

Mr. E. Lelievre, Clerk
The Corporation of the Town
of Valley East
C.P.-P.O. BOX 430
VAL CARON, Ontario, ROM 3A0


Dear Mr. Lelievre:

Re: Municipal Elections
File No. 7-77

Further to your letter dated February 21, 1977, and the resolution passed by your Council regarding Municipal Elections, enclosed herewith is a copy of my letter of February 21, 1977, outlining the recommendations of the City of Mississauga in regard to holding Municipal Elections in November.

These recommendations have been presented to General Committee and adopted. The recommendations have yet to be ratified by Council and then will be forwarded to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario for their information.

Yours very truly,



Terence L. Julian, A.M.C.T.,
City Clerk.

/1

enc.

I-4

John B.
Keyser

John B. Keyser, LL.B., Barrister & Solicitor 903 O'Connor Drive, Toronto, Ontario M4B 3T1 (416) 751-3616

February 25th, 1977.

T. L. Julian, Esq.,
Clerk,
City of Mississauga,
1 City Centre Drive,
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	1936
DATE	MAR 1 1977
FILE NO.	2-77 32-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

Dear Mr. Julian:

I want to take this opportunity to extend to His Worship, the Mayor of the City of Mississauga and the Members of the Council of the Corporation of the City of Mississauga for my appointment to the Committee of Adjustment for a further three year period commencing the year 1976.

I just recently received a copy of the Enacting By-Law and realized that I had not taken an opportunity to extend my appreciation. It has been a most rewarding experience to be able to serve my community and the Corporation in this fashion and I do look forward to continuing as a Member of the Committee of Adjustment. At the same time I want to thank the Chairman and the Members of the Committee of Adjustment for their co-operation.

Yours very truly,


JOHN B. KEYSER

JBK/bn

TO BE RECEIVED.

I-5

4681 Feb. 15, 1977.

Mr. Arthur Lindala, President,
Mother's Pizza Parlour Ltd.,
Mother's Pizza Parlour,
1050 Dundas Street East,
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario
L4Y 2B8

APPLICATION FOR
DINING ROOM LICENCE.

Dear Sir:

Re: Mother's Pizza Parlour,
1050 Dundas Street East,
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario.

Further to the Board's favourable decision with regard to the above application, please find enclosed the plans which have been examined relative to the pertinent sections of the regulations under the Liquor Licence Act, 1975.

The plans indicate the following:

1. Dining Room No.1 (Main Floor)-----162 persons,
2. Dining Room No.2 (Main Floor)-----64 persons,
3. Dining Room No.3 (Main Floor)-----50 persons.

As noted on the plans, the building and facilities are subject to the requirements of Ontario Regulation 1006 under the Liquor Licence Act 1975, sections 13 to 18, where applicable.

Our local Inspector will follow the progress of the work, in accordance with the plans, and notify head office at its completion.

Yours very truly,

TO BE RECEIVED.

Irene Svetlowski,
Plan Examiner.

NOTE: Applications are subject to the requirements of municipal agencies concerned.

I-6

83 Northcrest Drive
Weston, Ontario

March 7th, 1977.

City of Mississauga,
1 City Centre Drive,
Mississauga, Ontario.

Attention: Mrs. E. McCallion

Dear Mrs. McCallion:

It is my privilege this year to host the Mississauga Firefighters Annual Golf Tournament.

Unfortunately, in the past we haven't been able to acquire a golf course in the City of Mississauga. I would like to reserve Lakeview Golf Club in early September for the tournament.

In previous years, the Royal Canadian Legion and the R.C.G.A. Canadian Open qualifications have been held at Lakeview. Our attempts to acquire it have failed.

I would appreciate any information you could give in the availability of the Lakeview Golf Club, which is municipality owned and operated.

Thanking you for any assistance you can give me, I remain,


Yours truly,

Paul Osmond

Paul D. Osmond

Station 8
Streetsville, Ontario
826-2136

po



SOCIAL PLANNING COUNCIL OF PEEL

93 DUNDAS ST. EAST, SUITE 105

MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO L5A 1W7

TELEPHONE 270-2272 • 270-2937

TERRA COTTA HOUSING CO-OPERATIVE INCORPORATED

**CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
1 City Centre Drive
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO**

TO ALL COUNCILLORS:

**RE: MUNICIPAL ENDORSEMENT OF THE PROVINCIAL NON-PROFIT RENT SUPPLEMENT
PROGRAM.**

The Terra Cotta Housing Co-operative Incorporated (the "Co-op") has been formed by a group of concerned Peel residents to provide and promote affordable housing. The Co-op is an outgrowth of the Housing Committee of the Social Planning Council of Peel.

We are writing to you to urge your support of the recommendations for municipal endorsement of the Provincial Non-Profit Rent Supplement Program ("Community Sponsored Housing Program").

The following recommendation which has been passed by General Committee will be considered by the Council on Monday, March 14th at 1:30 P.M. :

"That the City endorse the Provincial Non-Profit Rent Supplement Program and agree to provide sufficient funds to cover 75% of the costs incurred to operate rent supplement units within the municipality".

The Terra Cotta Housing Co-operative Incorporated hopes you will support the aforementioned recommendation. The adoption of the proposed recommendation will: certainly encourage the provision of more affordable housing; integrate rent geared to income tenants into the community; and perhaps most importantly, make possible the development of co-operative housing in Mississauga.

Yours truly,

J. Cuthbert
James Cuthbert
Secretary.

TO BE RECEIVED.



A PARTICIPATING MEMBER ORGANIZATION OF THE PEEL UNITED WAY

I-8

Ministry of
Housing


Community Housing

101 Bloor St. West
Toronto, Ontario

416/965-9630

March 1st, 1977

His Worship Mayor Ronald Searle
Mayor of the City of Mississauga
1 City Centre Drive
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario
L5B 1M2




Dear Mayor Searle:

Re: City of Mississauga (Streetsville) O.R. 2 - 60 Senior Citizen Units

We are pleased to advise you that the Ministry of Housing will be advertising a modified tender call on March 7th, 1977, for the construction of 60 Senior Citizen Units on a site owned by the Corporation in the City of Mississauga.

This call will close on April 12th, 1977.

Yours truly


Jim Rushton
Contracts Officer

c.c. Mr. T. L. Julian, Clerk of the City of Mississauga

TO BE RECEIVED.



Ontario

Minister

Ministry of
Natural
Resources

February 22, 1977

1-4

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	416/088-1371
DATE	MAR 3 1977
FILE NO.	54-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

Whitney Block
Queen's Park
Toronto Ontario

MEMORANDUM TO:

Wardens, Mayors, Reeves and Regional Chairmen
of Municipalities in Ontario

SUBJECT: Planning for Flood Emergencies

A year ago, the Honourable Leo Bernier, as my predecessor as Minister of Natural Resources, acquainted municipal councils in Ontario with a new responsibility for Provincial Response to Flood Emergencies assigned to this Ministry by the Government.

Reaction to the new program was favorable and encouraging and, as a consequence, a great deal of effort has been expended on flood contingency planning during the past year. Municipalities, Conservation Authorities, and Ministry staffs have been working together to clarify their relative responsibilities under this program, and to develop interlocking contingency plans.

The purpose of this letter is to remind municipal councils that the time of year is rapidly approaching when flooding problems are most likely to occur on both the Great Lakes and inland waters. Completed municipal flood contingency plans should be reviewed, examined and, where appropriate, updated to ensure that the information and action plans they contain are current, and that the plans will be effective when implementation is required.

I urge those municipalities which have not completed flood contingency plans to place a high priority on their completion.

Ministry local Response Co-ordinators are available to the municipalities for discussion and guidance. Municipalities lying within watersheds under jurisdiction of Conservation Authorities may obtain from their Authorities information which is essential for effective flood contingency planning. The Authorities generally can advise municipalities concerning such matters as probability of flooding

TO BE RECEIVED.
COPIES HAS BEEN SENT
TO W. TAYLOR.

Page - 4

T-9(a)


Wardens, Mayors, Reeves and Regional Chairmen
of Municipalities in Ontario
February 15, 1977

and flood warning systems, as well as the nature of any emergency assistance which may be available from them.

Ministry stock of the booklet "Planning for Flood Emergencies", prepared as a guide for municipal flood contingency planning, is being reprinted and copies will be available soon from District Offices of the Ministry of Natural Resources.

The potential for flooding this year in the province, especially in Southern Ontario, generally is somewhat above normal. It is particularly important, therefore, that responsible municipal officials maintain a careful watch on the development of conditions likely to cause flooding. Some Conservation Authorities can provide detailed information relative to weather and streamflow. When there is cause for concern and this information is not available from an Authority, it may be obtained under the provincial flood warning system through the Ministry hydrometeorologist, Mr. D.W.B. McMullen, Conservation Authorities Branch, Queen's Park. He may be reached by telephone during office hours at (416) 965-6292, and after office hours and on weekends at (416) 277-8618.

Further information concerning this program can be obtained from the local ministry Response Co-ordinators at Ministry District Offices.



Frank S. Miller
Minister.



Ontario
Municipal
Board

Mr. W. Munden,
Treasurer,
City of Mississauga,
1 City Centre Drive,
MISSISSAUGA, ONT. L5B 1M2.

416/595-1912

180 Dundas St. West,
Toronto, Ontario,
M5G 1E5

Quote File Number

February 28, 1977

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	2176
DATE	MAR 7 1977
FILE NO.	
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

Dear Sir:

Re: Proposed Capital Expenditure Projects

You are requested to bring this letter to the attention of your Council as soon as possible.

In a letter dated February 16, 1977, certain directions were given to the Board by the Treasurer of Ontario with respect to applications by municipalities for approval of new capital expenditures. The Board was advised that a copy of that letter was sent to each of the municipalities in Ontario.

The Board was directed to continue the approach taken in 1976 and to examine every such application and to refer back to the applicant any for which the necessity was not demonstrated.

The Board was further directed to particularly examine the following types of projects:

1. Recreation and community centres as well as cultural facilities;
2. Road, sewerage and water projects, the service levels of which are well beyond a municipality's immediate needs;
3. Projects such as new buildings aimed at improving general administration rather than direct services to ratepayers.

TO BE RECEIVED.

/Those

I-10(a)

. 2 .


Those municipalities required to file a 5-year capital expenditure forecast in order to have a "quota" established by the Board for the year 1977 should give regard to the foregoing. Supporting evidence of necessity should be filed with the forecast. Depending on the sufficiency of such evidence or lack of it, the Board may or may not establish a quota including one or more of such projects. Alternatively, the Board in its discretion may leave the question of necessity and expediency to be determined at a public hearing or by a vote of the electors.

Those municipalities not required to file a 5-year forecast must submit the supporting evidence with the application for approval of any such project. Here again, the Board will exercise its discretion to approve, require a public hearing or direct a vote.

Notwithstanding the fact that the assent of the electors is not required for certain undertakings such as hospitals, parks, playgrounds, arenas (excluding repairs to existing arenas), community centres, museums, etc., under paragraphs 37, 44, 70, 71 and 74 of Section 352 of The Municipal Act, the Board will not approve any such application unless notice of the application has been advertised to ascertain if there are any objections or a vote of the electors has been held and the necessity and expediency has been demonstrated.

If the council of a metropolitan or regional municipality wishes to include a project of the types mentioned in the letter of the Treasurer of Ontario in the 5-year capital expenditure forecast for the year 1977, submitted on its own behalf and on behalf of its area municipalities for establishment of 1977 quotas, it is the responsibility of the regional council to decide initially what projects should be included, and secondly, to provide the Board with evidence of necessity.

Yours very truly,



W. Shub
Chairman



I-11

J. A. McNEVIN, B.A. 1924-1929
FRANK E. GEE, B.A. 1924-1929
L. G. O'CONNOR, B.A. 1924-1929
JAMES E. GEE, LL.B.

McNEVIN, GEE & O'CONNOR
BARRISTERS, SOLICITORS, ETC.
43 WILLIAM STREET, NORTH
CHATHAM, ONTARIO
N7M 5X1

MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 88
TELEPHONE 267-8400
AREA CODE 510

March 3, 1977.

**TO ALL PARTIES AFFECTED BY THE ATTACHED ORDER
OF THE ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD, E.B.R.O.-343-II-2
GRANTING TO UNION GAS LIMITED AN INTERIM
INCREASE IN ITS RATES**

As Solicitors for Union Gas Limited ("Union"), we recently served you with an Application from Union to the Ontario Energy Board ("the Board") to authorize Union to increase its rates and charges effective March 1, 1977 in the manner therein set forth.

After a public hearing, the Board has now issued E.B.R.O.-343-II-2 arising from the above mentioned Application. The Board has directed that a copy of this Order be served on you and it is enclosed with this registered letter.

Yours very truly,

McNEVIN, GEE & O'CONNOR,

per: *L. G. O'Connor*

LGO'C:RT
Enc.(1)

REGISTERED MAIL



TO BE RECEIVED.



Ontario
Energy
Board

E.B.R.O. 343-II-2

I-11(a)

IN THE MATTER OF The Ontario Energy Board
Act, R.S.O. 1970, Chapter 312 and in
particular Section 19 thereof;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by
Union Gas Limited to the Ontario Energy
Board for an Order or for Orders approving
or fixing just and reasonable rates and
other charges for the sale, distribution,
transmission and storage of gas;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by
Union Gas Limited to the Ontario Energy
Board pursuant to Section 15(8) and Section
19 of the said Act for an Interim Order
approving or fixing rates, pending the
final disposition of the Application
referred to in the paragraph above.

BEFORE:	S. J. Wychowaneec, Q.C. Presiding Member	} Tuesday, the 22nd and Wednesday, the 23rd days of February, 1977.
	A.B. Jackson, Q.C. Board Chairman	

ORDER

UPON the Application of Union Gas Limited ("Union")
to the Ontario Energy Board ("the Board") dated the 4th day
of November, 1975 ("the Main Application") for an Order or
Orders to approve or fix just and reasonable rates and other
charges for the sale of gas and for the storage and trans-
portation of gas for others; upon the Main Application still
pending before the Board and Union by Application dated the
20th day of January, 1977 ("the said Application") having
applied to the Board pursuant to Section 19 and Section
15(8) of The Ontario Energy Board Act, R.S.O. 1970, Chapter 312

I-11(b)

("the said Act") for an Interim Order authorizing it to increase its rates and charges in the manner therein set forth, pending the final disposition of the Koin Application, and, dispensing with the determination of a rate base; upon the said Application and Notice of Application and Hearing thereof having been duly served and published as directed by the Board making provision for a hearing of the said Application by the Board at its Offices at Toronto, Ontario, the 10th day of February, 1977; upon Union having applied to the Board for a postponement of the hearing of the said Application and the Board having issued a Procedural Order dated the 28th day of January, 1977 changing the hearing date of the said Application to the 22nd day of February, 1977; upon the said Procedural Order having been served and published as directed by the Board and the said Application coming on for hearing by the Board at the postponed time aforesaid, in the presence of Counsel for Union, Counsel for the Board, Counsel for The Consumers' Gas Company, the City of Kitchener, Dow Chemical of Canada Limited and Canadian Industries Limited and representatives of the City of Windsor and TransCanada Pipelines Limited; upon the Board then hearing the evidence adduced and receiving the Exhibits filed and hearing such of Counsel and representatives aforesaid as desired to be heard and then reserving decision; upon the Board subsequently issuing Reasons for Decision dated the 1st day of March, 1977, directing the issuance of this Order in accordance therewith;

I-111

Pursuant to Section 19 and Section 15(8) of the said Act:

1. THIS BOARD DOETH ORDER that the determination of a rate base for Union is dispensed with at this time.
2. THIS BOARD DOETH FURTHER ORDER that notwithstanding any existing Decision or underlying Order of the Board with respect to rates to be charged or charges to be made by Union for the sale, transportation or storage of gas, pending the final determination of the Main Application and subject to any further relative Order the Board may make, Union be and it is hereby authorized to increase its current rates and charges and the customer shall pay such increase in the manner following, that is to say:
 - (a) effective with consumption on and after March 1, 1977;
 - (i) an increase of 9.05 cents per Mcf to its regular rate customers, to those who purchase gas under contracts which do not contain a price escalation clause, in the commodity charge under Rate #8 and in the commodity charge set out in the firm service portion of Rate #9;
 - (ii) an increase in the interruptible portion of Rate #9 and to all customers purchasing under contracts containing a price escalation clause, in accordance with the appropriate escalation clause in the individual contract;
 - (iii) an increase of 8.70 cents per Mcf in the minimum and maximum prices prescribed in Rates #5, #6, #7, #10 and #11 and in the interruptible portion of Rate #9.
 - (b) effective January 1, 1977, Rate #12 in the form hereunto attached shall apply in the manner therein set forth to all service therein prescribed.

1-11(9)

3. THIS BOARD DOETH FURTHER ORDER that effective on and after the dates therein set forth, the rates and charges set forth in Rate #1, #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, #8, #9, #10, #11 and #12, applied in the manner therein set forth, are just and reasonable and are hereby fixed and approved to be charged by Union and to be paid to Union for the various services therein prescribed, pending the final determination of the Main Application but subject to such further Order as the Board may make.

4. THIS BOARD DOETH FURTHER ORDER that the increase in gas cost to Union resulting from an increase effective January 1, 1977 in the charges from TransCanada Pipelines Limited to Union, shall be reflected on and after January 1, 1977 in the application of the YCRR formula under Rate #12.

5. THIS BOARD DOETH FURTHER ORDER that the interim rates approved by this Order shall be subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The interim rates hereby authorized are subject to full examination by the Board at a hearing of the current Main Application and save and except for those amounts which Union may already pass on without this Order to customers who purchase under contracts containing an escalation clause, may be altered or changed as the Board may direct, and Union shall refund to its customers such amount as the Board may direct or make such other adjustments as the Board may direct with respect to the amounts collected pursuant to this Order that are found to be excessive as a result of such examination;
- (ii) Union shall keep accurate account pending examination and further order by the Board, in detail of all amounts collected pursuant to this interim Order specifying on whose behalf such amounts are paid, so that the Board may be in a position after such exami-

I-11(2)

- 5 -


persons on whose behalf such amounts were paid, such portion thereof as may be found appropriate by the Board;

- (iii) with the first bills rendered to customers, (other than those who purchase under contracts containing escalation clauses) incorporating the increases authorized under this Order Union, shall send each affected customer Notice in a form approved by the Board indicating that the increased rate is interim and subject to possible adjustment as the Board may direct;
- (iv) that nothing in this Order contained shall relieve Union from the burden of proof upon review of the increases authorized by this Order, that the same are just and reasonable.

6. AND THIS BOARD DOETH FURTHER find that the interim rate increases approved by this Order are in compliance with The Anti-Inflation Act and the Guidelines.

ISSUED at Toronto, this 2nd day of March, 1977.

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD



S.A.C. Thomas
Secretary to the Board

GENERAL COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL

MARCH 2, 1977

REPORT NO. 11-77

To: The Mayor and Members of Mississauga Council.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:

The General Committee of Council presents its eleventh report and recommends:

281. That the application for rezoning, OZ-89-74, Merit Homes Inc., be deferred for a month to await a detailed report from the Commissioner of Planning on the progress of the plan on the North-North Dixie Community and further that the Council give consideration to processing of the application at that time if a conclusion has not been reached.

(04-281-77)

OZ-89-74
12-77

282. That the presentation of Towering Developments be received and the process be continued as outlined in Amendment 248 and further that a deadline of April 13, 1977 be established for this process.

(04-282-77)

Amendment #248
OZ-57-74
OZ-74-75

283. (a) That the Property Section of the Clerk's Department continue to prepare the estimates for the 5% levy, and upon notification of the applicants of the value of the 5%, that they be advised this evaluation is subject to review after a period of six (6) months.
- (b) That the City's policy of levying the cash contribution in lieu of the 5% dedication of land based on the estimated gross market value of all lots and blocks within subdivisions (or lands subject to rezoning) be continued.
- (c) That requests to the Property Section of the Clerk's Department for 5% appraisals should be made by the Clerk (or Secretary of the Land Division Committee) upon receipt of the rezoning by-law or receipt of the decision of the Land Division Committee.

MARCH 2, 1977

ITEM 283 CONTINUED

- (d) That the present policy of the developer's right to appeal the 5% appraisal to Council be retained provided that the evidence to support that appeal be submitted to the Property Section for analysis and comment and provided that a time limit of 15 days from the date of the Clerk's notification of the amount of the levy is established.
- (e) That lands that are to be conveyed to the Board of Education at a fixed price be valued at that price.

(04-283-77)

120-77
34-77

284. That the sum of \$338,450.00 be accepted as the cash payment in lieu of the 5% land dedication in connection with application T-74154, Queensgate Investments Limited, North West intersection of the Queensway and Stavebank Road, comprising 62 residential lots zoned R-2 and 76 residential lots zoned R-3 in addition a reserve block with an area of approximately 14,364 square feet zoned R-2 plus a block intended for a school site with an area of 9 acres zoned R-2.

(04-284-77)

T-74154

285. That the Current Budget be distributed to members at Council, March 14, 1977, with an introduction by the City Manager, and further that budget discussions commence the first week of April and that the April calendar reflect the days necessary for budget discussion.

(04-285-77)

33-77

286. That the information contained in the report dated February 24, 1977 from the Commissioner of Finance regarding the 1977 Capital Budget, be received.

(04-286-77)

33-77

MARCH 2, 1977

287. (a) That the City endorse the Provincial Non-Profit Rent-Supplement Program and agree to provide sufficient funds to cover 7-1/2% of the costs incurred to operate rent supplement units within the municipality.
- (b) That the City request the Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation to make the necessary arrangements to allow City Building Inspectors to inspect proposed non-profit projects prior to their issuance of work orders so as to ensure that local by-law requirements are met where possible.
- (c) That Brooks Co-operative Homes be advised of the above recommendation.

(04-287-77) 7-77

288. (a) That the City Solicitor advise the Municipal Liaison Committee and the proper government agency of Council's concern regarding the conversion of apartments to shared ownership through a "Tenancy in Common Offering".
- (b) That the Province be requested to have the necessary legislation amended to prohibit this type of conversion.

(04-288-77) 181-77

289. That the proposed by-law to amend Section 69 of By-law 411-74, the Taxicab By-law, which amends the penalty to \$1,000. be passed by Council.

(04-289-77) 9-77A

290. That the proposed agreement dated December 21, 1976, between the City of Mississauga and Menkes Developments Inc. with reference to C.A. 'B' 182 and 183/76-M be executed by the City.

(04-290-77) 66-77

MARCH 2, 1977

291. That the current coverage outlined in the Crime Insurance Policy be endorsed and deposited with the City Treasurer for safekeeping.
- (04-291-77) 1-77
40-77
292. That the letter prepared by the City Clerk regarding the feasibility of holding Municipal Elections in November rather than December be forwarded to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario.
- (04-292-77) 183-76
7-77
293. That Councillor Spence remain on the Mississauga Historical Foundation and Councillor Butt be appointed as a new member for the year 1977.
- (04-293-77) 2-77
136-77
294. That Resolution 253 adopted by Council in April, 1976 be rescinded and a new resolution passed adopting the following recommendations, which shall apply to plans of subdivision which have not yet received draft plan approval as of March 14, 1977:
- (a) That the minimum road allowance in local streets (as determined by the Engineering and Planning Departments) be 56 feet.
 - (b) That the minimum pavement width for a residential street be 28 feet.
 - (c) Cul-de-sacs shall not exceed 300 feet in length, but may be considered on an individual basis having regard for, among other things, the number of vehicles per day, emergency access, and pavement widths.

MARCH 2, 1977

ITEM 294 CONTINUED

- (d) The parking standard (excluding condominium or rental projects having self-contained parking off internal driveways) to be 2.5 spaces per unit, of which 0.5 spaces are for visitors, and may be accommodated on street where circumstances permit.
- (i) On local streets, sidewalks will be required on one side only.
 - (ii) Cul-de-sacs which service single family and/or semi-detached dwellings only, will not require a sidewalk on either side.
 - (iii) Cul-de-sacs which service developments other than single-family or semi-detached dwellings, such as on-street townhouses, condominiums, row-housing, etc. will require a sidewalk on one side of the street.
 - (iv) A sidewalk will be required on one side of the cul-de-sac where the sidewalk will form part of the walkway system.

(04-294-77)

120-77
34-77
18-77

295. That the City of Mississauga Council advise the Region of Peel Council that the enlarged Regional Road System, as previously proposed, is acceptable subject to the following conditions:

- (a) Connecting links will remain with the City of Mississauga until reconstruction, subject to the continuation of Ministry of Transportation and Communications policy and funding of such road systems.
- (b) Burnhamthorpe Road, from Mavis Road westerly, will not become a Regional Road until the bridge across the Credit River is completed.
- (c) That the Region of Peel and the City of Mississauga enter into an agreement which would allocate the responsibility of winter maintenance to the local municipality.

ITEM 295 CONTINUED

- (d) Structures within the City of Mississauga's 1977 Five-Year Capital Program will not be assumed by the Region until such time as they are reconstructed within the time span of the increased subsidy limit, or removed from the program.
- (d) The design of any facility to be constructed by the City but which will eventually become part of the Regional Road System, will be undertaken only after Design Approval has been received from the Region of Peel and the MTC.
- (e) The Region will assume only those sections of the proposed network which are extensions, or inter-connections, of other Regional roads until the various restrictions of total assumption, as outlined herein, have been met or waived.

(04-295-77)

18-77
35-77

296. (a) That the report dated February 16, 1977, from the Commissioner of Engineering Works and Building regarding the Metric Conversion and Symbolization of Traffic Signs, be received.
- (b) That the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building be directed to contact the Association of Municipalities of Ontario and the proper government agency expressing the City's concern regarding the proposed "No Stopping" sign.

(04-296-77)

86-77

297. (a) That a clause be added to the easement agreement (Bexhill Road) between the City of Mississauga and the Regional Municipality of Peel to the effect that the Grantee will not cut down or trim any trees, or disturb the soil without written permission of the City of Mississauga.
- (b) That a by-law be executed to grant an easement to the Regional Municipality of Peel over Bexhill Road between Gatehouse Drive and Lake Ontario, subject to approval of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications.

(04-297-77)

42-77

MARCH 2, 1977

298. That the report dated January, 1977 from the Director of Building Standards Engineering, Works and Building with respect to permits issued for construction for the month of January, 1977, be received.

(04-298-77) 159-77

299. (a) That all applications received for a Council hearing with reference to the Draft Official Plan be heard.
- (b) That the dates of Thursday, March 17 and Friday, March 18, 1977 be set aside to hear said applications.

(04-299-77) 140-77

300. (a) That the 1976 City Hall signage recommendations of the Recreation Services Policy Advisory Committee not be adopted.
- (b) That two of the side panels of the existing cube sign be changed to read "Robert W. Speck Civic Square".

(04-300-77) 115-77

301. (a) That the addendum to the agreement between Nick Van Vliet, the Corporation of the City of Mississauga and New Generation Homes covering Block B, Registered Plan M-56; Block Q, Registered Plan M-57 and Block RR, Registered Plan M-58, be added to the original agreement dated November 5, 1976.
- (b) That a by-law be executed to add this addendum to the above mentioned agreement.

(04-301-77) M-56 - M-60

302. That the Malton Soccer Club be advised to review its ability to raise one-third of the funding to upgrade Soccer facilities at Wildwood Park through the private sector and to work with staff in developing a co-ordinated proposal for further review by the Recreation and Parks Committee.

(04-302-77) 10-77

GENERAL COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL

MARCH 9, 1977

REPORT NO. 12-77

To: The Mayor and Members of Mississauga Council.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:

The General Committee of Council presents its twelfth report and recommends:

303. (a) That building permits for the 5 model homes be obtainable upon registration of the proposed plan of subdivision, T-25450, Manors of Sherwood.
- (b) That the matter of the payment of the levies required, with regard to watercourse improvements and arterial road improvements for the 2.19 acre parcel of land not owned by Manors of Sherwood, be referred to staff for a report as to the applicability of the payment of these levies, notwithstanding that they are included in the proposed plan of subdivision, T-25450.

(04-303-77)

T-25450

304. That Building No. 3 of the Westwood Abbey Condominium on Darcel Avenue be released from the condition of an "Adult Only" building.

(04-304-77)

CDM 504

305. (a) That the proposed schedule for the current budget meetings for 1977 be tentatively approved.
- (b) That the Region be contacted with regard to re-scheduling their two meetings on April 5, 1977 and that the April 7, 1977 meeting be deleted.

(04-305-77)

33-77

MARCH 9, 1977

306. Whereas Section 352(76) of The Municipal Act provides the authority to reduce the tax levy against premises used as a memorial home, clubhouse or athletic grounds, by persons who served in the armed forces for a period not exceeding ten years; and

Whereas many Canadian Legions have had the advantage of this period of time and now find the full property tax is a serious burden on their ability to survive; and

Whereas Legions have traditionally been of significant help to municipalities in their support of various recreational and athletic programs for our young people; and further, have leased their halls at reduced rates to non-profit community endeavours; and

Whereas this consideration has helped these endeavours to operate at no expense to the taxpayer;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Mississauga petition the Government of Ontario for deletion of the ten year maximum time period to enable municipalities to continue to provide general tax relief to such memorial homes, clubhouses or athletic grounds operated by persons who served in the armed forces; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT this resolution be forwarded to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario and the Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs.

(04-306-77)

20-77

307. That the Engineering Agreement dated February 14, 1977 for Seventh Series Limited, Committee of Adjustment Application C A 'A' 274/74, Engineering Department File 16 111 76101, be executed without further delay.

(04-307-77)

32-77

MARCH 9, 1977

308. (a) That the Region of Peel be requested to amend By-law Number 203-76 to provide for the appointment of the following four additional individuals:

John Hinds
Peel Condominium Corp. #95
966 Inverhouse Drive
Mississauga, Ontario

Bryan L. Thomas
99 Howard Street, Apt. 404
Toronto, Ontario

Iftikhar S. Wakil
Peel Condominium Corp. #77
3020 Kirwin Ave., P.H. #8
Mississauga, Ontario

Rodney Frank Nibbs
2111 Roche Court, Apt. 123
Mississauga, Ontario

- (b) That the Region of Peel be requested to amend By-law Number 223-76 to provide for the following changes:

Deletion of:
David John MacKellar
1315 Silverspear Road, Apt. 607
Mississauga, Ontario

Addition of the following employees of The Ontario Humane Society:

Brian Wright
133 - 4th Street
Etobicoke, Ontario

Joseph Drayker
2177 Sherobee Road, Apt. 407
Mississauga, Ontario.

(04-308-77)

2-77

MARCH 9, 1977

309. That upon approval by the Legal Department of the various agreements to be entered into between the City and Cadillac Fairview Corporation Limited and of the transfers of lands and easements with regard to Erin Mills South NBHD 101 and 106, T-23581, located east of Erin Mills Parkway and north of Dundas Street, and upon fulfilment of the outstanding items listed in the Engineering Department's letters to the Clerk's Department, relative to each Engineering Agreement, that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to execute these Engineering Agreements and transfers of lands and easements.
- (04-309-77) T-23581
310. That the draft by-law amending Traffic By-law 234-75, as amended, be approved, and further, that the agreement forms accompanying this by-law revision be executed by the Mayor and Clerk.
(Fire Access Route - 2121 Roche Court, 1750 Bloor Street, 1100 Caven Street, 45 Paisley Blvd. West, 3395 Ponytrail Dr., 3355 Ponytrail Dr.)
- (04-310-77) 86-77
311. That the proposed works of the Union Gas Limited regarding an application for a Building Permit for Part Lot 35, Con. 1, S.D.S. being Part 3 of Plan 43R-4496, Winston Churchill Boulevard, be authorized by Council under the provision of Section 19 (1) (f), Zoning By-law 5500, as amended.
- (04-311-77) 25-77
312. That the draft by-law amendment to By-law No. 234-75, as amended, dealing with School Bus Loading Zones - Illegal Parking of Vehicles, be approved.
- (04-312-77) 86-77

MARCH 9, 1977

313. (a) That the City of Mississauga agrees to share equally with the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, the cost of illuminating that portion of Hurontario Street (Highway #10) from Highway 401 to a point north of Eglinton Avenue and the Ministry of Transportation and Communications be so informed; the estimated cost of the work is \$65,600.00, with the City's share being \$32,800.00.
- (b) That the City further agrees to accept responsibility for future maintenance and operating costs for these works and the Ministry of Transportation and Communications be so advised.

(04-313-77)

27-77

314. That the proposed condominium CDM 76-085, on part of Block C, Registered Plan 924, All Borough Properties Limited, located on the north side of Sismet Road, east of Matheson Blvd. be recommended for approval to the Ministry of Housing, subject to the conditions outlined in the planning staff report dated February 22, 1977.

(04-314-77)

CDM 76-085

315. That the Ministry of Housing be advised that it is acceptable to the City of Mississauga to add the following modification to the section entitled "Details of the Amendment and Policies Relative Thereto" of Amendment 265:

"In addition, to ensure compatibility of the proposed residential uses east of Erin Mills Parkway and to minimize noise from Erin Mills Parkway, adequate noise reduction features will be investigated and provided."

(04-315-77)

Amendment 265

MARCH 9, 1977

316. That the report dated February 24, 1977 from the Commissioner of Recreation and Parks regarding a float in the Brampton Flower Festival and the following proposed motion by Councillor McKechnie:

"That the City match any Service or Community Group in the City on a shared basis of a maximum of \$300. to provide a float in the Brampton Flower Festival".

be referred to the Department of Public Affairs for a report.

(04-316-77)

75-77

317. That Councillor McKechnie be appointed Chairman of the Street Names Committee to serve for the year 1977.

(12-1-77)

37-77
2-77

318. (a) That since Goreway Drive now extends into the Borough of Etobicoke due to the reconstruction of Highway 427, that that Municipality be requested to comment on possible conflictions with streets in Etobicoke.
- (b) That Councillor McKechnie be authorized to meet with the Airport Manager at the Toronto International Airport to outline to him the reasons for the City wishing to retain the name Airport Road.
- (c) That the name Torbram Road remain unchanged.
- (d) That the name Bramalea Road remain unchanged.
- (e) That Dixie Road, which extends from Lakeshore Road East in a northerly direction through the cities of Mississauga and Brampton, remain unchanged.
- (f) That the Ward Councillor (Councillor McKechnie) be requested to consider and recommend to the Street Names Committee a suitable alternative name for the remaining portion of Fourth Line East running south from Britannia Road East.

ITEM 318 CONTINUED

- (g) That Second Line East be renamed Tomken Road when Highway 410 is constructed and the two roads are aligned at Eglinton Avenue East.
- (h) That First Line East which runs northerly from Eglinton Avenue to the boundaries of the City of Brampton and aligns with Kennedy Road be renamed Kennedy Road.
- (i) That Hurontario Street remain unchanged.
- (j) That McLaughlin Road remain unchanged.
- (k) That Second Line West be renamed Meadowvale Village Road and that the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton and the Region of Peel be requested to consider renaming Second Line West in their respective Municipalities to Meadowvale Village Road.
- (l) That Creditview Road remain unchanged.
- (m) That the naming of Mississauga Road within the City of Mississauga be deferred to a public meeting to be arranged by the Ward Councillor.
- (n) That the Town of Caledon and the City of Brampton and the Regional Municipality of Peel be requested to consider renaming the portion of roadway continuous with Mississauga Road throughout their respective municipalities, to Mississauga Road.
- (o) That consideration be given to renaming Fifth Line West, from Dundas Street southerly to Fowler Drive, to Belford Road.
- (p) That the Commissioner of Planning be requested to prepare a report for consideration by the Street Names Committee at its next meeting outlining proposed street configuration as they relate to the proposed renaming of Fifth Line West and 'Old' Thomas Street.

MARCH 9, 1977

ITEM 318 CONTINUED

- (q) That Winston Churchill Boulevard remain unchanged.
- (r) That the proposal to rename Tenth Line West be deferred until more information on proposed street configuration in that area is available.
- (s) That the Towns of Oakville, Milton and Halton Hills be contacted for their comments with regard to the proposal to rename Ninth Line.

(12-1-77)

37-77

319. That all that portion of Church Street within the former Village of Malton be renamed Foster Road.

(12-1-77)

37-77

320. That that portion of Old Poplar Row running north from Rattray Marsh to Lakeshore Road be renamed Ruth Drive.

(12-1-77)

37-77

321. That the request to rename the existing east/west portion of Florian Road be deferred.

(12-1-77)

37-77

322. That the proposal to rename Meyerside Drive to Cooper Road be processed in the normal manner.

(12-1-77)

37-77

323. That the name Landau Court remain unchanged.

(12-1-77)

37-77

324. That the Ministry of Transportation and Communications be approached to provide the addition of the name 'Huronario Street' to the street signs already noting Highway 10.

(12-1-77)

37-77

MARCH 9, 1977

325. That Councillor H. McCallion be appointed Chairman of the Planning Committee to serve for the year 1977 and that Mr. W. C. Newbound be appointed Vice-Chairman of the Planning Committee to serve for the year 1977.

(07-3-77)

105-77
2-77

326. That the information concerning the resignation of Mr. Stewart Beatty, Planner, Urban Design Division, effective March 11, 1977, be received with regret.

(07-3-77)

105-77
40-77

327. That the information concerning the October 4-15, 1976 Ontario Municipal Board Hearing be received.

(07-3-77)

OZ-75-75

328. That the information concerning apartment vacancy rates, received from the Toronto Branch of C.M.H.C. resulting from their semi-annual apartment vacancy survey, be received.

(07-3-77)

12-76

329. That the information concerning C.M.H.C. housing statistics for the month of November 1976 be received.

(07-3-77)

12-76

330. That the information concerning the number of building permits issued by type of dwelling unit, to the end of January 1977, be received.

(07-3-77)

4-76

MARCH 9, 1977

331. That the information concerning public participation in the draft Official Plan, as outlined in the planning staff report dated February 25, 1977 to City Council, be received.

(07-3-77)

140-77

332. That consideration of the planning staff report concerning the Port Credit Secondary Plan be deferred.

(07-3-77)

12-77

333. (a) That Regional Council be requested to defer any consideration of Regional Official Plan policy papers until the Mississauga Official Plan has been submitted to the Ministry of Housing, and also requested to allow in the meantime the Regional planning staff to assist in resolving the issues connected with the draft City Official Plan that are of concern to the Region and government departments and agencies.
- (b) That the procedures for finalizing the Mississauga Official Plan, as outlined in the report of the Commissioner of Planning dated March 2, 1977, be approved, and that Official Plan matters continue to be dealt with directly by City Council.
- (c) That following submission of the City Official Plan to the Ministry of Housing, the City Planning Department allocate staff resources to co-operate with the Regional Planning Department in the preparation of the Regional Official Plan.

(07-3-77)

140-77
35-77

334. That the planning staff report dated February 15, 1977, recommending approval of the rezoning application under File OZ/5/76 The Cadillac Fairview Corporation Limited subject to certain conditions, be adopted.

(07-3-77)

OZ-5-76

MARCH 9, 1977

335. That the information contained in the brief entitled "Non Profit Housing: An Alternative for Low and Moderate Income Families in Peel" prepared by the Social Planning Council of Peel, and Mr. J. Cuthbert's submission, be received.
- (07-3-77) 62-77
336. That further consideration of the rezoning application under File OZ/36/74 Farwide Holdings Limited be deferred to allow the Ward Councillor an opportunity to discuss the proposed site plan with residents in the area and to determine whether any deed restrictions were placed on dwellings abutting the subject site to the west.
- (07-3-77) OZ-36-74
337. That a public meeting be held on the proposal by City Council to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law to allow Block TT, Registered Plan M-59 to be developed for townhouses at 15 units per acre. (See Recommendation 338)
- (07-3-77) M-59
338. That the Property Department conduct a city-wide search for a parcel of approximately 5.5 acres of serviced land which can be zoned for townhouses with a view to purchasing such a parcel, and further that the Property Agent treat this matter with the highest priority, to report to General Committee by March 16, 1977.
- (07-3-77) M-59
339. (a) That the request for the release of the building permit application for the sixth building of the San Tropez development from the agreement of August 10, 1973 registered as Instrument No. 284006vs be granted.
- (b) That the site development plan for the sixth building on the San Tropez site be designed in accordance with the current development standards.
- (07-3-77) OZ-22-64
340. That a public meeting be held for the rezoning application under File OZ/57/75 Whitehall Development Corporation Limited on April 5, 1977.
- (07-3-77) OZ-57-75

MARCH 9, 1977

341. That the City Solicitor is hereby instructed to have taxed the costs ordered in the matter of Murray vs. Stortini.
(04-341-77) 173-76
342. That the City Solicitor be instructed not to initiate or appeal in the matter of Jan Davies vs. Murray and the City of Mississauga.
(04-342-77) 173-76
343. That the Mississauga Fire Fighters negotiations, 1977 proposals, outlined by Mr. S. Keith to Committee on March 9, 1977 be endorsed.
(04-343-77) 41-77A
344. That Mr. S. Keith, Mr. R. Miller and Fire Chief Miller be appointed to the City Firefighters Negotiating Committee for 1977.
(04-344-77) 41-77A
345. That salary increases for the Mississauga Fire Department remain within the guidelines established by the Anti-inflation Board.
(04-345-77) 41-77A
346. That the CUPE negotiation, 1977 proposals, outlined by Mr. S. Keith to Committee on March 9, 1977 be endorsed, as amended.
(04-346-77) 40-77

P-1



896 Old Poplar Row
Mississauga, Ontario
February 16th, 1977

Dear Sir:

At the present time, there is no public transport which runs along the Lakeshore Road, and up Lorne Park Road to Truscott Drive. Students attending Lorne Park Secondary School, who live in the area south of Lakeshore Road, have no other choice but to walk or use their bicycles. This is a considerable distance, particularly in the bad weather.

Late in October, the residents of this area, expressed their desire for some kind of transportation, and we are enclosing a copy of the petition obtained at this time. The reasons we think a bus is necessary, are clearly stated on this document.


The Board of Education was consulted, and the distance from the school to this area was measured. Unfortunately, it was just under two miles and therefore a school bus could not be provided. Because of this, we feel that public transport should be provided along the Lakeshore Road - Lorne Park Road route, at least at the times of school opening and closing.

We would be very pleased, if this matter could be discussed at your next meeting.

Yours sincerely,

✓ TO BE RECEIVED. REPORT
REQUESTED FROM MR. E.
DOWLING. R#58-77

William J. Dowling
William J. Dowling
William J. Dowling
William J. Dowling
William J. Dowling
William J. Dowling



P-1(b)

October 25, 1976

STUDENTS LIVING BELOW THE LAKESHORE ROAD SHOULD BE
TAKEN TO LORNE PARK SECONDARY SCHOOL BY BUS.

We feel the above request is necessary because:

1. There is NO public transport which goes along the Lakeshore Road and up Lorne Park Road. After a forty minute walk, students arrive at school wet and cold.
2. Some students prefer to use their bicycles. In our opinion this is dangerous. Lakeshore Road is always busy; and Lorne Park Road is also dangerous when students are leaving or arriving at school. In the winter snow and ice make it impossible to use bicycles.
3. It has been drawn to our attention, that students are taking a short cut to school. This involves crossing the railway line, at an unauthorized crossing. This is highly dangerous, and can only lead to tragic results.
4. New houses, and town houses are being built, and many more students will need some form of transportation in the near future.

Students living near the Nat. H. Road who have taken to Home Park Second School by bus.			LPSS NOW
P-11(c)			
P.R. Stenrangs	1392 Malibu Terrace	823-6527	1
P. Gernations	1396 Malibu Terrace	822-8013	
P. Bozovic	1400 Malibu Terr.	823-4760	
D. Deyenberg	1410 Malibu Terr.	823-5680	1
E. Mermile	1388 Malibu Terr.	823-3148	
D. McLEAN	1385 Malibu Terr.	823-3129	
N.K. Sagra	1393 Malibu Terr.	823-3368	1
K. Hudson	1397 Malibu Terr.	823-3969	1
E. Kaur	1409 Malibu Terr.	823-3992	
H. Benet	1415 Malibu Terr.	823-1066	
J. Guigue	1416 Malibu Terr.	822-2900	
J. S. S. S.	1438 Malibu Terr.	823-1301	
H. S. S.	923 Old Poplar Row	823-3057	
J. Ternoway	1436 Malibu Terrace	823-0548	
E. S. S.	1424 Malibu Terrace	822-5735	
B. S. S.	1381 Malibu Terrace	822-6116	
B. S. S.	926 Old Poplar Row	823-2938	2
B. S. S.	1403 Malibu Terr.	822-6703	1
J. Elliott	920 Old Poplar Row	822-8038	0
P. Wright	1100 Old Poplar Row	823-3378	
G. Griffiths	890 Old Poplar Row	822-5820	
M. H. S.	875 Old Poplar Row	823-4023	
M. S. S.	872 Old Poplar Row	822-5648	
V. Rott	834 Old Poplar Row	822-9710	
V. Rott	834 Old Poplar Row	822-3007	
V. Rott	834 Old Poplar Row	823-1013	1
L.H. Brown	834 Old Poplar Row	823-2943	1
M. S. S.	1492 MARSHWOOD PL		

Students living below the L. Ashore Road should be taken to same back Secondary School by bus.			LPSS NOW
Miss [unclear]	848 Old Poplar Row	822-1981	A 1.
Olaf [unclear]	855 Old Poplar Row	822-9102	
Adriana Bayliff	1432 Bridgestone Lane	823-4100	
Mrs. F. Redstone	1424 Bridgestone Lane	822-8005	
Miss M. Lucie	1406 Bridgestone Rd	823-4915	
F.C. McInnes	828 Catway St	822-9097	
R.H. [unclear]	822 Catway St	823-1419	
Gordon Kelly	811 Catway St	822-7836	
Andie Redstone	1424 Bridgestone Lane	822-8005	
D. Skinner	819 Catway St	823-3798	2
D. [unclear]	823 Catway St Mrs	822-940	
D. [unclear]	827 Catway Court [unclear]	822-6631	
J. [unclear]	1388 Bridgestone Lane	823-1002	
Connie Wilkins	1387 Bridgestone Lane	822-2203	
J. [unclear]	1385 Bridgestone Lane	823-2090	
Dora [unclear]	1401 Bridgestone Lane	822-6965	
B. [unclear]	1415 Bridgestone Lane	823-2663	
G. [unclear]	1421 Bridgestone Lane	822-2727	
L. [unclear]	1429 Bridgestone Lane	823-3160	
H. [unclear]	1435 Bridgestone Lane	823-5000	
L. [unclear]	879 Old Poplar Row	823-2424	
S. [unclear]	885 Old Poplar Row		

Students living below the Lakeshore Road should be taken to Luine Park Secondary School by bus.

ATTENDING
LPSS NOW

2-1(a) ~~2~~ Burrell

~~City~~

Aurora Saavedra 846 Bexhill Rd miss. 822-0204

Mrs Mary Hap-

M. THACKER. 854 BEXHILL RD. MISS. 822-3737

N. Jaller 864 Bexhill Rd. 823-1351

P-1(f)

October 25, 1976

STUDENTS LIVING BELOW THE LAKESHORE ROAD SHOULD BE
TAKEN TO LORNE PARK SECONDARY SCHOOL BY BUS.

We feel the above request is necessary because:

1. There is NO public transport which goes along the Lakeshore Road and up Lorne Park Road. After a forty minute walk, students arrive at school wet and cold.
2. Some students prefer to use their bicycles. In our opinion this is dangerous. Lakeshore Road is always busy; and Lorne Park Road is also dangerous when students are leaving or arriving at school. In the winter snow and ice make it impossible to use bicycles.
3. It has been drawn to our attention, that students are taking a short cut to school. This involves crossing the railway line, at an unauthorized crossing. This is highly dangerous, and can only lead to tragic results.
4. New houses, and town houses are being built, and many more students will need some form of transportation in the near future.

P-1(g)

LPSS NOW

Students living below the Lexington Road should be taken to
Lorne Park Secondary School by bus.

Shackles	760 Bexhill Rd.	820-1332	
M.J. (Og) e-	746 Bexhill Rd.	820-1366	
West Atkinson	740 Bexhill Rd.	822-1077	
John Wilton	768 Bexhill Rd.	823-1572	
Glenn Hens	691 Nantalex, bank	8	2
Donna Beyer	691 Nantalex Ct.	822-5401	1
Kim Stewart	705 Nantalex Ct.	823-1025	1
John Reid	713 Nantalex Ct.	822-0405	
Karen Riles	812 Bexhill Road	823-5272	
Krishna Mann	(1 child.) 808 Bexhill Road	823-4838	1
	(2 children)		2
Bill (Og) e-	734 Nantalex Court	822-3775	1
Donna Douglas	738 Nantalex Ct.	822-4730	2
Art Yamamura	722 Nantalex Ct.	822-5776	
Jaen	Baylow 700 Nantalex	823-5506	
Kathy Foster	686 Nantalex Ct.	822-3693	
Lorna K. Kelly	725 Bexhill Rd.	822-6516	
Pat Ballentine	745 Bexhill Rd.	822-5421	1
Ray Whitham	775 Bexhill Rd.	822-4954	
Kevin Payne	795 Bexhill Rd.	822-7737	
Jane Ball	896 Old Replac Row	822-7574	2

		LPSS NOW	
		R1(h)	
Students to Lone Park	living closer the Lakeshore than secondary school by bus.	Should be taken	
M. Brown	765 Berhill Rd.	822-8076	
R. Dine	785 Berhill Rd.	822-9715	
De Crowley	1363 Gatehouse Dr.	822-8482	1
John Jones	1333	822-3433	
Sandra Sadin	1321 Gatehouse Drive	822-3309	
Asa B. Martin	1294 Gatehouse Dr.	822-1143	
Ken Wildman	1302 Gatehouse Dr.	822-2746	
Mark Cottonby	310 " "	822-4031	2
Mrs. Elders	1320 " "		1
(Mrs. Channing)			
Mrs. Bryant	1332 Gatehouse Dr.	822-1371	2
Mrs. Zuber	1354 Gatehouse Dr.	822-1271	
Mrs. Knevelley	1369 Gatehouse Dr.	822-0890	2
Ray Hubbs	825 Glenview Crescent	823-5666	
L. Barber	805 Glenview Cres.	822-2064	
G. Nichols	795 Glenview Cres.	822-3769	
M. Peterson	775 - Glenview Cres.	822-0864	
A. Enns	755 Glenview Cres.	822-4663	
Ellie Connor	734 Glenview Cr.	822-6650	
Ed. M. Babney	754 Glenview Cr.	823-1576	
P. Pelluck	761 Glenview Cres.	823-1762	
M. E. Hagg	774 Glenview Cr.	822-6801	

Should be taken to <u>Kosne Fifth Secondary</u> School by bus.			LPSS NOW
P.T. (1)			
M. Hunt	794 Mylenen Cr.	822-9647	1
B. Mayo	1355 Gatehouse Dr.	822-7247	-
S. Streben	1345 Gatehouse Dr.	822-7874	
S. Koladick	1313 Gatehouse Drive	822-8683	
J. Rittler	1309 Gatehouse Drive	822-9666	
Donna M. Brooks	1286 Gatehouse Drive	822-9170	

P-1(J)

October 25, 1976

STUDENTS LIVING BELOW THE LAKESHORE ROAD SHOULD BE
TAKEN TO LORNE PARK SECONDARY SCHOOL BY BUS.

We feel the above request is necessary because:

1. There is NO public transport which goes along the Lakeshore Road and up Lorne Park Road. After a forty minute walk, students arrive at school wet and cold.
2. Some students prefer to use their bicycles. In our opinion this is dangerous. Lakeshore Road is always busy; and Lorne Park Road is also dangerous when students are leaving or arriving at school. In the winter snow and ice make it impossible to use bicycles.
3. It has been drawn to our attention, that students are taking a short cut to school. This involves crossing the railway line, at an unauthorized crossing. This is highly dangerous, and can only lead to tragic results.
4. New houses, and town houses are being built, and many more students will need some form of transportation in the near future.

Students living below the Lakeshore Road should be taken to Lorne Park Secondary School by bus.			ATTENDING LPSS NOW
P-1(K)			
Nene Kelly	893 Berhill Rd.	822-0369	2
Bambi Blandy	903 " "	822-0677	1
S. H. D'Almeida	" " "	" "	1
W. Mason	915 Berhill Rd.	822-5321	
H. Spence	935 Berhill Rd.	822-5485	0
W. S. S. S.	947 Berhill Rd.	822-2131	0
Rene Kelly	961 Berhill Rd.	822-1248	0
Arthur Black	1356 Lakeshore Rd. W. P.C.	822-3940	0
Margaret Dwyer	1372 Lakeshore	822-0890	0
S. Bradley	912 Berhill Rd.	822-5777	1
M. Duke	956 Berhill Rd.	822-0688	1
Anthony	1336 Centon Dr.	822-2657	
Martin Dwyer	1326 Centon Dr.	822-0796	1
J. Dwyer	1326 Centon Dr.	822-7465	0
M. Dwyer	1314 Centon Dr.	822-2621	
Doris Dwyer	1310 Centon Dr.	822-8132	3
Jeanette Harty	" " "	822-8632	
Michael Dwyer	1300 Centon Dr.	822-3444	1
L. Dwyer	1286 Centon Dr.	822-4674	3
L. Rice	1276 Centon Dr.	822-0855	0
E. Dwyer	1270 " "	822-2240	2
W. S. S. S.	832 Lakeshore Ave.	822-1545	0
W. S. S. S.	846 Lakeshore Ave.	822-0319	0
Tony Dwyer	1271 Centon Dr.	822-1545	0
J. Dwyer	1255 Centon Dr.	822-0838	0
J. Dwyer	1255 Centon Dr.	822-4830	0

NAME ADDRESS TEL. NO. STUDENTS ATTENDING LESS NOW

Students living below the Lakeshore Road should be taken to Lorne Park Secondary School by bus. P-1(L)

J. M. Mangan	135 Cent-Oak Rd. Miss.	822-7582	TWO
W. Allen	892 Porcupine Ave	822-0491	TWO
15 J. J. J. J.	703 Porcupine Ave	822-7587	NIL
B. J. J. J.	922 Porcupine Ave.	822-8365	0
E. J. J. J.	740 Porcupine Ave.	822-7769	0
M. J. J. J.	946 Porcupine Ave. Miss.	822-6766	0
P. C. J. J.	772 " 7th	822-0451	0
E. J. J. J.	1326 Lakeshore Rd. W.	822-9603	
L. J. J. J.	993 Porcupine Ave.	822-4033	0
L. J. J. J.	957 Porcupine Ave	822-0934	
James J. J.	901 Porcupine Ave	822-0560	1
James J. J.	901 Porcupine Ave	822-0560	1
M. J. J. J.	891 Porcupine Ave	822-8428	
Banks	820 Parkland	823-2021	0
P. Mitchell	802 Parkland	822-9053	0
E. J. J. J.	792 "		0
J. L. J. J.	764 "	822-0519	0
L. J. J. J.	736 Parkland	823-1047	—
J. J. J. J.	737 Parkland	822-8849	
J. J. J. J.	757 Parkland Ave	822-2507	0
P. J. J. J.	767 Parkland Ave	822-1110	1

Students living below the Lakeshore Road should be taken to Lorne Park Secondary School			LPSS NOW
			by bus.
Stollard	777 Parkland Ave	822-9210	0
McGillivray	787 Parkland Ave	822-6320	2
Head	803 Parkland Ave	822-6680	1
McGillivray	811 Parkland Ave	822-1274	0
Flanagan	831 Parkland Ave	822-1157	0
Cooper	845 Parkland Ave	822-0514	0
Tracy	855 Parkland Ave	822-0207	0
McKenzie	863 Parkland Ave	822-0888	0
Stollard	898 Bethell Rd.	822-0488	0
M.R. Evans	889 Parkland Ave	822-4797	0
Irwin	889 Parkland Ave	822-4797	0
James Reid	901 Parkland Ave	822-0485	0
Pat Saut	907 Parkland Ave	822-3495	0
Mrs. Jenkins	929 Parkland Ave	822-3388	1
Dr. M. Nelson	935 Parkland Ave	822-3388	1
Dr. F. F. F.	935 Parkland Ave	822-3388	1
Dr. F. F. F.	943 Parkland Ave	822-0759	0
Dr. F. F. F.	963 Parkland Ave	822-3117	0
Dr. F. F. F.	1236 Echo St.	822-0736	0
Dr. F. F. F.	1256 Echo St.	822-2700	0
Dr. F. F. F.	1266 Echo St.	822-1555	0
Dr. F. F. F.	964 Greenwood Dr.	822-3013	1
Dr. F. F. F.	944 Greenwood Dr.	822-2799	1
Dr. F. F. F.	944 Greenwood Dr.	822-2799	1
Dr. F. F. F.	963 Greenwood Dr.	822-4712	0
Dr. F. F. F.	964 PARKLAND AVE	822-2159	0

Students living below the Lakeshore Road should ^{LPSS NOW} be taken to Lorne Park Secondary School by bus. **P-K(n)**

D. McKus	848 Parkland Ave	822-6755	
M. Saml	928 Parkland Ave. West	823-2620	0
D. Osmond	902 Parkland Ave.	823-3248	1 in 1977
A. Childs	804 Parkland Ave.	822-7749	
M. Loring	806 Parkland Ave	822-0795	
J. McQueen	836 Parkland Ave	823-2347	0

CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

MINUTES

GENERAL COMMITTEE

NAME OF COMMITTEE:

GENERAL COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL

DATE OF MEETING:

February 28, 1977

PLACE OF MEETING:

Committee Room "A"

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Chairman: Mayor Searle
Councillors H. Kennedy, M. E. Spence,
F. Dean, L. Taylor, P. McKechnie,
P. Besser, T. Butt, F. Leavens,
W. McCallion

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Nil

STAFF PRESENT:

I. F. Markson, L. McMillivary,
T. L. Julian

General Committee considered a report dated February 16, 1977, from Mr. R. C. Latham, together with attachments with respect to applications from citizens for appointments to the various committees. Members of General Committee made recommendations for various appointments to the committees. These recommendations were dealt with by Resolutions as follows on February 28, 1977, by Council:-

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

The following persons were appointed to the Planning and Development Committee for the year 1977:-

Mr. L. F. (Vic) Mattiussi
Mrs. Shirley Campbell
Mr. M. C. Newbound
Mr. Douglas L. Kilner

This matter was dealt with by Resolution #125.

February 28, 1977

RECREATION SERVICES COMMITTEE

The following persons were appointed to the Recreation Services Committee for the year 1977:-

Mr. Wm. Schofield
Mr. Gary T. Harley
Mr. James Kurliak
Mrs. Vivian Franks
Mr. Bruce W. Aikman
Mr. David Nisbet

This matter was dealt with by Resolution #126.

TRAFFIC SAFETY COUNCIL

The following persons were appointed to the Traffic Safety Council for the year 1977:-

Mr. Walter L. Boyle
Mr. G. S. Palmer
Mrs. Betty Caldwell
Mrs. Susan F. Bools
Dr. A. W. S. Wood

This matter was dealt with by Resolution #127.

ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY BOARD

The following persons were appointed to the Environmental Advisory Board for the year 1977:-

Mr. V. Romaniuk
Mr. W. C. Chesterfield
Mr. Gordon B. Webster
Mrs. Verna Flowers
Mr. Tom Blanchard
Mr. W. W. Lindsay
Mr. Joerg Leiss
Mr. Robert Soja

This matter was dealt with by Resolution #128.

February 28, 1977

LIBRARY BOARD

The following person was appointed to the Public Library Board for the years 1977, 1978 and 1979:-

Mrs. June Finch

This matter was dealt with by Resolution #129.

ARCHITECTURAL CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The following persons were appointed to the Architectural Conservation Advisory Committee for the year 1977:-

Prof. Gunter H. K. Gad
Mr. Anthony Adamson

This matter was dealt with by Resolution #130.

TAXICAB AUTHORITY

The following persons were appointed to the Taxicab Authority for the year 1977:-

Mr. Aijax Ali Bazmi
Mr. Donald A. Gardner

This matter was dealt with by Resolution #131.

CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

The following persons were appointed to the Condominium Development Committee for the year 1977:-

Mr. K. E. Oakes
Mr. J. Munroe
Mr. P. Briscoe
Mrs. Wanda Unger
Mr. P. C. Carlson

This matter was dealt with by Resolution #132.

February 28, 1977

COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

The following person was appointed to the Committee of Adjustment for a three year term and recommended to the Region of Peel for appointment to the Land Division Committee:-

Mr. Ronald E. Starr.

This matter was dealt with by Resolution #133.

ADJOURNMENT: 7:40 P.M.

CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

MINUTES

MEETING NUMBER ELEVEN

NAME OF COMMITTEE:

GENERAL COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL

DATE OF MEETING:

MARCH 2, 1977, 9:00 A.M.

PLACE OF MEETING:

Council Chambers

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Councillor F. Leavers, Chairman
Councillors Kennedy, (left at
10:30 a.m.), F. Bean, L. Taylor
P. Hooper, T. Butt, H. McCallion
and Mayor Searle. Councillor
Spence arrived at 10:15 a.m.

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Councillor P. McKechnie

STAFF PRESENT:

I. P. Markson, R. Edmunds,
W. Munden, C. Fleming, W. Taylor,
J. Lethbridge, B. Clark (arrived
at 11:50 a.m.), E. Halliday, T.
Julian and J. Lefevre.

DELEGATIONS - 10:00 A.M.

- A. Mr. R. Elliott and Mr. J. Walton regarding Towering Developments Limited.

See Item #2

- B. Mr. G. J. Smith on behalf of Merit Homes Inc.

See Item #1

MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION:

1. Letter dated February 23, 1977 from Mr. G. J. Smith on behalf of Merit Homes Inc. regarding an application for rezoning, 02-99-74. This property is located at the north-east corner of Dixie Road and Rathburn Road, in the North-North Dixie Community. Mr. Smith appeared before the Committee and advised that Council in June of 1976 released all lands in this community for processing; however, his client was advised recently that no further processing would take place regarding this application until results of a traffic report are known. He requested that the Planning Staff be directed to process the site plan for the Merit Homes application, so that when the overall issues of the area are resolved, his client will be in a position to finalize plans immediately.

ITEM 1 CONTINUED:

MARCH 2, 1977

The Commissioner of Planning pointed out to the Committee that it was his opinion that the overall zoning of the area should be determined prior to any site plans being processed. He stated that finalization of the community study is being delayed by the developers in the North-North Dixie Community who have not yet reached agreement with reference to the lands required by the School Board and the lands designated for governmental purposes. He expected that an agreement would be reached in the very near future which would enable the processing of the community to proceed. Mr. Smith advised the committee that his client was not involved in the above mentioned agreement as this was strictly a rezoning of a 6-1/2 acre parcel of land and not a plan of subdivision. Considerable discussion took place regarding this matter.

Councillor McCallion moved that the matter be deferred for a month to await a detailed status report from the Commissioner of Planning on the progress of the plan on the North-North Dixie Community and that the Council give consideration to processing of the Merit Homes Inc. application at that time if a conclusion has not been reached.

File: OZ-89-74

See Recommendation #281 (H. McCallion)

2. Letter dated January 28, 1977 from Mr. Roger R. Elliott on behalf of Towering Developments Limited regarding the expedition of the final approval of the plan of subdivision and/or site plan. The property in question is located on the north side of Lakeshore Road, east of Lorne Park Road. Mr. Elliott, solicitor and Mr. Viljoen, architect, appeared before the committee and outlined the history of this development over the last two years. Mr. Elliott requested that further processing of the Towering application be restricted to the usual planning process of the City of Mississauga and the matter be assigned as top priority. Councillor Spence outlined the steps taken by the ratepayers in the Ward 2 area, during the preparation of Official Plan Amendment 248 and since its approval. She urged the committee not to change the involvement of the citizens in this process and not to approve Mr. Elliott's request.

Mr. Gary Smith representing The Welton Group, briefly addressed the committee and requested that the processing of his client's plan continue speedily.

After much discussion by the committee, Councillor McCallion moved that the presentation of Towering Developments be received and the process be continued as outlined in Amendment 248 and that a deadline of April 13, 1977, be established for this process.

File: Amendment #248
OZ-57-74
OZ-74-75

See Recommendation #282 (H. McCallion)

MARCH 2, 1977

A motion to recess was made at 11:40 a.m. and the meeting reconvened at 11:50 a.m.

3. Report dated February 21, 1977 from the Commissioner of Planning regarding City Hall Signs. This report was prepared as a result of a recommendation from the Recreation Services Policy Advisory Committee of June 21, 1976 and General Committee of June 23, 1976. Mr. Edmunds recommended:

- (a) That the 1976 City Hall signage recommendations of the Recreation Services Policy Advisory Committee not be adopted.
- (b) That two of the side panels of the existing cube sign be changed to read "Robert Speck Civic Square".

Mayor Searle recommended that two of the side panels of the existing cube sign be changed to read "Robert W. Speck Civic Square", and that a small dedication ceremony take place at the time the sign is erected. The City Manager advised that the funds for this sign would be taken from the Contingency Fund.

File: 115-77

Approved

See Recommendation #300 (R. Searle)

4. Report dated February 24, 1977 from the City Manager with reference to the 1977 Current Budget Timetable. Mr. Markson recommended that April 13th, 18th, 22nd and 26th - 29th, incl. be set aside to consider the 1977 Current Budget with a view to its adoption at the regular Council meeting of May 9th, 1977. Councillor McCallion moved that this item be deleted from the agenda in light of the following resolution passed by Council on February 28, 1977: (#138)

"That the City Manager be requested to present a schedule for the review of the 1977 Operating Budget commencing March 14, 1977."

The Committee agreed to delete this item. Subsequently, Councillor McCallion introduced the following motion:

"That the Current Budget be distributed to members at the Council meeting on March 14, 1977 with an introduction by the City Manager and further that budget discussions commence the first week of April and that the April calendar reflect the days necessary for budget discussion."

This motion carried.

File: 33-77

See Recommendation #285 (H. McCallion)

MARCH 2, 1977

5. Report dated February 4, 1977 from the City Manager with reference to the 5% cash in lieu of land dedication - appraisal and levy basis. This report was prepared as a result of a recommendation by General Committee of October 20, 1976. Mr. Markson recommended:

- (a) That the Property Section of the Clerk's Department continue to prepare the estimates for the 5% levy, and upon notification of the applicants of the value of the 5%, that they be advised this evaluation is subject to review after a period of six (6) months.
- (b) That the City's policy of levying the cash contribution in lieu of the 5% dedication of land based on the estimated gross market value of all lots and blocks within subdivisions (or lands subject to rezoning) be continued.
- (c) That requests to the Property Section of the Clerk's Department for 5% appraisals should be made by the Clerk (or Secretary of the Land Division Committee) upon receipt of the rezoning by-law or receipt of the decision of the Land Division Committee.
- (d) That the present policy of the developer's right to appeal the 5% appraisal to Council be retained provided that the evidence to support that appeal be submitted to the Property Section for analysis and comment and provided that a time limit of 15 days from the date of the Clerk's notification of the amount of the levy is established.
- (e) That lands that are to be conveyed to the Board of Education at a fixed price be valued at that price.

File: 120-77
34-77

Approved

See Recommendation #283 (H. McCallion)

MARCH 2, 1977

6. Report dated October 21, 1976 from the Property Agent with reference to Queensgate Investments Limited, File T-74154, North West intersection of the Queensway and Stavebank Road. This report was considered at General Committee on November 3, 1976. Mr. Wilkinson recommended that the sum of \$338,450.00 be accepted as the cash payment in lieu of the 5% land dedication in connection with application T-74154, Queensgate Investments Limited, North West intersection of the Queensway and Stavebank Road, comprising 62 residential lots zoned R-2 and 76 residential lots zoned R-3 in addition a reserve block with an area of approximately 14,364 square feet zoned R-2 plus a block intended for a school site with an area of 9 acres zoned R-2. Mr. R. Belford appeared before Council on November 8, 1976 with respect to this recommendation. Mr. Belford said it was his opinion that the lands to be conveyed to the school board should be exempt and that the 5% calculation should be based on the total acres, less the acreage to be conveyed. Council passed the following resolution on November 8, 1976:

"That item #1455 of the November 3, 1976 General Committee Report be referred to the Administration and Finance Committee to be considered in conjunction with the forthcoming staff report regarding the "five per cent policy for the City".

The Property Agent was present for the discussion of this item and advised that the evaluation of the block being conveyed to the School Board was based on the actual price the Board was purchasing the land for. It was, therefore, recommended that Mr. Wilkinson's recommendation contained in his report of October 24, 1976, be adopted.

File: T-74154

Approved

See Recommendation #284 (T. Butt)

7. Report dated February 24, 1977 from the Commissioner of Finance regarding the 1977 Capital Budget. Mr. Ogilvie recommended that the information contained in his report, be received.

File: 33-77

Received

See Recommendation #286 (T. Butt)

MARCH 2, 1977

8. Report dated February 22, 1977 from the Legal Department regarding Conversion of Apartments to Shared Ownership. Other related material was attached. This report was prepared as a result of a recommendation by General Committee of November 3, 1976. Miss MacLean recommended that the City advise the Ministry of Consumer and Commercial Relations of the concern of the City of Mississauga and suggest that the Ministry consider amendments to the appropriate legislation which would control such a scheme. The City Solicitor advised that if Council adopted this recommendation his department would be willing to go down and present it to the Ministry. Councillor McCallion recommended the following:

- (a) That the City Solicitor advise the Municipal Liaison Committee and the appropriate government agency of Council's concern regarding the conversion of apartments to shared ownership through a "Tenancy in Common Offering"
- (b) That the Province be requested to have the necessary legislation amended to prohibit this type of conversion.

File: 181-77

See Recommendation #288 (H. McCallion)

9. At the General Committee meeting of February 16, 1977, the committee considered a report from the Clerk's Department dated February 7, 1977 regarding the feasibility of holding Municipal Elections in November rather than December. The Committee recommended that the Clerk prepare a brief on the proposed recommendations to be submitted to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario. The Committee considered a letter prepared by the Clerk addressed to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario which incorporated Council's recommendations. On a motion by Councillor Butt, the letter was approved for submission to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario.

File: 183-76
7-77

See Recommendation #292 (T. Butt)

10. Letter dated February 16, 1977 from The Mississauga Historical Foundation Incorporated requesting Council to appoint two members to the Mississauga Historical Foundation for the year 1977 in accordance with the Municipal Act. Councillor McCallion recommended that Councillor Spence remain on the Mississauga Historical Foundation and Councillor Butt be appointed as a new member for the year 1977. This motion was carried.

File: 2-77
136-77

See Recommendation #293 (H. McCallion)

MARCH 2, 1977

11. Report dated February 16, 1977, from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building and the Commissioner of Planning with reference to The Municipal Engineer's Association of Ontario recommendation that local road width be 56 feet rather than 50 feet because of problems with snow storage. This report was requested by General Committee on January 12, 1977. Messrs. Taylor and Edmunds recommended:

That resolution 253 adopted by Council in April, 1976 be rescinded and a new resolution passed adopting the following recommendations:

- (a) That the minimum road allowance in local streets (as determined by the Engineering and Planning Departments) be 56 feet.
- (b) That the minimum pavement width for a residential street be 28 feet.
- (c) Cul-de-sacs shall not exceed 300 feet in length, but may be considered on an individual basis having regard for, among other things, the number of vehicles per day, emergency access, and pavement widths.
- (d) The parking standard (excluding condominium or rental projects having self-contained parking off internal driveways) to be 2.5 spaces per unit, of which 0.5 spaces are for visitors, and may be accommodated on-street where circumstances permit.
- (e)
 - (i) On local streets, sidewalks will be required on one side only.
 - (ii) Cul-de-sacs which service single-family and/or semi-detached dwellings only, will not require a sidewalk on either side.
 - (iii) Cul-de-sacs which service developments other than single-family or semi-detached dwellings such as on-street townhouses, condominiums, row-housing, etc. will require a sidewalk on one side of the street.
 - (iv) A sidewalk will be required on one side of the cul-de-sac where the sidewalk will form part of the walkway system.

Discussion took place as to when this new policy should come into effect. It was decided to add the following to the preamble of the policy, after the word recommendations: "which shall apply to plans of subdivision which have not yet received draft plan approval as of March 14, 1977".

MARCH 2, 1977

ITEM 11 CONTINUED

Councillor McCallion moved that the recommendation, as amended, be approved.

This motion carried.

File: 120-77
34-77
18-77

See Recommendation #294 (H. McCallion)

12. Report dated February 23, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building regarding Regional Roads. This report was prepared as a result of a letter from The Regional Municipality of Peel dated January 28, 1977. Mr. Taylor recommended:

That the City of Mississauga Council advise the Region of Peel Council that the enlarged Regional Road System, as previously proposed, is acceptable subject to the following conditions:

- (a) Connecting links will remain with the City of Mississauga until reconstruction, subject to the continuation of Ministry of Transportation and Communications policy and funding of such road systems.
- (b) Burnhamthorpe Road, from Mavis Road westerly, will not become a Regional Road until the bridge across the Credit River is completed.
- (c) That the Region of Peel and the City of Mississauga enter into an agreement for winter maintenance, in order to maximize the utilization of equipment.
- (d) Structures within the City of Mississauga's 1977 Five-Year Capital Program will not be assumed by the Region until such time as they are reconstructed within the time span of the increased subsidy limit, or removed from the program.
- (e) The design of any facility to be constructed by the City but which will eventually become part of the Regional Road System, will be undertaken only after Design Approval has been received from the Region of Peel and the MTC.
- (f) The Region will assume only those sections of the proposed network which are extensions, or inter-connections, of other Regional roads until the various restrictions of total assumption, as outlined herein, have been met or waived.

MARCH 2, 1977

ITEM 12 CONTINUED

Councillor Leavers recommended that part (c) of the above recommendation be changed to read that the Region of Peel and the City of Mississauga enter into an agreement which would allocate the responsibility of winter maintenance to the local municipality. The recommendation, as amended, was voted on and carried.

File: 18-77
35-77

See Recommendation #295 (H. McCallion)

13. Report dated February 16, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building with reference to Metric Conversion and Symbolization of Traffic Signs. Mr. Taylor recommended that his report be received. Mr. Taylor informed the committee that by December 31, 1978 all traffic signs would be updated according to Provincial legislation. Councillor Hooper suggested that Mr. Taylor be empowered to contact the Association of Municipalities of Ontario pertaining to clarification of the "No Stopping" sign.

Councillor Hooper amended the above recommendation with the following:

That the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building be directed to contact the Association of Municipalities of Ontario and the proper government agency expressing the City's concern regarding the proposed "No Stopping" sign.

This recommendation was voted on and carried.

File: 86-77

See Recommendation #296 (F. Hooper)

14. Report dated February 16, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building regarding Resolution 614, closing of Bexhill Road between Gatehouse Drive and Lake Ontario. This item was discussed at the February 16, 1977 General Committee meeting and was referred back to staff for a report. Mr. Taylor recommended:

- (a) That a clause be added to the agreement between the City of Mississauga and the Regional Municipality of Peel to the effect that the Grantee will not cut down or trim any trees, or disturb the soil without the written permission of the City of Mississauga.
- (b) That a by-law be executed to grant an easement to the Regional Municipality of Peel over Bexhill Road between Gatehouse Drive and Lake Ontario.

MARCH 2, 1977

ITEM 14 CONTINUED

Councillor Taylor amended this recommendation by the addition of "subject to the approval of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications" The recommendation, as amended, was voted on and carried.

File: 42-77

See Recommendation #297 (L. Taylor)

15. Report dated January, 1977 from the Director of Building Standards Engineering, Works and Building with respect to permits issued for construction for the month of January, 1977.

File: 159-77

Received

See Recommendation #298 (T. Butt)

16. Report dated February 25, 1977 from the Commissioner of Planning regarding public participation in the Draft Official Plan. Mr. Edmunds suggested that Council review the summary of applications and direct the Planning Staff to contact those applicants it wishes to hear. He further suggested that Thursday, March 17, and Friday, March 18, 1977 be set aside to hear the applications. The committee agreed it would hear all applications submitted. It was also agreed that the suggested dates of March 17 and March 18th, 1977 were acceptable.

File: 140-77

Approved

See Recommendation #299 (T. Butt)

- 17.. Report dated February 15, 1977 from the Commissioner of Recreation and Parks with respect to the addendum to the agreement dated November 5, 1976 between Nick Van Vliet, the Corporation of the City of Mississauga and New Generations Homes. Mr. Halliday recommended that the addendum to the agreement between Nick Van Vliet, the Corporation of the City of Mississauga and New Generation Homes covering Block B, Registered Plan M-56; Block Q, Registered Plan M-57; and Block RR, Registered Plan M-58, be added to the original agreement dated November 5, 1976.

File: M-56 - M-60

Approved

See Recommendation #301 (H. McCallion)

MARCH 2, 1977

18. Report dated February 16, 1977 from the Commissioner of Recreation and Parks regarding the upgrading of Soccer Facilities - Wildwood Park. This report was prepared as a result of a letter from the Malton Minor Soccer dated January 24, 1977. Mr. Halliday recommended that the Malton Soccer Club be advised to review its ability to raise one-third of the funding for the upgrading of Soccer facilities at Wildwood Park through the private sector and to work with staff in developing a co-ordinated proposal for further review by the Recreation and Parks Committee.

File: 10-77

Approved

See Recommendation #302 (H. McCallion)

19. Report dated February 10, 1977 from the Director of Policy Planning with reference to municipal endorsement of the Provincial Non-Profit Rent-Supplement Program. This report was prepared as a result of a letter received from Brooks Co-operative Homes dated November 19, 1976, which was also attached. Mr. Fleming recommended:

- (a) That the City endorse the Provincial Non-Profit Rent-Supplement Program and agree to provide sufficient funds to cover 7-1/2% of the costs incurred to operate rent supplement units within the municipality.
- (b) That the City request the Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation to make the necessary arrangements to allow City Building Inspectors to inspect proposed non-profit projects prior to their issuance of work orders so as to ensure that local by-law requirements are met where possible.
- (c) That Brooks Co-operative Homes be advised of the above recommendation.

File: 7-77

Approved

See Recommendation #287 (L. Taylor)

20. Report dated February 22, 1977 from the City Solicitor regarding amendment to Section 69 of By-law 411-74, the Taxicab By-law. Mr. Clark recommended that this By-law be passed to amend Section 69 of By-law Number 411-74, the Taxicab By-law, to stipulate that a contravention of the By-law is an offence. This by-law changes the penalty to \$1,000.

File: 9-77A

Approved

See Recommendation #289 (H. McCallion)

MARCH 2, 1977

21. Report dated February 21, 1977 from the City Solicitor regarding Menkes Development Inc. application to the Land Division Committee, C.A. 'B' 182 and 183/76-M. Mr. Clark recommended that the agreement dated December 21, 1976, between the City of Mississauga and Menkes Developments Inc. with reference to C.A. 'B' 182 and 183/76-M be executed by the Mayor and the Clerk.

File: 66-77

Approved

See Recommendation #290 (T. Butt)

22. Report dated February 21, 1977 from the City Treasurer with respect to inspection of Surety Bonds. Mr. Munden recommended that Council endorse the current coverage as outlined and direct that the Crime Insurance Policy be deposited with the City Treasurer for safekeeping.

File: 1-77
40-77

Approved

See Recommendation #291 (H. McCallion)

IN CAMERA

At 1:05 p.m. Council moved 'in camera' to discuss Labour Relations. No recommendation was forthcoming from the 'in camera' session. It was agreed that Mr. Keith would present further information to the Committee on Wednesday, March 9, 1977 at 1:00 p.m.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

As per report No. 11

ADJOURNMENT:

1:50 p.m.

CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

MINUTES

MEETING NUMBER TWELVE

NAME OF COMMITTEE:

GENERAL COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL

DATE OF MEETING:

March 9, 1977, 9:00 A.M.

PLACE OF MEETING:

Council Chambers

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Councillor H. McCallion, Chairman
Councillors H. Kennedy (arrived at
9:40 a.m.), H.M. Spence, F. Dean,
L. Taylor, F. McKechnie (arrived at
9:40 a.m.), F. Hooper, T. Butt and
F. Leavers, Mayor R. Searle (arrived
at 10:25 a.m.)

MEMBERS ABSENT:

NIL

STAFF PRESENT:

I.F. Markson, W. Taylor, R. Edmunds,
E. Halliday, B. Clark, T. Julian,
R. Lathan, and L. Heape

DELEGATIONS - 9:00 A.M.

A. Mr. D. Hanson, representing Manors of Sherwood

See Item #1

B. Mr. John Rogers, representing Westwood Abbey

See Item #12

MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION:

1. Report dated March 1, 1977, from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building with respect to Manors of Sherwood, T-25450, which resulted from a letter from Mr. D. Hanson dated February 1, 1977. Mr. Taylor recommended:

(a) That the developer be required to contribute \$2,300.00 per gross acre based upon the total area of the subdivision lands less the lands designated for greenbelt lands less the lands designated for the Queensway widening for a net area of approximately 17.13 acres.

(b) That the developer be allowed building permits for 5 (five) model homes upon the completion of the minimum services as per Clause 20 of the Engineering Agreement.

MARCH 9, 1977

ITEM 1 CONTINUED

- (c) That the developer be required to pay the major watercourse and road improvement levies simultaneous to the execution of the Engineering Agreements, as per existing City policy.
- (d) That the condition in the existing Consolidated Report dated July 9, 1975 and amended in accordance with a letter dated August 31, 1976 from the Minister of Housing requiring the developer to pay towards the future up-grading of Mississauga Road be deleted.

Mr. Hanson appeared before the committee and advised that they were in agreement with the report from the Engineering Department with regard to the \$2,300.00 per gross acres less the greenbelt lands and the Hydro right-of-way. Mr. Hanson requested that Council give permission to construct 5 model homes. Councillor Bean recommended that building permits be obtainable for the 5 model homes upon registration of the plan of subdivision, T-25450. Mr. Hanson also requested that Council not require Manors of Sherwood to pay the required levies on the 2.19 acre parcel of land which will not actually be owned by Manors of Sherwood once the plan has been registered. Councillor Butt recommended that this matter be referred to staff for a report. These recommendations were voted on and carried.

File: T-25450

See Recommendation #303

- (a) (F. Bean)
- (b) (T. Butt)

- 2. Report dated March 3, 1977 from the City Manager, attaching a proposed meeting schedule for the proposed current budget for 1977. Mr. Markson recommended that General Committee review the proposed schedule and decide if the dates and times are suitable or make the necessary changes. The Committee reviewed the schedule and Councillor Butt recommended that the proposed schedule for the current budget meetings for 1977 be tentatively approved. Councillor Hooper recommended that the Region be contacted with regard to re-scheduling their two meetings on April 5, 1977 and that the April 7, 1977 meeting be deleted. These two recommendations were voted on and carried.

File: 33-77

See Recommendation #305

- (a) (T. Butt)
- (b) (F. Hooper)

MARCH 9, 1977

3. Councillor Leavers advised that he would be glad to answer any questions on his recommendation with respect to Section 352(76) of The Municipal Act. The Committee approved the following recommendation of Councillor Leavers:

Whereas Section 352 (76) of The Municipal Act provides the authority to reduce the tax levy against premises used as a memorial home, clubhouse or athletic grounds, by persons who served in the armed forces for a period not exceeding ten years; and

Whereas many Canadian Legions have had the advantage of this period of time and now find the full property tax is a serious burden on their ability to survive; and

Whereas Legions have traditionally been of significant help to municipalities in their support of various recreational and athletic programs for our young people; and further, have leased their halls at reduced rates to non-profit community endeavours; and

Whereas this consideration has helped these endeavours to operate at no expense to the taxpayer;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Mississauga petition the Government of Ontario for deletion of the ten year maximum time period to enable municipalities to continue to provide general tax relief to such memorial homes, clubhouses or athletic grounds operated by persons who served in the armed forces; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT this resolution be forwarded to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario and the Ministry of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs.

File: 20-77

See Recommendation #306 (F. Leavers)

4. Report dated February 28, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building with respect to the storm sewer for the parking lot extension for Park 'N Fly on Campus Road East of Caroga Drive. Mr. Taylor recommended that the Engineering Agreement dated February 14, 1977 for Seventh Series Limited, Committee of Adjustment Application C A 'A' 274/74, Engineering Department File 16 111 76101 be executed without further delay.

File: 32-77

Approved

See Recommendation #307 (H. Kennedy)

MARCH 9, 1977

5. Report dated February 25, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building regarding the appointment of Peace Officers. Mr. Taylor recommended:

- (a) That the Region of Peel be requested to amend By-law Number 203-76 to provide for the appointment of four additional individuals as follows:

John Hinds
Peel Condominium Corporation #95
966 Inverhouse Drive
Mississauga, Ontario

Bryan L. Thomas
99 Howard St., Apt. 404
Toronto, Ontario

Iftikhar S. Wakil
Peel Condominium Corporation #77
3020 Kirwin Avenue, P.H. #8
Mississauga, Ontario

Rodney Frank Nibbs
2111 Roche Court, Apt. 123
Mississauga, Ontario

- (b) That the Region of Peel be requested to amend By-law Number 223-76 to provide for the following changes:

Deletion of:

David John MacKellar
1315 Silverspear Road, Apt. 607
Mississauga, Ontario

Addition of the following employees of The Ontario Humane Society:

Brian Wright
133 - 4th Street
Etobicoke, Ontario

Joseph Drayker
2177 Sherobee Road, Apt. 407
Mississauga, Ontario

File: 2-77

Approved

See Recommendation #308 (L. Taylor)

MARCH 9, 1977

6. Report dated February 17, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building regarding the Cadillac Fairview Corporation Limited, Erin Mills South NBHD 101 and 106, T-23581, located east of Erin Mills Parkway and north of Dundas Street. Mr. Taylor recommended that upon approval by the Legal Department of the various agreements to be entered into between the City and the developer and of the transfers of lands and easements and upon fulfilment of the outstanding items listed in the Engineering Department's letters to the Clerk's Department, relative to each Engineering Agreement, that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to execute these Engineering Agreements and transfers of lands and easements.

File: T-23581

Approved See Recommendation #309 (F. Leavers)

7. Report dated February 17, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building with reference to Fire Access Route By-law. Mr. Taylor recommended:
- (a) That the draft Fire Access Route By-law amending Traffic By-law #234-75 as amended, be approved.
(2121 Roche Court, 1750 Bloor Street, 1100 Caven Street, 45 Paisley Blvd. West, 3395 Ponytrail Dr., 3355 Ponytrail Dr.)
 - (b) That the Agreement Forms accompanying this By-law revision as contained herein, be executed by the Mayor and Clerk.

File: 86-77

Approved See Recommendation #310 (H. Kennedy)

8. Report dated February 18, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building regarding an application for a Building Permit from Union Gas Limited for Part Lot 35, Con. 1, S.D.S. being Part 3 of Plan 43R-4496, Winston Churchill Boulevard. Mr. Taylor recommended that the proposed works of the Union Gas Limited referred to in his report be authorized by Council under the provision of Section 19 (1) (f), Zoning By-law 5500, as amended.

File: 25-77

Approved See Recommendation #311 (H. Kennedy)

MARCH 9, 1977

9. Report dated February 21, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building regarding School Bus Loading Zones - Illegal Parking of Vehicles. Mr. Taylor recommended that the draft by-law amendment to Bylaw No. 234075, as amended, dealing with School Bus Loading Zones, be approved.

Approved

See Recommendation #312 (F. Leavers)

10. Reported dated February 23, 1977 from the Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building with respect to street-lighting on Hwy. 10, south of Hwy. 410 to the existing streetlighting. Mr. Taylor recommended that the following resolution be passed by Council:

- (a) That the City of Mississauga agrees to share equally with the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, the cost of illuminating that portion of Hurontario Street (Highway #10) from Highway 401 to a point north of Eglinton Avenue and the Ministry of Transportation and Communications be so informed; the estimated cost of the work is \$65,600.00, with the City's share being \$32,800.00.
- (b) That the City further agrees to accept responsibility for future maintenance and operating costs for these works and the Ministry of Transportation and Communications be so advised.

File: 27-77

Approved

See Recommendation #313 (H. Kennedy)

11. Report No. 1-77, of the Street Names Committee meeting held on March 2, 1977.

The Committee considered the report item by item. Councillor McKechnie recommended that Item 2 (m) regarding the naming of Mississauga Road within the City of Mississauga be deferred for a public meeting to be arranged by the Ward Councillor. Councillor Spence advised that Item 4 regarding Old Poplar Row should read: "that that portion of Old Poplar Row running north from Rattray Marsh to Lakeshore Road be renamed Ruth Drive". Councillor Hooper recommended that the name Landau Court remain unchanged. The Street Names Committee Report, as amended, was adopted.

File: 37-77 See Recommendation #317-324 inclusive
(H. Kennedy)

MARCH 9, 1977

12. Letter dated February 21, 1977 from Mr. J. Rogers on behalf of Westwood Abbey regarding the resolution passed by Council on May 12, 1976 stating that the Developer of the Westwood Abbey Condominiums be required to make one of the buildings an "Adult Only" Building. Mr. Rogers appeared before the committee regarding Building #3 on Darcel Avenue and advised that they have attempted to sell this as an "Adult Only" building but have had no success. Mr. Rogers also advised the committee that Building #3 was all 3 and 4 bedroom apartments, with tot lot facilities and nurseries within the buildings, allowing it to fall under the AHOP program for family accommodation. Mr. Rogers requested Council to delete this particular requirement.

Some concern was shown by the Committee as to the number of children which would eventually be living in the area and whether the Public and Separate School Boards would be able to accommodate these children. Councillor McKechnie advised that there is a waiting list for the Separate School Board of people who would normally support the Public School Board, but who are supporting the Separate School Board to take advantage of the kindergarten. Councillor McKechnie recommended that a decision on this matter be left pending a report from the Separate School Board and an actual count from Mr. Rogers on the number of children in the occupied suites in the building. This motion was voted on and lost.

Councillor Leavers recommended that Building No. 3 of the Westwood Abbey Condominium on Darcel Avenue be released from the condition of an "Adult Only" building.

This recommendation was voted on and carried.

File: CDM 504 See Recommendation #304 (F. Leavers)

13. Report dated February 22, 1977 from the Commissioner of Planning regarding a proposed condominium on part of Block C, Registered Plan 924, All Borough Properties Limited, located on the north side of Sismet Road, east of Matheson Blvd. Mr. Edmunds recommended that the proposed condominium CDM 76-085, All Borough Properties Limited be recommended for approval to the Ministry of Housing, subject to the conditions outlined in the Planning Staff report dated February 22, 1977.

File: CDM 76-085

Approved See Recommendation #314 (F. Leavers)

MARCH 9, 1977

14. Report dated February 22, 1977 from the Commissioner of Planning regarding the proposed Official Plan Amendment 265. Mr. Edmunds recommended that the Ministry of Housing be advised that it is acceptable to the City of Mississauga to add the following modification to the section entitled "Details of the Amendment and Policies Relative Thereto" of Amendment 265:

"In addition, to ensure compatibility of the proposed residential uses east of Erin Mills Parkway and to minimize noise from Erin Mills Parkway, adequate noise reduction features will be investigated and provided."

File: Amendment 265

Approved

See Recommendation #315 (M.H. Spence)

15. Report No. 3-77 of the Planning Committee meeting held on March 2, 1977. The Committee considered the report item by item. Considerable discussion took place regarding Item #18, with regard to the City purchasing a parcel of land which the City is obligated to under an agreement with Dixthorpe Developments Limited. Councillor McKechnie requested that the committee hear the former Mayor, Dr. M. Dobkin, and Dr. Dobkin outlined the history of this matter. Councillor Butt requested that this item be discussed again when the committee is 'in camera'. Councillor Bean made the following recommendation:

That the Property Department conduct a City-wide search for a parcel of approximately 5.5 acres of serviced land which can be zoned for Townhouses with a view to purchasing such a parcel, and further that the Property Agent treat this matter with the highest priority, to report to General Committee by March 16, 1977.

The Planning Report as amended was adopted.

File: 105-77 See Recommendation #325 - 340 inclusive
(F. Hooper)

MARCH 9, 1977

16. Report dated February 24, 1977, from the Commissioner of Recreation and Parks regarding a float at the Brampton Flower Festival. Mr. Halliday recommended that the City endorse the theme of the Brampton Flower Festival, but decline with thanks, the invitation to enter a float in the parade. Councillor McKechnie proposed the following motion:

That the City match any service or community group in the City on a shared basis to a maximum of \$300.00. to provide a float in the Brampton Flower Festival.

Councillor Leavers recommended that the report from the Commissioner of Recreation and Parks and Councillor McKechnie's proposed motion be referred to the Department of Public Affairs for a report.

This motion was voted on and carried.

File: 75-77 See Recommendation #316 (F. Leavers)

EXTRA ITEM

Councillor McKechnie introduced a motion regarding two self-serve gas stations on Derry Road and Airport Road. This motion was referred to the Council meeting for March 14, 1977, subject to a report from the Legal Department.

A motion for recess was made at 10:50 a.m. and the meeting reconvened at 11:05 a.m. at which time committee moved 'in camera' to discuss Item 18 of the Planning Report, a report dated March 1, 1977 from the City Solicitor regarding Murray vs. Stortini and a report dated March 8, 1977 from the City Solicitor regarding Jan Davies vs. Murray and the City of Mississauga. Also discussed 'in camera' were the negotiations for the Fire Fighters and CUPE negotiations.

Councillor McCallion vacated the chair for the discussions on the Murray vs. Stortini and Jan Davies vs. Murray and Mississauga matters, due to a conflict of interest.

A motion to break for lunch was made at 12:25 p.m. and the meeting reconvened at 2:00 p.m., at which time the committee moved 'in camera' to complete the remaining 'in camera' items.

Committee moved out of camera at 3:35 p.m.

MARCH 9, 1977

The following recommendations resulted from the 'in camera' discussions:

Councillor Spence recommended that the City Solicitor be hereby instructed to have taxed the costs ordered in the matter of Murray vs. Stortini. This recommendation was voted on and carried.

File: 173-76 See Recommendation #341 (M. H. Spence)

Councillor Spence recommended that the City Solicitor be instructed not to initiate an appeal in the matter of Jan Davies vs. Murray and the City of Mississauga. This recommendation was voted on and carried.

File: 173-76 See Recommendation #342 (M. H. Spence)

Councillor Hooper recommended that the Mississauga Fire Fighters negotiations, 1977 proposals, outlined by Mr. S. Keith to Committee on March 9, 1977, be endorsed. This recommendation was voted on and carried.

File: 41-77A See Recommendation #343 (F. Hooper)

Mayor Searle recommended that Mr. S. Keith, Mr. R. Miller and Fire Chief Miller be appointed to the Negotiating Committee for 1977. This recommendation was voted on and carried.

File: 41-77A See Recommendation #344 (R. Searle)

Councillor Hooper recommended that salary increases for the Mississauga Fire Department remain within the guidelines established by the Anti-inflation Board. This recommendation was voted on and carried.

File: 41-77A See Recommendation #345 (F. Hooper)

Councillor Hooper recommended that the CUPE negotiations, 1977 proposals, outlined by Mr. S. Keith to Committee on March 9, 1977, be endorsed, as amended. This recommendation was voted on and carried.

File: 40-77 See Recommendation #346 (F. Hooper)

RECOMMENDATIONS: As Per Report No. 12

ADJOURNMENT: 3:40 p.m.

COUNCIL

AGENDA

MAR 14, 1977

SPECIAL

P.m.

THE COUNCIL OF
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

A G E N D A

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

MONDAY, MARCH 14, 1977, 7:30 P.M.

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

1 CITY CENTRE DRIVE, MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO

Prepared by: Clerk's Department
Date: March 9, 1977
Time: 12:00 Noon

NOTE: If the items are changed in any way, you will be
advised prior to the commencement of the meeting
by the Chairman.

COUNCILLORS AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS ARE REQUESTED TO CONTACT THE
APPROPRIATE DEPARTMENT HEADS PRIOR TO THE MEETING IF GREATER
EXPLANATION OR DETAIL IS REQUIRED WITH REGARD TO ANY ITEM ON
THE AGENDA.

1. REPORTS FROM MUNICIPAL OFFICERS - Attachment R-1

R-1 Report dated March 9, 1977, from Councillor Mary Helen Spence attached to which is a memorandum dated March 3, 1977, from Mr. K.A. Cowan, Director of Building, Licensing and Zoning, with respect to the revisions made to the Animal Control By-law No. 641-76.

2. MOTIONS

Resolution from Councillor Harold E. Kennedy with respect to certain amendments to the Animal Control By-law No. 641-76 which now have been incorporated into the Revised By-law attached to the report from Councillor Mary Helen Spence.

3. PETITIONS - Attachments P-1 to P-4

P-1 Petition signed by approximately 20 residents requesting that the proposed Animal Control By-law No. 641-76 be given further review.

P-2 Petition signed by approximately 45 people in the area of Mississauga and Toronto requesting that the proposed Animal Control By-law No. 641-76 be given further review.

P-3 Petition signed by approximately 25 residents requesting that the proposed Animal Control By-law No. 641-76 be given further review.

P-4 Petition signed by approximately 30 residents requesting that Council take action to have the owners of animals keep their pets under control and for cleaning up after them.

4. CORRESPONDENCE - Attachments I-1 to I-29

I-1 Mrs. Ruth Bancroft, 417 Orano Avenue, Mississauga

I-2 Mrs. M.L. Bevey, 3307 Victory Cresc., Mississauga

I-3 Mr. David J. Buchanan, 5560 Winston Churchill, Miss.

I-4 Mrs. W.H. Cumberland, 1407 Crescent Road, Mississauga

4. CORRESPONDENCE (continued)

- I-5 Mrs. B. Davis, 3640 Crabtree Cresc., Mississauga
- I-6 Mrs. S. Davy, 3042 Lafontaine Road, Mississauga
- I-7 Mrs. T. Delaney, 289 Mineola Rd. W., Mississauga
- I-8 Miss J. Dix, 7280 Cambrett Drive, Mississauga
- I-9 C. Ferguson, 812 Eaglemount Cresc., Mississauga
- I-10 Mrs. M. Forsyth, 1923 Pagehurst Court, Mississauga
- I-11 Mrs. N. Godard, 116 Sanford Ave. S., Mississauga
- I-12 Mrs. R.J. Heath, 826 Sunningdale Bend, Mississauga
- I-13 Mrs. Betty Hurson, 1357 Avonbridge Dr., Mississauga
- I-14 Mrs. A. Keefe, 252 Manitou Rd., Corunna, Ontario
- I-15 Mrs. S.C. Kuzel, 7247 Hermitage Rd., Mississauga
- I-16 Marlene Lakin, 715-2335 Lakeshore Blvd. W., Toronto
- I-17 L. Lim, Morning Star Drive, Mississauga
- I-18 Clifford Lomas, 3271 Marlene Court, Mississauga
- I-19 Mrs. S. Marshall, 3515 Laddie Crescent, Mississauga
- I-20 Mike McLardie, 2350 Cawthra Road, Mississauga
- I-21 Miss M. O'Connor, 12 Connorvale Ave., Toronto
- I-22 D.J. Schmalz, 1086 Henley Road, Mississauga
- I-23 Mrs. R. Schmauder, 7150 Minotola Avenue, Mississauga
- I-24 Mrs. B. Steel, 7487 Bybrook Drive, Mississauga
- I-25 Anne Taylor
- I-26 Mrs. A. Tratile, 3728 Woodruff Court, Malton
- I-27 Barbara Vaughan, 126 Hanson Road, Mississauga
- I-28 Gary Baughan, 126 Hanson Road, Mississauga
- I-29 J. Wiggett, 37 Indian Valley Trail, Mississauga

Note: The attached correspondence referred to above includes only those letters received by the City Clerk.

5. DEPUTATIONS - Attachments D-1 to D-6

- D-1 Boreal Laboratories, Mr. Paul T. Heron, President, Mississauga.
- D-2 Mr. H. Goeckel, Secretary of the Dixie Racing Pigeon Club, of Mississauga.
- D-3 Mr. Richard C. Haines of 1114 Shadeland Drive, Mississauga.
- D-4 Mr. Howard T. Hurson of 1357 Avonbridge Drive, Mississauga.
- D-5 Mr. R.A. Keeping of 46 Plainsman Road, Mississauga.
- D-6 Mr. J.R. McKenzie of Erin Mills Pet Hospital on Dunwin Drive, Mississauga.
- D-7 Mr. W. Valliere, Owner of the Age of Aquarius Pet Store in Toronto.
- D-8 Dr. W. Zenker of the Burloak Animal Clinic on Lakeshore Road in Oakville.

6. BY-LAWS

- #641-76 A By-law to regulate, restrict or prohibit the keeping of certain animals within the City of Mississauga or defined areas thereof, as amended.

2ND READING REQUIRED

- # A By-law to amend former Town of Port Credit Zoning By-law No. 1227. (This By-law removes from By-law No. 1227 reference to the provision of animals in residential and commercial areas.)

TWO READINGS REQUIRED

- # A By-law to amend By-law No. 284-76. (This By-law amends the Canine Control By-law No. 284-76 by adding thereto further regulations pertaining to the keeping of dogs.)

TWO READINGS REQUIRED

Page 4
March 14, 1977

7. BY-LAW TO CONFIRM PROCEEDINGS OF COUNCIL AT THIS MEETING

Verbal motion for required number of readings.

8. ADJOURNMENT

Verbal Motion.



City of Mississauga

MEMORANDUM

R-1

To Mayor and Members of Council
Dept. _____

From Councillor Mary Helen Spence
Dept. Ward 2

March 9, 1977.

As you are all aware there has been some controversy over our proposed Animal By-law. It has become obvious that many members of the public feel controls are necessary while others have stated clearly that they feel our proposal goes too far.

Although the Animal Committee no longer exists, I have taken it upon myself to see the job through and consequently have reviewed the correspondence and met with staff. You will find attached a revised Animal Control By-law for consideration of Council along with a memo from Mr. Cowan, indicating the types of changes which have been made. Those changes are italicized to make it easier to follow.

As Mr. Cowan says the input from the public as well as members of Council and the Humane Society have been helpful and I hope you will find the revised proposals of assistance to your deliberations.

M. Spence

Mary Helen Spence,
Councillor, Ward 2.

MHS:sn
Attach.



R-1(a)

City of Mississauga

MEMORANDUM

To Councillor (Mrs.) M. H. Spence

From Keith A. Cowan, P. Eng.

Dept. _____

Dept. Director of Building Standards

March 3rd, 1977

Dear Madam:

RE: Animal Control By-law

You will recall that this by-law was given one reading by Council late in 1976, and was later referred to the public for comment prior to final reading.

Since that time, as a result of published notices and other publicity, a number of submissions has been received from interested parties. These submissions (copies attached) indicate that diverse opinions exist with respect to certain provisions of the original by-law. For example, many people feel that cats should be exempt from any sort of control, while others feel that they should not be treated any differently from dogs.

These submissions have been studied by staff, and as well, the by-law has been reviewed in detail with a representative of the Ontario Humane Society. Further, the amendments sponsored by Councillor Kennedy were studied in detail. From all of these sources have come some very positive suggestions which bear further consideration by Council at this time.

Mr. Peter Piroth of the Legal Department and the writer have re-worked the original by-law to incorporate most of the suggested changes where such changes did not appear to contravene the basic philosophy of the by-law.

The matter of how best to deal with cats caused us the greatest difficulty, particularly since there is some question about the degree of control a Municipality might legally exert over these animals. At the suggestion of the Ontario Humane Society representative, we have provided for the apprehension and impounding of cats only upon the written complaint of a property owner to the effect that such cat or cats are causing damage or otherwise being a nuisance. It is expected that this situation will occur very infrequently.

The changed sections of the by-law are in a script type in the attached version so as to stand out for easy identification.

Continued.....

Councillor (Mrs.) M. H. Spence


- 2 -

March 3rd, 1977

R-1(b)

It is my opinion that the Animal Control By-law in approximately this revised form would provide a very useful piece of legislation for this City to be used only in cases of neglect or thoughtlessness on the part of those people who are in charge of animals.

Yours very truly,



Keith A. Cowan, P. Eng.
Director of Building Standards

KAC/ic
Attached

c.c. I. F. Markson
William P. Taylor, P. Eng.

P-1(c)

ANIMAL CONTROL
BY-LAW 641-76
SECTION CONCORDANCE

<u>FIRST READING NOVEMBER 26, 1976</u>		<u>REVISED FEBRUARY 22, 1977</u>
1(1)		1(1)
1(2)		1(2)
1(3)		1(3)
1(4)		1(4)
1(5)		1(5)
1(6)		
	-	1(6)
	1(12)	1(7)
1(7)		1(8)
1(8)		1(9)
1(9)		1(10)
1(10)		1(11)
1(11)		1(12)
1(12)		1(13) (7) (22)
1(13)		1(14)
1(14)		1(15)
1(15)		1(16)
1(16)		1(17)
1(17)		1(18)
	-	1(19)
1(18)		1(20)
1(19)		1(21)
	1(12)	1(22)
1(20)		1(23)
1(21)		1(24)
	-	2(1)
2(1)		2(2)
2(2) (a)		2(3) (a)
2(2) (b)		2(3) (b)
2(2) (c)		
2(2) (d)		2(5)
2(2) (e)		2(3) (f) (g)
2(2) (f)		2(4)
2(2) (g)		
2(2) (h)		2(3) (c)
	-	2(3) (d)
	2(2) (d)	3(10) (c) (Part)
	2(2) (d)	2(3) (e)
	2(2) (e)	2(3) (f)
	2(2) (c)	2(3) (g)
		2(4)
		2(5)

FIRST READING NOVEMBER 24, 1976

3(1)
3(2)
3(3)
3(4)
3(5)
3(6)
3(7)
3(8)
3(9)
3(10) (a) (b)
3(10) (c)
4(1)
4(2)

4(3)
4(4)
4(5)

4(6)
4(7)
4(8)
4(9)

4(9) (First Part)

4(9) (Second Part)

5
6
7
8
9

6
7
8

10
11

4(4)

12
13

11

14
15
16
17

Schedule "A"

REVISED FEBRUARY 22, 1977

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

13
14(a)
14(b)
15

16
17
18
19
20

2(2) (h) (Part)

26

17 (First Part)
21 (Second Part)

21

22

24(a) (b)
24(c)
25

23
24(a) (b)
24(c)
25

29

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

Schedule "A"

R-1(d)

Revised February 22, 1977

R-161
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
BY-LAW NUMBER 641-76.

A By-law to regulate, restrict or prohibit the keeping of certain animals within the City of Mississauga or defined areas thereof.

WHEREAS The Dog Tax and Live Stock and Poultry Protection Act, The Animals for Research Act, The Pounds Act, The Public Health Act, and The Municipal Act contain certain provisions relating to animals including provisions enabling municipalities to pass by-laws relating to animals;

AND WHEREAS The Corporation of the City of Mississauga has enacted a Dog Control By-law;

AND WHEREAS it is considered desirable to pass a by-law to regulate, restrict, or prohibit the keeping of certain animals within the City of Mississauga or defined areas thereof;

NOW THEREFORE The Council of the Corporation of the City of Mississauga ENACTS as follows:

DEFINITIONS

1. In this By-law:

- (1) "Animal Control Officer" means the By-law Enforcement Officer(s) of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga, and any other person or persons, society or association appointed by the said City as agent to enforce this by-law and any servant or agent of such person or association or society employed for such purposes.
- (2) "Animal" means any live non-human vertebrate or invertebrate, including birds and reptiles, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, includes domestic animals, animals raised for commercial purposes, animals kept as working animals, pets or for hobby purposes.
- (3) "Bird" applies mutatis mutandis to the definition of "Animal" herein.
- (4) "City" means The Corporation of the City of Mississauga.
- (5) "Domestic Fowl" means and includes chickens, geese, ducks, turkeys, and other such poultry and the young of any of them, and includes game birds where the game birds are kept pursuant to a licence under The Game and Fish Act or The Migratory Bird Convention Act (Canada).

R-1(f)

- (6) "Exotic Animals" means an animal not indigenous to Canada and not commonly kept as a household pet.
- (7) "Front Yard" means a yard extending across the full width of a lot between the front lot line and the nearest part of any building or structure on the lot.
- (8) "Homing and Racing Pigeons" means those pigeons banded with an identifying leg band issued by an organization recognized by Resolution of the Council of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga.
- (9) "Land" includes lands, tenements and hereditaments, and any estate or interest therein, and any right or easement affecting them, and land covered with water.
- (10) "Licence" for the purpose of this by-law shall be deemed to be the receipt issued by the Treasurer of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga, or his authorized agent, upon payment of the appropriate tax or licence fee.
- (11) "Lot" includes a block, reserve or other parcel of land.
- (12) "Outside Side Yard" means the side yard of a corner lot, which side yard extends from the front yard to the rear yard between the side street line and the nearest main wall of the main building or structure on the lot.
- (13) "Owner" includes any person who possesses or harbours animals, and owns or owned shall have a corresponding meaning and shall include a person or persons who are temporarily the keeper of animals.
- (14) "Person" means any individual, firm, incorporated or unincorporated group or organization, business entity or club to whom the context can apply in accordance with The Interpretations Act, R.S.O. 1970, c. 225, as amended.
- (15) "Pigeon" means any kind of pigeon, including "Homing and Racing Pigeons" as defined herein, and without restricting the generality of this definition, includes any pigeon whether wild, or kept as a pet, for consumption, or for sporting purposes.
- (16) "Pound" means such premises and facilities designated by the City of Mississauga as the City Pound.

R.1(4)

- (17) "Rear Yard" means a yard extending across the full width of a lot between the rear lot line of that lot and the nearest main wall of the main building or structure on that lot.
- (18) "Regulations" means the regulations made under The Dog Tax and Live Stock and Poultry Protection Act, R.S.O. 1970, c. 111.
- (19) "Releasable Age" means that age when an animal is able to fend on its own.
- (20) "Reptile" applies mutatis mutandis to the definition of "Animal" herein.
- (21) "Society" or "Humane Society" means the Ontario Humane Society, (Ontario Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals).
- (22) "Street Line" means that boundary which separates the public right-of-way from private property.
- (23) "Supply Facility" means premises, other than a research facility, that are used for the breeding and rearing of animals pursuant to a contract between the operator thereof and the operator of a research facility.
- (24) "Wild Animal" means an animal of the nature *Ferae Naturae*.

SCOPE OF BY-LAW

- 2. (1) Except as otherwise provided in Subsections (2) to (5) inclusive of this Section, this By-law applies to all persons and to all animals referred to in this By-law within the City of Mississauga.
- (2) This By-law does not apply to dogs during such time as The City's Dog Control By-law is in force and effect.
- (3) Sections 6 to 12 inclusive of this By-law to not apply to:
 - (a) an Animal Hospital or Clinic which is operated and supervised by a Veterinarian licensed by the Ontario Veterinarian Association in compliance with the City's Zoning and Licensing By-laws.
 - (b) the Pound, or an Ontario Humane Society shelter which complies with the City's Zoning and Licensing By-laws.

R-1(L)

- (c) any association or society, including the "Society" incorporated or established for the bona fide purpose of the protection and humane treatment of animals, provided same comply with the City's Zoning and Licensing By-laws.
 - (d) a bona fide educational institution.
 - (e) any person exempted by Council Resolution.
 - (f) a municipal or other governmental authority which operates a public park, exhibition, or zoological garden, and maintains animals therein.
 - (g) a travelling circus, exhibition, or road show which displays animals and does not have its home base in the City of Mississauga, provided same has been authorized by a City Council Resolution and inspected for public safety by the Animal Control Officer.
 - (h) premises registered as research facilities under Section 3 of The Animals for Research Act, R.S.O. 1970, as amended.
- (4) Sections 7, 8 & 9 of this By-law do not apply to a shop which offers pets for sale in compliance with the City's Zoning and Licensing By-laws.
- (5) Section 7 of this By-law does not apply to any establishment which breeds and/or boards animals in compliance with the City's Zoning and Licensing By-laws.

ANIMAL PROVISIONS

Keeping of Animals - General

3. Every animal shall be:
- (a) treated in a humane manner, and
 - (b) kept so that:
 - (i) the transfer of pathogenic agents is minimized, and,
 - (ii) there are no offensive odours, or odours which may attract other animals, and,
 - (iii) there is an adequate exercise area for each animal on the premises of the person who owns or possesses the animal.
4. Every person who presently owns or becomes the owner of any animal immediately thereupon assumes the responsibility of ensuring that such animal receives the necessary food, water, housing and attention.

R-1(i)

Animal Enclosures

5. Every cage, tank, pen or other such enclosure used for the housing of an animal kept as a pet or for hobby purposes shall be so constructed and maintained that:
- (a) except as otherwise provided for reptiles in Subsection (b) of this Section, every animal in the cage, tank or pen may comfortably:
 - (i) extend its legs to their full natural extent,
 - (ii) stand,
 - (iii) sit,
 - (iv) lie down in a fully extended position,
 - (v) be provided with adequate space for exercise purposes.
 - (b) every reptile in the cage, tank or pen shall have adequate room for its health, welfare and comfort.
 - (c) it is not likely to harm any animal therein.
 - (d) every animal therein may be readily observed unless the natural habits of the animal otherwise require.
 - (e) any animal therein cannot readily escape therefrom.
 - (f) it minimizes as nearly as practicable the transfer of pathogenic agents; and
 - (g) it may be readily sanitized.

Prohibition - Wild Animals and Exotic Animals

6. No person shall keep any wild animal or exotic animal within the limits of the City of Mississauga, unless such animal has been domesticated, and the animal and facilities for keeping of same have been inspected for public safety by the Animal Control Officer.

Animals - General Restrictions

7. (a) No person shall keep, or do any thing which may cause to be kept, more than four (4) animals of any particular specie on any lot on any residential, commercial or industrial land in the City of Mississauga.
- (b) Subsection (a) of this Section does not apply to:
- (i) any animals under the Releasable Age.
 - (ii) Homing and Racing Pigeons.

- (iii) Rodents or small birds kept at all times in an Animal Enclosure described in Section 5 of this By-law.

R-1(j)

Domestic Fowl, Pigeons and Other Such Bird-Type Animals.

8. (a) No person shall keep any domestic fowl, pigeons or other such bird-type animals which normally live outdoors unless same are kept enclosed only in the rear yard or outside side yard of any lot at a distance not less than 6.1 m. (20 ft.) away from the lot line of that property and at least 12.2 m. (40 ft.) from any school, church dwelling, or other premises used for human habitation or occupancy, except the premises on the subject lot, or the lot immediately adjacent thereto, occupied exclusively by the owner or keeper of such animals, or the members of the owner or keeper's immediate family.
- (b) All domestic fowl, pigeons or other bird-type animals shall be kept in enclosed and ventilated lofts, coops, pens or runs, and such enclosures shall, insofar as practicable, be screened from the adjacent lots and streets by hedges, shrubs or other suitable screening.
- (c) No person shall permit domestic fowl, pigeons or other bird-type animals to stray from the lot of the owner or keeper.
- (d) Pigeons must be kept enclosed at all times, except as otherwise provided for homing and racing pigeons in Section 9(b) of this By-law.
- (e) The Animal Control Officer may, upon the written complaint of the owner or occupant of any premises, enter upon such premises and the land and buildings in the vicinity thereof for the purpose of trapping by humane methods, and removing and impounding if necessary, strayed pigeons that are causing annoyance to the owner or occupant or damages to such premises.

Homing and Racing Pigeons

9. (a) No person shall keep, or do any thing which may cause to be kept, more than forty (40) homing or racing pigeons on any lot in the City of Mississauga.

R-1(h)

- (b) Homing and racing pigeons must be kept enclosed at all times, except for a maximum of two flight periods daily, which flights shall take place before 9.00 o'clock in the forenoon or after 5.00 o'clock in the afternoon, and such flights shall be under the supervision of the owner of the homing or racing pigeons or some other competent person on his behalf.
- (c) Subsection (b) of this Section does not apply where Council of the City, by Resolution, sanctions an organized homing or racing flight.

Cattle, Goats, Mink, Fox, Sheep and other Animals

- 10. (a) Cattle, goats, swine, mink, fox, sheep, nutria and other such animals shall not be kept in the City of Mississauga except on agricultural land.
- (b) This Subsection does not apply to dogs, cats, rabbits, horses, donkeys, mules, birds, chinchillas, mice, rats, gerbils, guinea pigs, hamsters, indigenous non-venomous snakes or fish kept as pets.

Horses, Donkeys, Ponies and Mules

- 11. No person shall keep any horse, pony, donkey or mule unless such person shall comply with the following:
 - (a) such animals shall be kept at least 30.5 (100 ft.) from the lot line and at least 91.5 m. (300 ft.) from any school, church, public hall, store, dwelling or other premises used for human habitation or occupancy.
 - (b) such horse, pony, donkey or mule shall be housed in a stable not used for human habitation which, insofar as practicable, shall be screened from the adjacent lots and streets by hedges, shrubs or other suitable screening.
 - (c) the floors of such stable shall be of a durable material, impervious to moisture and so laid and provided with gutters so that drainage is effected into a sanitary sewer. Where a sanitary sewer is not available, provisions for drainage of sanitary waste shall be made in accordance with the requirements of The Public Health Act. A wooden platform above such flooring is permitted if an air space of at least two inches is provided above the floor.

- (d) the stable shall have a secondary exit for emergency removal of such animals. 2-14
- (e) all doors, windows or openings or such stable shall, at all times, between the 1st day of April and the 30th day of November following in each year, be kept protected by screens effective against flies and small insects.
- (f) all manure shall be promptly collected and deposited in a manure receptacle of adequate size which shall be provided and constructed as follows:
- (i) The floors and all portions of the walls of the receptacle shall be of concrete, and water tight.
 - (ii) The receptacle shall be provided with self-closing, fly-proof doors, and so constructed that objectionable odours are not allowed to escape.
 - (iii) The receptacle shall be constructed, erected or located within the City only after the design has been approved by the Building Inspector and a permit issued therefor.
 - (iv) The receptacle shall be emptied and the manure disposed of at least once within any twelve month period.
- (g) such building or stable shall be maintained in a clean condition, and any yard under 279 sq. m. (3000 sq. ft.) in which animals are allowed to run, shall be kept at all times free from accumulations of manure and other refuse.
- (h) all food, except roughage, shall be kept in rodentproof containers.

Snakes, Reptiles, Insects, and Spiders

12. (a) No person shall keep, house or sell within the limits of the City of Mississauga venomous snakes, venomous reptiles, venomous insects, or venomous spiders.
- (b) No person shall keep, house or sell within the limits of the City of Mississauga any snake which is not indigenous to Canada.

ANIMAL BEING AT LARGE

- R-1(m)
13. For the purpose of this By-law, any animals shall be considered to be at large when found on a highway or other public place, or on premises other than the premises upon which it is habitually kept, if not under the control of any person, and an animal shall be deemed not to be under the control of any person unless accompanied by an attendant who shall exercise control over the said animal at all times.
 14. (a) No owner of any animal, shall allow such animal to be at large, or trespass upon private property within the limits of the City of Mississauga.
(b) Subsection (a) of this Section does not apply to the owner of any cats, except where a property owner makes a formal written complaint to the Animal Control Officer that a cat is causing damage to his property or otherwise being a nuisance, in which event the said Officer may, with the property owner's consent, enter onto his property for the purpose of apprehending the cat and impounding same in accordance with the provisions of this By-law.
 15. Any animal being at large contrary to the provisions of this By-law may be impounded and may be sold as hereinafter provided.
 16. Any person may capture in a humane way any animal being at large and trespassing on his property and deliver same to the Poundkeeper or Animal Control Officer.
 17. It shall be the duty of the Animal Control Officer to capture all animals being at large contrary to this By-law and take them to the owner or to the Pound for impounding.
 18. An Animal Control Officer, or other duly appointed officer, may enter on any public property, or on private property with the consent of the owner of the property, for the purpose of catching any animal being at large contrary to the provisions of this By-law.

R.1(m)

19. (a) Where an animal which, being at large, is injured or it appears the animal should be destroyed without delay for humane reasons or for reasons of safety to persons or animals, the animal shall be subjected to examination by a veterinarian, for diagnosis prior to the animal being destroyed by the Animal Control Officer or a Police Officer.
- (b) In the event that such examination and/or assistance provided for in this Section is not available and it is apparent to the Animal Control Officer or a Police Officer the animal is so severely injured that it is inhumane to remove the animal to an Animal Hospital, the Animal Control Officer or Police Officer may kill the animal in a humane manner, provided that the owner shall have the right to reclaim the carcass and in any event the owner, if known, shall be notified of the action taken.

IMPOUNDING ANIMALS

20. (a) For the impounding of all animals captured under the provisions of this by-law, a place shall be established under the care and control of the Poundkeeper whose duty it shall be to impound all animals, brought to him or found by him to be at large, contrary to this By-law, and to dispose of the same as hereinafter provided.
- (b) The Poundkeeper shall keep a record of all animals impounded, and how disposed of and make monthly returns to City Council or such officer designated by Council, showing the number impounded, how disposed of and the amount of money collected for Redemption Fees and the proceeds of same.
21. The owner of an animal impounded pursuant to this By-law shall be entitled to redeem such animal within seventy-two (72) hours from the time of impoundment, exclusive of the day of impoundment and holidays, by paying the Poundkeeper the applicable Redemption Fee prescribed in Schedule "A", and in the event that such amount is not redeemed within seventy-two (72) hours as aforesaid, the said animal may be sold by the Poundkeeper to any person who demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Poundkeeper that he can comply with Sections 3, 4 and 5 of this By-law with respect to the keeping of such animal, or the Poundkeeper may

R-1(0)

- then cause the said animal to be destroyed.
22. It shall be the duty of the Poundkeeper to care for, and dispose of, all animals after they have been impounded in accordance with this By-law, and The Animals for Research Act, including regulations made pursuant to that Act.
23. Should any impounded animal bear any tag or other means of identification the Poundkeeper shall immediately make every effort to notify the owner of the impounded animal and, upon payment of the applicable Redemption Fee set out in Schedule "A", shall release same to the owner with no maintenance charge being imposed, provided redemption is made on the day of seizure.
24. (a) An Animal Control Officer may confine under supervised quarantine in the Pound for a period not to exceed fourteen (14) days an animal which has bitten a person, provided nevertheless that the owner may request the Animal Control Officer to have the animal killed.
- (b) Where the animal has bitten a person and is suspected of having rabies, the Medical Officer of Health shall cause the animal to be quarantined in accordance with Regulation No. 703 of The Revised Regulations of Ontario, 1970, as enacted pursuant to The Public Health Act, R.S.O. 1970, as amended.
- (c) Any animal known to be rabid or suspected of being rabid shall be confined under supervised quarantine, or the Medical Officer of Health may order the animal to be killed and the carcass disposed of in such manner as the said Medical Officer directs.
25. Where an animal is alleged to have bitten any person, such animal may be impounded and held by the Poundkeeper on the order of the Chief of Police or by the Animal Control Officer, provided that no animal shall be so impounded for a period in excess of twenty-one (21) days unless otherwise ordered by a Provincial Judge.

R-1(1)

ANIMAL CLEAN UP

26. Any person who owns, harbours or possesses any animal shall be responsible for the removal and sanitary disposition of any excrement of the said animal.

FEES

27. The Schedule of Redemption Fees payable under this by-law shall be as set forth in Schedule "A" to this by-law, which Schedule is hereby declared to be and form part of this by-law.

BY-LAW ADMINISTRATION

28. The Commissioner of Engineering, Works and Building for the Corporation of the City of Mississauga, and any person designated by him, shall administer this By-law.

GENERAL

29. In this By-law unless the context otherwise requires words imparting the singular number shall include the plural, and words imparting the masculine gender shall include the feminine.

PENALTIES

30. Any person who contravenes any provision of this by-law shall upon summary conviction, be liable to a fine not more than one thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars, exclusive of costs, for each and every such offence, and every fine is recoverable under The Summary Convictions Act.

BY-LAWS REPEALED

31. (1) Any By-laws, or those parts of any By-laws, prohibiting, restricting, or regulating the keeping of certain animals, birds or reptiles, including By-law Number 72-40, enacted and passed by the Municipal Council of the Corporation of the former Town of Streetsville under the authority of the Municipal Act, as amended from time to time, be and the same are hereby repealed.
- (2) Any By-laws, or those parts of any By-laws, prohibiting, restricting, or regulating the keeping of certain animals, birds or reptiles, including By-law Number 4178, enacted and passed by the Municipal Council of the Corporation of the former Township of Toronto, be and the same are hereby repealed.

R-11(g)

- (3) Any By-laws, or those parts of any By-laws, prohibiting, restricting, or regulating the keeping of certain animals, birds or reptiles, enacted and passed by the Municipal Council of the Corporation of the former Town of Mississauga, be and the same are hereby repealed.
- (4) Any By-laws, or those parts of any By-laws, prohibiting, restricting, or regulating the keeping of certain animals, birds or reptiles, enacted and passed by the Municipal Council of the Corporation of the Town of Oakville under the authority of The Municipal Act, as amended from time to time, which apply to that part of the Town of Oakville that was annexed to the City of Mississauga in accordance with and as more particularly described in Section 2(1)(a) of The Regional Municipality of Peel Act, 1973, S.O. 1973, c.60, be and same are hereby repealed.
- (5) (a) Any By-laws, or those parts of any By-laws, prohibiting, restricting, or regulating the keeping of certain animals, birds or reptiles, enacted and passed by the Municipal Council of the Corporation of the former Town of Port Credit, be and the same are hereby repealed.
- (b) It is intended that a separate By-law will be passed by City Council to repeal Paragraph 11 of Section 6 of The General Provisions of By-law Number 1227 of the Corporation of the former Town of Port Credit.

TITLE

32. This by-law may be referred to as "The Animal Control By-law".

R-1(a)

DATE BY-LAW IN FORCE AND EFFECT

33. This By-law comes into force and effect thirty (30) days after final passing thereof.

ENACTED AND PASSED this _____ day of _____, 1977.

MAYOR

CLERK

FIRST READING: November 24, 1976

SCHEDULE "A" TO BY-LAW NO. 641-76

SCHEDULE OF REDEMPTION FEES

EFFECTIVE AS OF THE DATE OF PASSING HEREOF

ANIMAL BEING AT LARGE (within a six month period)

First Time Impounded - \$ 5.00

Second Time Impounded - \$10.00

Each Subsequent Time Impounded
Thereafter: Redemption Fee for
previous Time Impounded plus
additional - \$ 5.00

Plus a maintenance sum of two dollars
(\$2.00) for each day subsequent to the
day of impounding that the animal remains
impounded.

R-1(4)

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
BY-LAW NUMBER

A By-law to amend By-law No. 1227, as amended, being the Zoning By-law of the Corporation of the former Town of Port Credit, now the City of Mississauga.

1. By-law Number 1227, as amended, being a City of Mississauga Zoning By-law is hereby amended by repealing Paragraph 11 of Section 6 of The General Provisions of the said By-law.

ENACTED and PASSED this day of . 1977.

MAYOR

CLERK

R-11(*)

APPENDIX "A" TO THE APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF BY-LAW NUMBER

Purpose of the By-law

To remove reference in this By-law to prohibition of animals inasmuch as such a provision is being included in the City's Animal Control By-law.

Effect of the By-law

This By-law will no longer refer to a prohibition of animals in residential and commercial areas of the former Town of Port Credit.

Location of Lands Affected

All the lands in the former Town of Port Credit as annexed to the City of Mississauga by The Regional Municipality of Peel Act, 1973, S.O. 1973, c. 60.

R-1(w)

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

BY-LAW NUMBER

A By-law to amend By-law No. 284/76 which provides for the licensing, regulation, and registration of dogs.

WHEREAS Council of the Corporation of the City of Mississauga desires to amend By-law No. 284/76 which provides for the licensing, regulation, and registration of dogs within the City of Mississauga or defined areas thereof;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the Corporation of the City of Mississauga ENACTS as follows:

1. By-law 284/76 of the Corporation of the City of Mississauga is hereby amended by adding thereto the following Sections which read as follows:

Keeping of Dogs - General

"14. Every dog shall be

(a) treated in a humane manner, and

(b) kept so that:

(i) the transfer of pathogenic agents is minimized,

(ii) there are no offensive odours, or odours which may attract other animals,

and

(iii) there is an adequate exercise area for each dog on the premises of the person who owns or possesses the dog.

15. Every person who presently owns or becomes the owner of any dog immediately thereupon assumes the responsibility of ensuring that such dog receives the necessary food, water, housing and attention.

Dog Enclosures

16. Every cage, pen or other such enclosure used for the housing of a dog kept as a pet or for hobby purposes shall be so constructed and maintained that:

(a) every dog in the cage, pen or other such enclosure may comfortably:

(i) extend its legs to their full natural extent,

(ii) stand,

(iii) sit,

Q-1(u)

- (iv) lie down in a fully extended position,
- (v) be provided with adequate space for exercise purposes.
- (b) it is not likely to harm any animal therein.
- (c) every dog therein may be readily observed unless the natural habits of the dog otherwise require.
- (d) any dog therein cannot readily escape therefrom.
- (e) it minimizes as nearly as practicable the transfer of pathogenic agents; and
- (f) it may be readily sanitized."

ENACTED and PASSED this day of , 1977.

MAYOR

CLERK

RESOLUTION

PAGE 1.

February 28

SESSION

19 77 No.

MOVED BY

SECONDED BY

WHEREAS the previous Council gave first reading to By-law

Number 641-76 to regulate, restrict or prohibit the keeping of
certain animals within the City of Mississauga and;

WHEREAS considerable concern has been expressed regarding certain
provisions of this By-law;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the following amendments be considered.

1. THAT Section 2 (c) be revised to read "and/or" rather than "and".
2. THAT Section 2 (f) be revised to include any citizen registered
with the City as doing private animal welfare work.
3. THAT Section 3 (3) (a) (v) be revised to provide for adequate
space at the discretion of the Animal Control Officer.
4. THAT Section 3(4) be revised to provide for the following:-
 1. to except any citizen registered as doing private animal
welfare work.

01-143

Continued.....

RESOLUTION

PAGE 2

February 28 SESSION 19 77 No.

MOVED BY

SECONDED BY

2. to refer to exotic animals.

3. to exclude animals under releasable age or injured animals.

4. to provide for facilities being kept open for inspections.

5. THAT Section 3 (5) (b) (i) be revised to read under the age of three months or under releasable age or injured.

6. THAT Section 3 (6) (a) be revised to refer only to bird-type animals quartered outside the dwelling and further revised to provide for enclosing in the rear yard.

7. THAT Section 3 (6) (e) be deleted.

8. THAT Section 3 (6) (f) be revised to provide for trapping by means of humane live trapping methods.

9. THAT in Section 3 (7) (b) the word "control" be changed to the word "supervision".

01-143

Continued.....

RESOLUTION

PAGE 3

SESSION 19 No.

MOVED BY _____

SECONDED BY _____

10. THAT Section 3 (8) (a) be revised to include "chinchillas".

11. THAT Section 3 (8) (b) be revised to exclude "chinchillas".

12. THAT Section 3 (9) (e) be amended to include fire safety measures.

13. THAT Section 3 (10) be revised to include exotic animals.

14. THAT Section 4 (1-6) be revised so as not to refer to cats.

15. THAT Section 4 (9) be revised to provide for the sale by the pound keeper to responsible persons only.

16. THAT Section 5 be revised to provide for impounded animals to be cared for in compliance with Section 3 of this by-law.

17. THAT Section 7 be revised to provide that the animals will be humanely killed by an Animal Control Officer or Police Officer.

02-143

p.2

We, the undersigned, respectfully request that the proposed Animal Control By-Law 641-76 be sent back for further review in its generality.

In particular we object to the provision that cats be subject to impoundment when off of the owner's property, and therefore subject to the provisions of The Animals for Research Act. In our view this clause is unnatural, unenforceable and prohibitive in cost to the taxpayer.

Date	Name	Address	Ward
Feb 6/77	Donna Brunton	1736 Lawrence Ave W	North York
"	Joe Ezaad	345-1-1-1 St. Patrick	Parkdale
"	Roma Gich	20 Lawrence Rd.	ALAN DUT
"	VINCENT PSANI	25 LAWRENCE RD.	ALAN DUT
Feb 6/77	Margaret Luthria	201 Glenview Dr.	"
"	M. H. H. H.	7191 Belmonte Cres	MISSISSAUGA
Feb 6/77	W. H. H.	318 Pinegrove	Oakville
Feb 6/77	Alton	67 Kennedy PK. Rd	Toronto
Feb 6/77	J. H. H.	177 W. E. R. DR.	OAKVILLE
Feb 6/77	D. Spence	41 Nelson St.	Oakville
Feb 6/77	Wayne Trevelyan	186 Huron St.	Oshawa
Feb 6/77	Chris Thornley	1297 Park Rd S.	Oshawa
Feb 6/77	Elizabeth Boyle	Box 501, Beaverton Ave.	"
"	J. H. H.	"	"
"	R. A. McElwain	2301 WORKING CREEK	MISSISSAUGA
"	William Rock	1 Park Ave	"
Feb 6/77	May France	221 BRIDGE RD	Oakville
"	Robt. Ostrad	141 Ramerville Ave.	Toronto
"	J. H. H.	351 W. E. R. DR.	"
"	G. H. H.	1534 Glen Road	Oakville
"	B. Kelly	100 BAIN Ave	Toronto
"	D. P. Kelly	1111 Forestwood Drive	Mississauga
"	B. M. H.	K. R. H.	"
"	Adrian Acland	20 Chesler	North York
Feb 6/77	Dr. + Mrs. W. Bagnell	1025, Bagnell Ave.	Mississauga
"	Don + L. H.	1294 Maple Ave	OAKVILLE
Feb 6	Carol Anderson	"	"
Feb 6	J. H. H.	"	"

Feb 6/77 Helen [unclear] 424 [unclear] 11-2-77
 " " James [unclear] (P2a)
 Feb 6/77 Ann Bright 2103 [unclear] [unclear]
 Feb 6/77 Ron + Jeanette Klay 65 Liberty St, Hamilton, Ont
 Feb 6/77 Wella + Mona [unclear] 28 Glendale Ave S. Hamilton
 Feb 6 [unclear] + Mary Derouin 1053 St. [unclear] Burlington
 Feb 6 [unclear] [unclear] 107 [unclear] [unclear]
 Feb 6 [unclear] Melville [unclear] [unclear], Pa.
 Feb 6 [unclear] [unclear] 135 [unclear] [unclear]
 Feb 6 [unclear] [unclear] 2222 Canal [unclear]
 Feb 6/77 Ben Bright 2103 [unclear] [unclear]
 Feb 6/77 [unclear] 2272 [unclear] [unclear]
 Feb 6/77 Christine [unclear] 2431 [unclear] [unclear]
 Feb 6/77 Mrs. [unclear] 16 [unclear] [unclear]
 Feb 6/77 Johanna Bright 2103 Bronte Rd. Oakville
 Feb 6/77 [unclear] LeFort 19 Caswell St. [unclear]
 Feb 6/77 [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]



P-3

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 830
DATE JAN 27 1977
FILE NO. 146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

1357 Woodbridge Ave.,
Mississauga, Ont.,
Jan. 25, 1977.

Mr. A. Julien, Chief City Clerk,
City of Mississauga,
City Centre,
Mississauga, Ont.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed are the names of a few friends who are deeply concerned
as to the respect of the proposed animal control by-law, that is,
the confinement of cats.

We all feel that basically the by-law is a good one but that this
one section will not only be unenforceable but costly to the tax-
payers.

We hope our wishes will merit serious consideration when the by-law
is discussed in March.

Sincerely yours,

Geary Huron
(rs.) Betty Huron.

cc to his secretary or to the clerk
Enc.

We, the undersigned, respectfully request that the proposed Animal Control By-Law 641-76 be sent back for further review in its generality.

In particular we object to the provision that cats be subject to impoundment when off of the owner's property, and therefore subject to the provisions of The Animals for Research Act. In our view this clause is unnatural, unenforceable and prohibitive in cost to the taxpayer.

P3(a)

Date	Name	Address	Ward
Dec 10/76	Jean Benetti	1365 Avonbridge Dr.	I
Dec 10/76	Richard Bernman	34 Mississauga Rd.	
Dec 10/76	Laura Benatti	1365 AVONBRIDGE DR	I
Dec 12/76	Andy Talbot	1364 AVONBRIDGE DR	278 I 854
Dec 12/76	John Talbot	1364 Avonbridge Dr.	I
Dec 12/76	Margaret Talbot	1364 Avonbridge Dr.	I
Dec 12/76	Ruth Barbara Bentley	1583 Avonbridge Dr.	I
Dec 14/76	E. H. Hyell	1211 Sturtevant	274 I 2025
Dec 14/76	City of Nanawauk	1186 Sturtevant	278 I 304
Dec 15/76	Nancy Helen Reed	1727 Huron Rd	W 278 I 0459
Dec 15/76	Mrs John Clark	1394 Glenburne Rd	I
Dec 20/76	Mrs. Jane C. Brown	17716 Huron Rd. W.	I
Dec 20/76	City of Huron	1357 Avonbridge Dr.	I
Dec 20/76	Linda Enslin	36 Huron Rd. W.	I
Dec 20/76	2773 Huron	1557 Avonbridge Dr.	I
Dec 21/76	Don Rankine	1450 Huron Rd.	
Dec 22/76	Paul E. General	1204 Sturtevant	I
Dec 22/76	John & Sue Dinkow	169 Oakes Dr.	I
Dec 23/76	Doris & Shannon	1364 Goldthorpe Rd.	
Dec 24	Mary L. Zecherig	117 Oakes Dr.	
Dec 24	Brenda Karson	127 Oakes Dr.	278-620
23 Dec/76	Shirley Forde	107 Oakes Dr.	Miss 278-669
23 Dec 76	Chris. G. Abraham	30 Oakes Dr.	Miss 278-7775
Jan 12	Ignatz Mackmurryk	1462 Huron Rd.	

P-4

To: City Clerk Mississauga

December 3, 1976

From: Citizens of Lorne Park (Manley Valley)

For the past few months we have noticed a dramatic increase in unchecked felines in our area. This has resulted in overturned flower beds, fouled gardens, middle of the night cat sessions, dead birds and squirrels and downright anger and annoyance for residents.

Cats, being creatures of habit are impossible to discourage and no fence or chemical deterrent offers protection. These animals roam at will and all efforts to stop them have so far resulted only in frustration.

We suggest it is time the owners of these animals were made responsible for the actions and control of their pets. Dogs must have both health certificates and licences. Their owners are required to keep them under control and for the cleaning up of their defecation. Why this discrepancy?

We do not want these uncontrolled animals on our properties and the resulting annoyance warrants Councils attention and action.

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	1632
DATE	FEB 21 1977
FILE NO.	146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

Madeline Barrow
R. Mary Vercaigne
J. J. J. J. J.
H. C. C. C. C.

Quorum
J. J. J. J. J.

P. R. R. R. R.
R. R. R. R. R.

Joyce Ducknell
L. C. C. C. C.
C. C. C. C. C.

B. H. H. H. H.
B. H. H. H. H.
B. H. H. H. H.

L. C. C. C. C.
M. C. C. C. C.

J. J. J. J. J.
C. C. C. C. C.
B. H. H. H. H.

1153 Mirada Place P-4(a)

1156 Mirada Place

1148 Mirada Place

1148 Mirada Place

1148 Mirada Place, Mississippi

1149 ✓ ✓

1124 Fair Birch Drive, Mississippi

1124 Fair Birch " "

1129 Mirada Place

1111 Fair Birch Dr.

1072 Fair Birch Dr.

1090 Fair Birch Dr.

1061 Fair Birch Dr. Mississippi

1076 Fair Birch Dr. Mississippi

1479 Joycewood Ct.

1141 Fair Birch Dr. Mississippi

15 Elizabeth St. N. Miss.

1108 Woodland Dr. Miss.

1124 Fair Birch Dr. Miss.

p-4(b)

Jilly MacKenna
Leta J. Steele
J. Steele
Chris. Whit.
F. M. W. 38
A. Ricker
Loren Barton
William L. Laman
Hodney Moore
A. Jackson
Gerald Chapman

1667 Fair Bank Union
Cayente Pl.
Cayente Pl.
1156 Cayente
1156 Cayente
1160 Cayente Pl.
1171 Buchanan LPR.
1164 CAYENTE PL.
Fairview
1154 Nevada

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 1463
DATE FEB 15 1977
FILE NO. 146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

I-1
February 14, 1977.

To: Mayor Ron Searle and Councillors,
From: Ruth Bancroft (Mrs. E.),
417 Orano Ave.,
Mississauga, Ont.

With reference to by-law #641-76, as a former member of the original Animal Control Committee of 1975, I would suggest that this by-law came before council prematurely.

The committee consisted of three veterinarians, three people representing the citizens of Mississauga, two council members, and two representatives of the Ontario Humane Society. One of the council members, Councillor Bud Gregory, chaired five of our meetings, then left to become an M.P.P. At our sixth and last meeting, chaired by Councillor Mary Helen Spence, with just six members present, we dealt with the Cambridge by-law in its entirety, clause by clause.

Committee made some amendments and referred back to staff and legal department and asked that the finalized draft be submitted to the Animal Control Committee for perusal and comment prior to submission to council. However, the chairman disbanded the committee at the end of the meeting. I do not think that the work of the committee could be said to have been finished, and certainly the finalized by-law is not the work of the committee.

I understand that another animal control committee was formed in April of 1976, consisting of three councillors. While there are many good points in the by-law presented by them I respectfully suggest that more work be done on it before second reading.

Sincerely,

(Mrs. E.) Ruth Bancroft.

Mississauga.
1-2 Out

L4T-1M2

Jan 23rd/77

Dear Sir,

I wish to add my protest to the proposed by-law about keeping cats on a leash outside the home.


A far more sensible answer to the dilemma of keeping cats under control is to enforce spaying and neutering. Cats that have had the operation hardly ever wander, & they don't "spray" all over doors, windows, shrubs, or flowers. They also don't have 3 or 4 litters a year to start

the whole cycle again.

Trusting my one voice
added to the many, causes
a sensible method of
control to be arrived at,

Yours sincerely,
M. L. Beney.
(Mrs)

I.2(a)



3 March 1977

Mayor Ron Searle
1. City Center Dr.
Mississauga Ont.

REGISTRY NO.	2137
DATE	MAR 7 1977
FILE NO.	146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

Dear Sir,

I would like to inform you of my objections to and observations of the City of Mississauga By-Law #641-76 (Animal Control Act).

Section three, Sub-section 1a states that every animal shall be treated in a humane manner. I would like to state several facts which show that sections of this Act are not humane.

SECTION 3

Subsection 5(b)

This section does not apply to

- (i) any animal under the age of one (1) month.

The minimum weaning time for most animals is ten weeks. Weaning time for a horse is five to six months of age. Weaning at one month, while it can be done, providing the owner is willing to hand feed the foal, will cause the animal undue hardship.

Subsection 9c

The Act states that the floor of the stable should be of

- 1) durable material, impervious to moisture and,
- 2) so laid and provided with gutters so that drainage is affected into a sanitary sewer.

The ideal flooring for a horse is tightly packed sand or clay. The sand or clay base, with bedding on top, allows moisture to seep into the ground, keeping the bedding relatively dry. If a stall is too wet, a horse's feet develop a condition known as "thrush". Thrush is an infection caused by a micro-organism (*sphaerophus necrophous*) in dirty and wet bedding, causing lameness.

Gutters and drains are fine for standing stalls, but they are impracticable in a box stall. To have a drain in a box stall would defeat the purpose of bedding. A horse needs a fair amount of bedding in its stall. Without this bedding, a horse can develop a condition known as "laminitis", or as it is commonly called, founder. One of the causes of founder is standing on hard surfaces for long periods of time.

Basically, 'founder' is a state of congestion affecting the vascular system within the hoof. Pressure applied to the hoof (the horse's weight, standing) causes an increase in pressure in the vascular system and is extremely painful.

A cement floor also holds moisture and dampness and can be a cause of arthritis.

Subsection 9e

The Act states that manure shall be deposited in a receptacle that is built of concrete, water tight and constructed so that odours are not allowed to escape.

I have four horses in my barn in which the smallest stall is 10' by 10'. I take approximately 120 cubic feet of manure from my barn each week. This is

6240 cubic feet per year. The size of the 'manure receptacle' for my horses, for a one year supply of manure would be 30 feet long, 25 feet wide and 10 feet high. Such a building would cost between five and seven thousand dollars to build. I-36

As odours are not allowed to escape, this building would have to be airtight. Manure placed in this dark and airless building would produce harmful bacteria. This bacteria would produce a very offensive odour when the manure is transported. When manure is spread on the ground, it is broken down and becomes a fertilizer and there is very little odour.

Since the manure must be disposed of, I would like to know if the City of Mississauga is going to provide a disposal area, and, if so, just what is the City going to do with the manure?

If the City of Mississauga is going to ban manure from the city limits, what is it going to do about the thousands of city dwellers who go to the farms and bring back trailer loads of manure for their lawns and gardens?

Subsection 9g

The Act states that all food, except roughage, shall be kept in rodent-proof containers.

I would like to know if this includes feed stores. Feed storage on these premises is usually in paper or burlap bags, which are definitely not rodent-proof.

SECTION 4

Subsection 7

The Act states that if an injured animal should be destroyed without delay for humane reasons, the animal shall be subjected to examination by a veterinarian.

I do not consider "waiting for a vet" humane. If it is obvious that the animal is beyond saving, it should be destroyed by the quickest possible means. Why should the animal suffer any more than it already has? As long as it is in pain, it is still a danger to itself, other animals and persons around it.

Subsection 9

Persons appointed for that purpose to capture all animals running at large.

In 1975, I called the Humane Society on Mevis Road when two lost ponies (mare and foal) wandered onto my property. Both ponies would have fit into a small panel truck without any discomfort. The Humane Society refused to do anything about it. They stated that they didn't have that type of transportation and showed no interest in trying to help me find the owners. I contacted the police, but all they could do was wait for the owners to report the ponies lost. I had to keep the animals six days at my expense before the owners were located.

Three times in 1975 and four times in 1976, a herd of approximately fifty head of cattle (owner unknown) have appeared on my property. Both the Humane Society and police were called and neither offered to help.

SECTION 7)
Rabid Animals

In April 1976, I had what appeared to be a rabid raccoon in my barn. The raccoon was foaming at the mouth and advanced toward me. I called the Humane Society, and again, they refused to help. I then destroyed the animal myself. What appeared to be foam on the animal's mouth was something from a ripped open garbage bag in the barn. The point is, if the Humane Society had responded to my call, with its proper capture and retaining equipment, this animal need not have died.

SECTION 10
Protection of Premises

If a police or animal control officer should be allowed to enter a home barn, the officer should first inform the tenant of the property if they have been around other animals. There are too many chances of this officer bringing unwanted germs, diseases and fungus onto the premises from some other establishment.

In conclusion, I do not feel that this By-Law was thoroughly thought out, especially where the treatment and welfare of large animals (eg.-horses) are concerned.

I feel that the Humane Society should have a compound for large animals or else make arrangements with persons with these facilities. Although the three cases I have previously mentioned may be isolated, I feel that either the Society or the Police should have responded to my calls. What is the use of having animal control officers if they won't do their job where large animals are concerned?

I hope that you, as mayor, will take some action on this By-Law and make changes for the benefit of the animals or reject it completely.

Sincerely
David John Buchanan
David John Buchanan
5560 Winston Churchill Blvd.
Stratfordville Ont. L5M 2B2

cc: Mr Fred Hooper
Mr Terry Julian

1407 Crescent Road,
Mississauga, Ont.,
Jan. 13, 1977.

Dear Mrs. Spence,

I wish to protest strongly on behalf of all cat lovers in this area against the section of the proposed animal by-law dealing with cats. It is cruel and inhumane since it is impossible to lock an older cat who has been accustomed to going outside into the house and equally impossible to train an older cat to a leash.

The only result of such a law would be to increase contempt for law in the community since it would force a number of normally law-abiding citizens into breaking the law.

I hope that you will do your utmost to see that the by-law in its present form is never written into the books.

Sincerely

W. H. Cumberland

Mrs. W.H. Cumberland.

REGISTER NO. 740. Missoula, Mont.
DATE 12 25 77 January 22, 1977
FILE NO. 146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Dear Sir:

I am one who thinks
here should be more control over
cats. Cats have the run of the
country now dogs are suppose to
be tied up.

We own a house with a
artially closed in car port. Every
morning I chase so many as
in cats out of the car port. They
make a terrible mess. The dogs
and marks on the windshield of
the car makes it necessary to
wash off before driving. We
couldn't dare put out the garbage
the night before as there would
be sure to be a mess the next
morning. In the spring there

is a path worn in front of
the house where they walk. Our
backyard is full of cat paw marks
further fence - patio.

I-5(a)

If you think I am kidding,
come around and see for yourself.

As a home owner, please
let me know what I should
do to keep cats away. I don't
think I should have to put
up with the mess. We have
tried neck balls - pepper. Nothing
works.

Let's have more controls -
have them soon.


Sincerely,

(Mrs) Barbara Davis

Phone - 677-4096

City Clerk,
City of Mississauga,
1 City Centre Plaza
Mississauga, Ontario.

Mississauga, Ontario.
January 21, 1977



Dear Sir:

I am tired of "free running" neighborhood cats
messing my yard and garden.

I am fed up with "free running" cats entering
my garage and rip apart my plastic garbage bag
which is now only collected once a week.

I SUPPORT A BYLAW TO RESTRICT OWNERS

FROM ALLOWING THEIR CATS AND OTHER PETS

TO RUN LOOSE WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS!

Let's become realistic and force those people who
want to keep pets to keep them within their property.

Also, we need greater enforcement on the bylaw covering
dogs running at large - particularly during the winter
months.

cc F. Mc KECHNIE

Sincerely,
S. Davy

S. DAVY - 678-1790

Missouri, Mo.,
St. Louis,
March 7, 1977.

I-7

Editor,
The Mississippi News,
Mississippi.

Dear Editor:

These old ladies of my acquaintance live alone in different parts of the city. Each has a cat as a constant companion.

These octogenarians are worried sick about the proposed by-law which, if passed, would prevent them from letting their cats out loose. The ladies are too old to accompany the animals outside and 'supervise' or 'control' them as the proposed by-law stipulates.


Surely the Mississippi Council can find a soft spot in its collective heart for these senior citizens and others in the same unfortunate circumstances. Many depend on cats as their sole source of companionship.

I think every citizen should attend the Council meeting on Monday, March 14th, and demand that our civic representatives abolish this obnoxious cat by-law.

Sincerely,

Tracie Delaney

(Mrs.) Tracie Delaney



*copy also to
Terence Julian
city clerk
mrs*

8

Mississauga,
Ontario.
Fri. Jan. 21, 1977.

Dear Sir,

I read in the newspaper that you were planning to make
a bye - law that a cat has to wear a leash. I am nine
years old and I have a cat and she doesn't like anything
around her neck. If you put anything on her neck she will
eat it up, even if it is elastic. I hope you DO NOT make
this bye - law.

From
Julie
Dix

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 825
DATE JAN 27 1977
FILE NO. 146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

812 Eaglemount Crescent
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario
L5C 1N9

I-9

February 10, 1977

Mayor Ronald Searle
City Hall
1 City Centre Drive
Square One
Mississauga, Ontario



Dear Sir:

Re: The Animal Control Bylaw

I strongly support the above bylaw proposed for the City of Mississauga.

For the past four years I, and many residents of Mississauga, have been demanding a bylaw of this nature to bring under control the numerous cats whose owners claim to have a right to compel the whole neighbourhood to take part in raising their cats, which not only trespass by their very presence, but also defecate and urinate on their neighbours' property, especially among the vegetable and ornamental gardens. The cats destroy valuable plants in an attempt to cover the unpleasant and unaesthetic evidence of their visit. This problem has been so serious that I, for one, have suffered the following:

- (i) Expense of purchasing cat repellants every month;
- (ii) The labour of applying them;
- (iii) The loss of desire for vegetables grown in my own garden at high cost in money and labour;
- (iv) The frustration over cleaning after someone's cats;
- (v) The unpleasantness of digging by hand in my own ornamental garden into cats buried feces;

I-9(a)

Mayor Ronald Searle

- 2 -

February 10, 1977

- (vi) The loss of good relationship with some of the cats' owners who would not control their offending animals;
- (vii) The high cost of erecting fences to reduce the cats' visits.

In the middle ages, cats were permitted to roam at large to help in controlling rodents which carried the germs Pasteurella pestis, the cause of Bubonic plague. Today, this problem, although not completely eradicated, is under control, thanks to improved sanitary facilities and practices. Even if the danger still existed, what would better bring the germs into the very bosom of the neighbourhood than a cat which would pick up the germ through contact with rodents and carry the germs home to the owners who, in turn, become the source to other people.

There is no more any justification for allowing cats to roam and not only cause damage to private and public property, but also be possible carriers of various other diseases to the community.

Several other ways have been suggested to control the pets generally. These include:

- (1) Requiring would-be pet owners to show a certificate of having been instructed in the owners' responsibilities to both the pet and the neighbourhood before purchase of a pet is permitted;
- (2) Restricting breeding of pets to licensed premises only;
- (3) Establishing neutering clinics which would neuter all non-breeding pets before sale;
- (4) Banning the ownership of all exotic, dangerous animals such as boa constrictors and tarantula, except by recognized scientific institutions which will be held responsible for any harm caused to the neighbourhood and people.

The above methods mostly have one thing in common. They will take long in bringing desirable results.

The residents are suffering now and demand immediate action by Council. A bylaw holding cat owners accountable for their cats will give a long sought relief. The bylaw proposed

Mayor Ronald Searle

- 3 -

February 10, 1977 T-9(b)

which has already had one reading by council, needs to be given the second and third reading and passed into law, as soon as possible.

We are anxiously waiting for your support, in spite of the unfortunate and discouraging article in the Globe and Mail of Thursday, January 13, 1977, which portrayed you, Mayor Searle, as well as Councillors Leavers and Kennedy, as having negative attitude to the bylaw.

Yours truly,


C. Ferguson

cc: Councillor Harold Kennedy
Councillor Frank Leavers
Councillor Mary Helen Spence
City Clerk, City of Mississauga
Councillor Fred Hooper - Ward 6

I-10

1570 ... Street, Suite 100,
Mississauga,
March 4th, 1977.

Editor,
The Mississauga Times,
Mississauga, Ont.

Dear Editor:

It is unlawful for dogs to run loose in Mississauga. Yet on any given street in the city dogs are constantly seen at large.

If the city cannot control canine behavior how does it expect to stop cats from wandering where they please? Mississauga Council will decide on March 14th how to enforce a by-law, introduced in 1976, which (if passed) would even make it illegal for a cat to cross the street.

The dogged efforts of animal control officers have proved doggone disastrous. If the officers are instructed to apprehend felines as well, the results will be nothing short of catastrophic.

Yours truly,

Frank J. ...
(M.P.) ...

a copy sent AT
T. JULIAN. AMCT
CITY CLERK
MISS.

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	2206
DATE	MAR 7 1977
FILE NO.	14677
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

RECEIVED

REGISTRY NO. 2177

DATE MAR 7 1977

FILE NO. 146-77

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

116 Stanford Ave. S.,
Hamilton, Ontario,
L8M 2C3
March 5, 1977.

The City Clerk,
1 City Centre Drive,
Mississauga, Ontario,
L5B 1M2

Dear Sir:

As a Hamilton dog-owner, may I state a few facts about cats, rats and disease? Perhaps the most famous of all diseases is the dreaded Bubonic Plague. I say is—not was! It is still alive in other parts of the world. Known as the Black Death, it originated in Mesopotamia in the 11th century and spread to Europe. In the 14th century it accompanied the spread of rats over the Continent, where 25,000,000 persons died in that pandemic of the Plague. The 1665 epidemic in London, at its height, killed 10,000 persons a week. In the late 19th century it broke out in China and spread across the world by the rat population of sea-going ships.

The Encyclopedia Britannica states that the Plague is only "controlled" by controlling the rat population and by preventing ship-borne rats from reaching land. It also says "there still remain numerous foci of infection". Newspapers recently told of a town in Africa where the cats had died off, the rats multiplied and villagers were being wiped out by the Plague.

I-11(4)

When rats multiply they also bring typhus and other troubles. One rat can eat and destroy 150 pounds of grain a year. Rats kill chickens, baby pigs and lambs. They attack sleeping people and have killed babies.

So we come to the cats, the natural control against rats. If we do away with "hunting cats" we upset the balance of nature. Cats are a necessary part of our ecology. A cat tied to the leg of a table cannot catch even a mouse, let alone protect us against rats. Perhaps Mississauga has no rats? Hamilton has. I have seen them. And I have had one brought to me—dead—by a neighbor's cat. Surely as human beings we should be thankful for their help. Locking them up would only demonstrate our arrogance and stupidity.

Nancy Godard
Nancy Godard
Hamilton, Ontario.

826, Sunningdale Blvd.,
Clarkson.

Jan. 13th 1977

I-12

Councillor H. H. Spence,
Ward 2.

Dear Mr. Spence,

I should like to register a protest against the "animal control bylaw" which is being considered by the Mississauga Council.

First, I feel it is an infringement on personal freedom to regulate how many pets a person may own. It concerns no-one but the person involved, certainly not the City Council!

Secondly, I'm dismayed that the new City Council is wasting time and taxpayers money in discussing such a petty and trivial issue. There are more important things to attend to.

Having owned the same two cats for twelve years, I strongly feel the leasing of cats is inhumane and is something I would never do.

The basis for passing bylaws should be a definite need and demand from the public. I have not been aware of any public outcry for an "animal control bylaw".

It would be to the Council's credit to drop the whole issue.

Yours sincerely,
William R. Heath.

Mrs. R. J. Heath
826 Sunningdale Blvd
Mississauga, Ontario
L5J 1G1

I-13

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	835
DATE	JAN 27 1977
FILE NO.	146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

1357 E. Ambrose St.,
Mississauga, Ont.,
Jan. 22, 1977.

The Chief City Clerk,
City Centre,
Mississauga, Ont.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is a copy of our suggestions for a revised by-law
concerning Animal Control.

We sincerely hope this will receive careful consideration
by City Council members.

Sincerely yours,

Betty Hurson

(Mrs.) Betty Hurson.

encl.

I-1302

Mayor and Councillors
City of Mississauga

Re: Proposed Animal Control By-Law

This By-law on the whole appears to be an excellent one, filling a need to regulate the animal population of the City. Our analysis has shown that certain additions and changes should be an improvement when consideration is given to certain facts than may have been unknown to the Committee preparing the material.

Section 2, Sub Section 2 defines the Scope of the By-law:

We feel that those writing the items in Sub Section 2 may not have been aware that a number of private citizens in the City of Mississauga are involved in private animal welfare work. Many of these persons have become well known throughout the Province as being "experts" in raising or rehabilitating animals or birds, or in assisting the Humane Society in finding homes for unwanted animals. The work done by these persons, at their own expense, is a distinct advantage to the City. To avoid an abuse of the Animal Control By-law we recommend that such individuals should register with the City.

Section 2, Sub Section 2, Item (f) now reads:

"any association or society, including the "Society", incorporated or established for the bona fide purpose of the protection and humane treatment of animals, provided same comply with the City's Zoning and Licensing By-laws."

T-13(h)

Section 2, Sub Section 2, Item (f) we suggest the following:

"any association or society, or any citizens registered as doing private animal welfare work, including the "Society", incorporated or established for the bona fide purpose of the protection and humane treatment of animals, provided same comply with the City's Zoning and Licensing By-laws.

Section 3, Sub Section (4) Animal Provisions - Prohibition - Wild Animals

This section of the By-law is excellent in its intention. However, consideration should be given to the fact that certain citizens of our City are noted experts in raising the abandoned young of the smaller wild animal and bird species inhabiting the area. These young are raised to the state where they are capable of fending for themselves and are then released to lead a natural life.

Section 3, Sub Section (4) Prohibition - Wild Animals now reads:

"No person shall keep any wild animal within the limits of the City of Mississauga, unless such animal has been domesticated and the facilities for keeping of same have been inspected for public safety by the Animal Control Officer."

Section 3, Sub Section (4) Prohibition - Wild Animals we recommend that

it be changed to read:

No person shall keep any wild animal within the limits of the City of Mississauga, unless such animal is under releasable age or injured or has been domesticated and the facilities for keeping of same are open to inspection for public safety by the Animal Control Officer.

I-13(4)

Section 3, Sub Section (5) Animals - General Restrictions

While this section is very good, we would however suggest that Sub Section (b), Item (i) is unrealistic. The young of any species should not be removed from the Mother under three months. Wild animals which have either been raised from infants, or have been cared for because of injuries, may need more than three months before they are capable of fending for themselves. Large birds such as hawks and owls are not ready for release until they have reached adulthood and have undergone correct training to enable them to hunt for themselves.

Section 3, Sub Section (5) (b) Item (i) now reads:

"(i) any animals under the age of one (i) month."

We recommend that it be changed to read:

"(i) any animals under the age of three months or under releasable age or injured."

Section 3, Sub Section (6) Domestic Fowl, Pigeons and Other Bird-Type

Animals

If taken literally Section 3, Sub Section (6) (a) would mean that no bird could be kept in a residential dwelling and must be housed out of doors.

We are sure that this was not the intent of the Section since budgies, canaries and finches are well recognized household pets.

I-13(a)

Section 3, Sub Section (6) (a) now begins as follows:

"(a) No domestic fowl, pigeons or other bird-type animals shall be kept within the City of Mississauga unless same are kept only in the rear yard or outside side yard of any lot at a distance not less than 6.1 m. (20 ft.) away etc."

Section 3, Sub Section (6) (a) It should read:

(a) No domestic fowl, pigeons or other bird-type animals quartered outside the dwelling shall be kept within the City of Mississauga unless same are kept only in the rear yard or outside side yard of any lot at a distance not less than 6.1 m. (20 ft.) away, etc.

Section 3, Sub Section (6) (b) starts:

"(b) All domestic fowl, pigeons or other bird-type animals shall be kept in enclosed and ventilated lofts, coops, pens or runs, and such enclosures shall, insofar as practicable, be screened from the adjacent lots and streets by hedges, shrubs or other suitable screening."

Section 3, Sub Section (6) (b) It should read:

(b) All such domestic fowl, pigeons or other bird-type animals referred to in Sub Section 6, Item A shall be kept in enclosed and ventilated lofts, coops, pens or runs, and such enclosures shall, insofar as practicable, be screened from the adjacent lots and streets by hedges, shrubs or other suitable screening.

I-134

Section 4, Animal Running At Large

We feel this Section of the By-law is too all inclusive, and if the City rigoursly attempts to enforce it, it will cause an unwarranted expense to tax payers, already burdened with heavy taxes. The reason is that cats will be included under this Section.

Cats are one of the main rodent controllers. Both householders and commercial enterprises often utilize them to protect property from destruction by mice and rats. Cats not being social animals do not run in packs. They are also noted for their independence and dislike of restraint. This applies particularly to those cats which are accustomed to a certain amount of freedom. Cats can be divided into three distinct categories:

- 1) There are the tame house pets which seldom wander far from their own homes. Most are well cared for and do not roam at night.
- 2) There are the working cats which live around community buildings that sell food stuffs. These cats are invaluable in rodent control.
- 3) There are the homeless and semi-wild cats. These are the animals that have been abandoned, deserted or thrown out of homes by irresponsible owners. It is undoubtedly these cats which cause the problems.

They would be very difficult to catch even with the expenditure of large sums of money to obtain extra staff devoting full time to the job. Most of these animals are very wild and will not let anyone approach them. The reduction of this semi-wild cat population can best be achieved by the introduction of low cost spaying and neutering clinic. Unless the unwanted litters of kittens are curtailed no long term reduction in the numbers of strays can be expected.

I-13(4)

Section 4, Animal Running At Large

We suggest Section 4, Sub Section (1) should read:

Sub Sections (1a) to (6) inclusive does not apply to cats.

The present Section 4 Sub Section (1) should be renumbered
to Section 4 Sub Section (1A).

14. Penalties

This section states that there will be a fine of not more than
\$1,000 exclusive of costs for any person who contravenes any
provision of this By-law. It reads as follows:

"Any person who contravenes any provision of this By-law shall upon
summary conviction, be liable to a fine not more than one thousand
(\$1,000.00) dollars, exclusive of costs, for each and every such
offence and every fine is recoverable under The Summary Convictions
Act."

14. Penalties

We think this should be changed to read:

Any person who contravenes any provision of this By-law shall upon
summary conviction, be liable to a fine not more than one thousand
(\$1,000) dollars, exclusive of costs, except for offences covered
under schedule A Schedule of Redemption Fees, for each and every
such offence and every fine is recoverable under The Summary
Convictions Act.

Della Huron

*90713 Huron
1357 Ashbridge Dr.
Mississauga*

Jan 14 - 1977

I-14

Dear Sir, or Madam -

Last night I read an article in our Samoa Observer about your proposed bylaw regarding cats to be kept on leashes. I realize there are problems involved with animals running at large, but a cat is not an animal that should be kept tied up. Cats are born explorers and climbers and will hang themselves very easily if kept on a leash. Besides this danger, can you imagine how many cats will be left tied in the summer heat with no shade, on the verge of the

I.14(a)

shelter, and in the cold of
winter and snow storms?

It is bad enough that
many dogs are abused this
way by their owners, but a
cat cannot take the cold
& wet as well as a dog. I
feel very sorry for these
animals that suffer and
often die.

Should it not be more
effective to license cats and
insist that both male & female
be neutered (excluding pure-
breeds)?

I wish that in a country
as civilized as ours is, there
could be a little more concern
shown for the animals that
are always eager to give

I-41b)

no love.

Sincerely,
(ref) (interviewed Kooje,
and a Hamilton Rd,
Cincinnati Ohio
non 160.

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 764.
DATE JAN 25 1977
FILE NO. 146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

7247 Huronville Rd.,
Mississauga, Ontario
L4T 2S5
January 20, 1977.

RECEIVED

REGISTRY NO. 913

DATE JAN 31 1977

FILE NO. 146-77

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

City Clerk,
City of Mississauga,
1 City Centre Dr.,
Mississauga, Ont.

Dear Sir/Madam:

Re: Bylaw affecting animals

Having read in our local paper a request by Mr. McMichael for people to write in if we oppose the implementation of this new by law, I feel I should write. However, I am not opposing I fully agree that cats should be kept on a leash & from conversations with neighbours I feel confident there are many more in agreement.

We have had nothing but trouble with local cats let loose to virtually destroy shrubs. My main concern is that loving small children I am forever cleaning out our sandbox. It is a known fact cats carry worms in their stools which are dangerous to the health of children & being allowed to wander aimlessly they turn every sandbox, private or public park's, into their kitty litter. Possibly our local councillor would like to come clean my sand box, Ha/Ha/Ha!

Furthermore, whether it be humans, cats, dogs or otherwise, we love our homes & try to maintain them in a decent fashion; why should any person be subjected to abuse by someone?

else's pet ??? Would they allow their children to trespass & leave stools behind. I-1st. Most cat owners, or dog owners, treat their animal as a member of the family in every other aspect, therefore one would expect this matter to be taken care of without laws. But as we all are aware, some people need to be led or told how to behave, so we set a law.

Please, pass this bylaw or at least see to it that requests for those in agreement to come forward should also receive equal space in our papers. Fair is fair.

By the way, what makes a cat so precious compared to other animals? They claw furniture, scratch if caught (particularly by unknowing toddlers) leave stools around causing problems, & urinating on the front step of homes just in time for the hot sun to burn it & leave a wee-bit-o-odour. Ask gardeners what their urine will do to shrubs.

Thank you for listening, I'm getting caught up in this letter as I've been struggling for two years to rid our family of a pesky visitor & it is most annoying.

By the way
I used the
sandbox until the
beast was proven
too much for him.
Determination

Mrs. S. L. Kugel

5-16
CLEVELAND AMORY
President

The Fund for Animals, Inc.

140 WEST 57TH STREET
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019

TELEPHONE
(212) 246-2096

February 14th, 1977

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	1550
DATE	FEB 18 1977
FILE NO.	146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

Mayor Ronald Serle
1 City Centre Dr.
Mississauga, Ont.

Dear Mayor Serle:

We must express our disapproval of Mississauga's proposed animal control by-law which would place restrictions on cats. It would mean that cats could be impounded by Mississauga's canine control department and therefore would be subject to seizure for research, as are dogs which the municipality impounds.

Also, this by-law would be extremely costly to enforce properly.

We agree there is a pet-overpopulation problem which could be combated if pet owners were educated to be responsible owners, and if the municipality would establish a low-cost, spay/neuter clinic. This would be far cheaper for the taxpayer than the present impound and kill system, and I'm sure premises could be rented for this purpose.

The borough of York recently established Canada's first municipal, low-cost, spay/neuter clinic and Mississauga could be proud to follow York's enlightened actions.

Sincerely,

Marlene Lakin
Marlene Lakin
Canadian Rep.
(251-4571)

715-2335 Lakeshore Blvd. W.
Toronto, Ont.

cc: Mississauga City Clerk

NATIONAL BOARD

Sharon Acker, Steve Allen, Helen Boshm, Helen Gurley Brown, Dick Cavett, Charles Clegg, Bob Considine, Doris Day, Mrs. George Delacorte, Angie Dickinson, Richard Durham, Paul Gallico, Edith Head, Cary Latimer, Gardner McKay, Rod McKuen, Jayne Meadows, Karl Menninger, Mary Tyler Moore, Farley Mowat, Sterling North, Jack Olsen, Jack Paar, Juliet Prowse, Hope Ryden, Susan Saint James, George Small, Caskie Stinnett, Jacqueline Susann, Alice Tully, Dick Van Dyke, Jessamyn West, Andrew Wyeth.

REGISTRY NO. 912
DATE JAN 31 1977
FILE NO. 146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

WALTON ONTARIO.

I-17

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

THIS LETTER IS IN REGARDS TO THE PROPOSED BYLAW THAT WOULD REQUIRE PEOPLE IN MISSISSAUGA TO BOTH KEEP THEIR CATS ON A LEASH AND LICENCE THEM ALSO. I FOR ONE WOULD BE PLEASED TO HAVE THIS BYLAW PASSED AND ENFORCED THE SAME AS IT IS FOR DOG OWNERS.

I AM SURE IF A POLL WERE TAKEN IN THE CORLIES - MORNINGSTAR AREA EVERYONE WOULD ALSO BE FOR IT.

IF THE MEMBERS ON COUNCIL HAD TO LIVE IN THIS AREA AND IN THE SUMMER HAD TO KEEP THEIR BEDROOM WINDOWS CLOSED TO TRY TO SLEEP ABOVE THE RACKET OF TOM'S HOWLING FOR FEMALES IN SEASON AND IF MEMBERS OF COUNCIL HAD TO CLEAN THEIR CAR WINDSHIELDS AND REAR WINDOWS EACH MORNING BEFORE THEY COULD LEAVE FOR WORK I THINK THEY WOULD ALSO THINK THE BYLAW SHOULD BE PUT INTO EFFECT.

WE HAVE COUNTED AS MANY AS TEN TOM CATS SITTING ON A NEIGHBOURS SWIMMING POOL, JUST WAITING FOR THE FEMALE TO COME OUT THEN THE HOWLING AS THE MALES WAIT TO SEE WHO GETS THE FEMALE FIRST. NEIGHBOURS HAVE COMPLAINED THAT THEY ARE EVEN AFRAID TO PUT THEIR BABYS OUT IN THE CARRIAGE ON THEIR OWN VERANDA'S BECAUSE THEY HAVE FOUND CATS IN THE BABY CARRIAGE.

THESE PEOPLE WHO LET THEIR CATS RUN FREE SHOULD BE FINED THE SAME AS PEOPLE WITH DOGS WHO RUN FREE. THERE IS NO WONDER THAT THERE ARE SO MANY ANIMALS LEFT IN FIELDS AND ALONG COUNTRY ROADS NOT TO MENTION THE LARGE NUMBER THE S.P.C.A GETS CONTINUALLY.

I AM SURE THAT THERE ARE GOING TO BE LOTS OF LETTERS TO YOU FROM CAT OWNERS WHO DO NOT WANT TO GET A LICENCE AND KEEP TRACK OF THEIR CATS BUT AT LEAST I HAVE WRITTEN THE OTHER SIDE OF THE PICTURE.

YOURS SINCERELY

A. A. [unclear]

7-18 January 20, 1977

Mr. Lawrence Gibson
City Clerk
City of Mississauga
1 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L5B 4M2



Dear Sir,

The Animal Control - by Law, should be enforced as there are too many animals running loose. Cats are the greatest offenders. There are at least 10 to 15 cats running loose on our street and it has 24 homes on it.

The come into my backyard leaving urine and dung on my property. The scratch the bark of my fruit trees and chew up flowers. People who keep dogs in their back yards are upset because the cats running loose upset the dogs and they start barking.

Very truly yours,

Clifford Lewis.

327 Marlboro Court
Mississauga, Ontario L4X 2N6.

3515 Laddie Crescent
Mississauga, Ontario
L4T 1N2

20 January 1977

I-19

City Clerk
City of Mississauga
1 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario

Dear Sir

I am writing to object to the possible implementation of a new cat bylaw for the City of Mississauga--the one that would restrict cat owners from letting their pet run loose within their own area.

I live in Ward 5 (Malton) and though there are several cats that are seen occasionally in my area, they do not cause any trouble because they are not outside more than an hour or so and all are well-cared for by their owners.

I am totally against any kind of bylaw regarding cats. They are not destructive or bothersome.

Yours sincerely

Shirley Marshall

Mrs Shirley Marshall

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	696
DATE	JAN 24 1977
FILE NO.	146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

T-20



TRIM LIMITED

2350 Cawthra Road

• Mississauga, Ontario L5A 2X1 •

Telephone 270-2222

February 11, 1977

Mr. Terry Julian
1 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario

RE: Animal Control By-Law 641-76

Dear Mr. Terry Julian

I'm not a cat owner but I feel that the Animal Control By-Law 641-76 is unnatural and unenforceable.

I object to the provision that cats be subject to impoundment when off the owner's property.


I feel and resent the tax payer's money being spent on this because it is an unnecessary waste of money and time.

I think that it should be sent back for further review in its generality.

Yours Truly

Mike McLardie

MM:db



However, those should be
dealt with accordingly
and people who care for
their animals in the proper
manner should not be
penalized for their
carelessness, and lack
of concern.

Congratulations Mr. Kennedy
on your humane approach
to the subject!


Thankfully, there is one
staunch animal lover among
you.

Sincerely,
(Miss) Madeline Elanor
12 (Annex) St.
Toronto, M8A 4A1

Toronto, Ont.

Jan. 17/77

To
Members of Council
City of Mississauga



I was amazed and dismayed
to hear about the proposed
by-law regarding the
leashing of cats - time paths
for wearing pups, kittens
and so on -

What a ridiculous and
high handed idea!!

It seems that some
politicians are bent on

3
of cats would be a hopeless task.)

I understand the Humane Society operates a Clinic in Mississippi for the Spaying and neutering of pets, but their fees are high too, and it is hard to convince some people to spend that much for the service, and many can't afford it.

Much of the problem, I realize, is due to some careless owners who allow their pets to breed at will.

2
Carrying on a continuous harassment of the pet owner.

One wonders what will come next in the line of Absurd restrictions.

It would be much more profitable for all concerned, if you would spend some of the money on cheaper Spaying and neutering Clinics, that would be paid out for the pick up of dogs and cats by Animal Control units - (which in the case

1086 Henley Rd.,
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario
L4Y 1E1
January 28, 1977

I-22

The Mayor of Mississauga,
1 City Centre Drive,
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario

Dear Mr. Mayor,

We notice there is much controversy recently in Mississauga related to cat owners.

I am not anti-cat, but am currently a dog owner.

Attached please find several letters which indicate my feelings and many others in Mississauga related to the fact that dog owners are currently, and have been in the past, discriminated against. Basically, we object to the fact that cats are free of any form of annual licence or fee, while dog owners are forced to finance wonderful institutions like The Ontario Humane Society, which, in turn, looks after both cats and dogs.

I have no personal comments regarding leashing of cats. This letter is intended solely to complain to the Ontario Provincial Legislation that there is no provision for Municipalities to licence cats. In this regard I have given a copy of this letter to the Provincial Leader in order that this discrimination be acknowledged and hopefully some day changes resulting.

May we take this opportunity to wish you every success in your new position as Mayor of Mississauga.

Yours very truly,

D. J. Schmalz
D. J. Schmalz

cc: Ontario Humane Society, Mississauga
Honourable Wm. Davis, Toronto

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 1027
DATE FEB 2 1977
FILE NO. 146-77
CITY'S DEPARTMENT

I-22(c)



from the Office of the Mayor
MARTIN L. DOBKIN, M.D.

June 24, 1975.

Mr. D. J. Schmalz
1086 Henley Road
Mississauga, Ontario

Dear Mr. Schmalz:


Thank you for the copy of your letter of June 9th to the Ontario Humane Society, regarding your comments about discrimination against dog owners.

I shall pass your letter on to the Council, so that they may be aware of your concern.

Yours truly,

M. L. Dobkin, M. D.
Mayor

MLD:sn



ONTARIO HUMANE SOCIETY

I-224

June 19, 1975

Incorporated in 1912 as
The Ontario Society
for the Prevention of
Cruelty to Animals

President: Mrs. Helen McDougall
Vice-Presidents:
M. W. C. Stebbins and A. Kuiper
Secretary: S. Capes
Treasurer: F. L. Nason
Executive Vice-President: T. I. Hughes
Executive Secretary-Treasurer:
R. A. Hargood

Branches

Barrie
Elgin County
Oxford County
Ontario County
Oshawa
Midland
Dryden
Owen Sound
Peel County
Quinte
Brant County
Orillia
Kent County
York County
Kirkland Lake
Northumberland & Durham
Lennox & Addington
Renfrew County
Scarborough
Porcupine & District
Leeds & Grenville
Thunder Bay
Kawartha & District
Perth County

Mr. D.J. Schmalz,
1086 Henley Road
Mississauga Ontario
L4Y 1E1

Dear Mr. Schmalz,

I have received a copy of the letter which you sent to our Peel Region Branch on Mavis Road, Mississauga. I agree entirely with your suggestion that cat owners should carry some of the cost of animal control.

You will be interested to know, therefore, that some months ago we recommended to the City of Mississauga that they introduce a by-law which controls cats. This by-law would cover the issuing of a permit for the keeping of cats but does not licence individual cats. Cat owners would apply for and receive a permit in payment for a fee to keep one or more cats on their premises. The revenue from the cat control by-law would certainly go a long way towards improving the present situation which certainly does discriminate against dog owners.

Yours sincerely,

T. I. Hughes
Executive Vice-President

TIH:mg



BRANCH

7-22(c)

PEEL REGION
3400 Davis Road
Mississauga Ontario

June 12/75

Branches

Barrie
Elgin County
Essex
Oxford County
Ontario County
Oshawa
Midland
Dryden
Owen Sound
Peel County
Quinte
Brant County
Orillia
Kenora
Kent County
Burlington
York County
Kirkland Lake
Northumberland & Durham
Lennox & Addington
Renfrew County
Scarborough
Porcupine & District
Leeds & Grenville

Mr. D.J. Schmalz
1085 Henle Road
Mississauga Ontario

Dear Sir:

Thank you for your letter of June 9, together with your cheque covering the 1975 Dog license fee. Regarding your comments on cats, I feel that you are perhaps unaware that there is no Provincial legislation which enable municipalities to license cats in a similar manner to dogs. However, the Ontario Humane Society has circulated to all municipalities in Ontario, a Draft By-law recommending a form of permit scheme to authorize individuals to keep cats. Thinking it would interest you I am attaching copy of this Draft By-law together with the covering letter. If there is anything further I can do, please feel free to call me.

Yours very truly

Frank D.M. Brown
Shelter Manager.

FDNB/cc

I-22(d)
1086 Henley Road,
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario
L4Y 1E1
June 9, 1975

Ontario Humane Society
Peel Region Branch
3490 Mavis Road,
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario
L5C 1T8

Dear Sirs,

Attached please find our cheque for \$5.00, for our annual Dog License fee, in accordance with By-Law No. 10312 Schedule "A".

Also please be advised that we have sent a copy of this letter to the Mayor of Mississauga, in order to record our protest of discrimination against dog owners.

It is our intention to bring this issue to council, requesting that CAT owners are being shown a preference, and dog owners in turn are the object of discrimination.

Our Irish Setter is fully trained and never off our property. The CATS in our neighbourhood are free to roam, tear open garbage, destroy small trees, and disturb our sleep.

We think it is time the By-Law should be altered to include CATS, as well as DOGS, to avoid discrimination to pet owners.

Yours very truly

D. J. Schmalz

cc: Mayor M. Dobkin

I-23

7150 Minotola Ave.,
Mississauga, Ont., L4T 2T1
January 21, 1977.

Mr. T. Julian, City Clerk.
City of Mississauga,
1 City Centre Dr.,
Mississauga, Ontario.

Re: Animal Control By-Law

Dear Sir:

I am writing you because I feel whenever a new law is proposed, the objectors complain vigorously and loudly but those who approve seldom are heard.

Since many people with cats are probably giving all kinds of reasons why they should not be restricted (as demonstrated by an editorial in the Malton Pilot of Jan. 20), let me tell you why I think we do need some regulation of this problem. Mind you, my reasons are selfish but I think all concerned in this matter are speaking, to a certain degree, from self-interest.

Firstly then, I have a large vegetable garden and as soon as I dig it up each spring, the neighbour's cat uses it for a toilet. Now all winter long the cat is content to use a spot just outside our basement window (the smell in the spring is awful). This winter though, the snow has been too heavy to get to the favourite window and the front garden has been chosen as a substitute, as demonstrated by holes and yellow splotches in the snow. Nothing deters this animal; not mothballs, garlic, commercial repellants, etc.

Then there's another neighbour who left their cat out all day, summer and winter, while they went to work. When breeding time came, the suitors flocked from all four corners of Malton and we were rewarded with the sight and sound of about 15-20 tom cats.

Then there's the neighbour who moved away and left their cat behind to fend for himself.

These are just a few incidents that come to mind to illustrate my point that we need some form of cat control and more responsible pet owners. I would be remiss if I implied that all people allow their cats to be pests and for the sake of those who are responsible owners, I feel others should be made to follow quite.

Thank you for allowing me to express my opinion.

Sincerely,


Mrs. R. Schmauder
Mrs. R. Schmauder

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 696
DATE JAN 24 1977
FILE NO. 14-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

7457 Lybrook Drive,
Memphis, 24524
January 30, 1976.

I-24

City Clerk,
City of Memphis,
161 City Center Drive, Memphis.



Sir,

This is yet another letter opposing the
cat provision in the bylaw. Our house
is situated near an open field and
Glennville Conservation Area. Our cat is an
excellent mouse returning home frequently
with her efforts at rodent control. She
has also been effective in our house which
has had one venter presumably because
of the weather. If she were not allowed to
be free with her wanderings I'm sure
you would not be concerned with
rodent control and the increased incidence of
rabies in the area.

Regards,
Mrs. B. Stue.

1-23

To: Mayor P. Searle and members of Council.
Mr. T. Julian, City Clerk.

Re: Bylaw 641-76 - Animal Control

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 1813
DATE FEB 25 1977
FILE NO. 416-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Introduction

I have carried out considerable research in recent months with respect to Bylaw 641-76, with a view to presenting a comprehensive brief to Council. Mr. Kennedy's uncoring motion of amendment, however, which has the backing of staff and which I fully support, would appear to make this unnecessary. I felt that perhaps Council might find this background information helpful in resolving this sensitive issue.

As other issues appear to have been satisfied in Mr. Kennedy's amendments, I will confine myself to the main issue of cats being at large (Section 4 of Bylaw 641-76) with emphasis on the legal aspects of regulation. This omits entirely comments on the qualifications of members of the last Animal Control Committee, or the moral question of restraining cats in this way.

Inclusion of Cats in the Bylaw

Statements have come from staff and officials to the effect that cats are not specifically mentioned in the Bylaw. An inspection of the minutes of the first Animal Control Committee and supporting data shows clearly that cats were very much an issue. In fact, in 1975 a Cat Control Bylaw was proposed. Your Legal Department at that time, as mentioned below, advised the incorporation of this Bylaw into the general Animal Control Bylaw (ref. para. 5 of memo dated 23rd September 1975 - Appendix I).

Legal Status

Authority for the inclusion of cats appears to rest with Section 354(1) of the Municipal Act as amended in December 1976 by Bill 149 (Appendix II), which gives a municipality power to prohibit or regulate the being at large of animals. There is a caveat in the decree of Henry V allowing cats to be free in perpetuity. Your legal staff has rendered the opinion (para. 4 Appendix I) that this takes precedence over the Municipal Act, and that a municipality would not have the power to restrain cats. In para. 5 your Legal Dept. stated that if, in spite of the above, the City intended to go ahead with prohibiting cats from being at large, it should do so under the general Animal Control Bylaw and test the matter in the Courts. This advice was followed.

In passing this section of the Bylaw Council is in effect saying that it does not know whether it has the right to do this, but will do it anyway, and any resident who objects can test the question in the Courts. It is putting the onus on the resident to take the City to Court, with corresponding escalating legal fees when it inevitably challenged. One questions whether this is responsible Government.

The opinion of the Legal Dept. in this matter is backed up by recent decisions in other communities, notably Moose Jaw (Sask.) and Chinguacousy. York and Montreal (and even Adlai Stevenson in the U.S.A.) threw the matter out before it got this far. I have heard (but not had an opportunity to check at this time) that Cobourg passed a Bylaw impounding cats but later found that it was operating illegally; Orangeville did the same but shelved the Bylaw because of public outcry after the fact; Cambridge has not yet been challenged.

Impounding

Statements have been made that there is no intent to pick up cats. Inherent in Section 4 of the Bylaw is the impounding of cats. The public at large believes that legislation reflects the intent of the governing bodies, therefore the public assumes that the City intends to impound cats.

The Pounds Act Chapter 353 (Appendix III) gives no authority to pick up cats without a municipal Bylaw. The Animals for Research Act R.S.O. 1970 Chapter 22 (Appendix IV) provides for the disposition of cats collected through the process of enforcing a municipal animal control Bylaw. Only when such a Bylaw is passed do cats come under the provisions of this Bill. Section 24 subsections (6) and (7) clearly states that a pound operator is prohibited from killing an animal unless EVERY request from a research facility has been complied with. Thus cats would automatically, under Section 4 of Bylaw 641-76, become available for research. The municipal pound would act as a supply facility for research centres upon request, with no control able to be exercised by the City. This was one of the hottest issues the Provincial Government has had to face in recent years, and is likely to be equally explosive in Mississauga.

Enforcement

Assuming that if the Bylaw is not amended, and the City intends to pick up cats, my discussions with people experienced in this area indicate that this would necessitate almost a doubling of impounding facilities - including trucks, officers, cages, office staff - and there is grave doubt as to the rate of success the enforcement officers could achieve. The budget for effective animal control would escalate rapidly at a time when the City is looking seriously at its financial priorities.

Regrettably one cannot contain a cat in a fenced yard for the simple reason that a cat can climb, hence the public adoption of the words 'leash law'.

Number of Complaints

An investigation into the complaints said to have been received by the City reveals that the number is indeed small, and is far out-shadowed by the outcry against the impounding provision. In this context, Appendix V is intended as a little light relief, and to put the matter into perspective, but it also reflects strongly the derisive reaction of members of the general public over the past few months.

3-25-77
Future Control of Cats

If, at some future date when City finances are more stable, it is desired to implement some control on cats, the following appear to be acceptable to the general public.

(1) Licensing.

There is no existing provision in current legislation to allow for the licensing of cats, thus special legislation would be required (see paras. 1 and 2 of Appendix I). Licensing does not automatically involve impounding, so that a cat could be licensed with special legislation without the spectre of impounding and research. This is confirmed by the legal staff of the Ministry of Agriculture and Food.

Licensing would achieve the following:

- (a) Identify the number of cats.
- (b) Satisfy most of the jealousies of the dogowners.
- (c) Enable regulations requiring cats to wear identification to be passed.
- (d) Encourage neutering and spaying by a system of graduated fees.
- (e) Provide revenue.

(2) Spray/Neuter Clinics - Municipal (in conjunction with the Veterinarian profession).

Birth control appears to be the answer to the problem. The staff report on the PMS presentation to Council is attached hereto (Appendix VI), although the report was not brought before Council. The report indicates that the problem in Mississauga is not of great enough proportion to warrant the set up costs of a municipal spray-neuter clinic. York has, however, recently started a clinic at very modest cost on an experimental basis, and other municipalities in the Metro area are considering the same, and possibly the position of Mississauga should be re-evaluated in this light.

Possibly, at some future date, the above two proposals could run together, so that the one would fund the other.

Sources for the above include: discussions with lawyers, veterinarians, cat breeders, City staff, humane workers, the general public; examination of legal and other documentation.

Anne Taylor

February 24th 1977.



CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

MEMORANDUM

APPENDIX I

I-256

To: The Chairman and Members of the
From: Animal Control Committee

Mr. Peter Piroth
Deputy City Solicitor

September 23, 1975.

SUBJECT: File 109-75, Cat Control By-law.

ORIGIN: Item 2, Meeting June 24, 1975.

COMMENTS: My further research on this matter discloses the following:


1. The authority to "licence" must be express. The power to "regulate" with respect to animals under section 354(1) of The Municipal Act is not a power to licence.
2. The power to licence includes the power to impose a licence fee. Where there is no power to licence, there is likewise no power to charge a fee even in the guise of a "permit fee" as contemplated by the proposed Cat Control By-law.
3. It appears that the municipality may require cats to be spayed and neutered under the "regulation" and "prohibition" heads of section 354(1) of The Municipal Act. However, the municipality has no power to require payment of a fee or other financial outlay by anyone in such instances as that again amounts to a form of licencing or extraction of a penalty without any provincial legislation in support.
4. Cats "may" be controlled pursuant to section 354(1) paragraph 5 of The Municipal Act. That paragraph enables the municipality to prohibit or regulate the running at large or trespassing of animals, other than dogs. (Dogs are covered by The Dog Tax and Live Stock and Poultry Protection Act). The only caveat in this regard is whether the edict of Henry V (1413-1422) to the effect that cats could roam free in England "through all perpetuity" is still law in Ontario as a result of the enactment of paragraph 5. Where paragraph 5 deals generally with the subject of animal control, the edict of Henry V is specific with regard to cats. A rule of law is that a specific provision prevails over a general provision on the same subject. As Ontario adopted the laws of England as they existed on a certain date, it would appear necessary for the province to repeal Henry V's edict in order to apply paragraph 5 to cats.

.....2

I-25(a)
5. If it is the wish of the Committee to proceed on the basis of paragraph 5 of section 354(1), notwithstanding the foregoing, and test the matter in court if necessary, I would suggest that the Committee examine By-law No. 479 of the City of Cambridge which came into force on August 1, 1961, copies of which are in the possession of the Committee Secretary. Section 6 of that By-law deals with animals running at large, including cats, and sets out "poundage fees" in Schedule A. Such "poundage fees" have their origin in the latter part of paragraph 5 of section 354(1) which provides for damages, fines and expenses in respect of the impounding of animals. Again, may I emphasize that the matter of cats is not settled, but I also understand from the Clerk of Cambridge that so far their By-law has worked smoothly.

6. If paragraph 5 does enable the municipality to levy fines against the owners of cats (which I doubt for the reasons set out above), it would appear nevertheless that the paragraph only goes to the extent of requiring payment of the fine where the cat is impounded and claimed subject to any applicable damages, fines and expenses. In other words there is no legislation whereby an owner can be fined simply because his cat has become a nuisance factor.

Yours truly,



Peter Piroth,
Deputy City Solicitor.

PP:fp

APPENDIX II

6

125(2)

s. 354 (1)
para. 1, 2,
re-enacted,
par. 3
repealed

Prohibiting
or regulating
keeping of
animals

Regulating
animal
breeding or
boarding
estab-
lishments

s. 354 (1)
par. 5,
re-enacted

Animals
being at
large or
trespassing

s. 354 (1)
par. 49,
re-enacted

Power to
buy and
sell fuel
and food

10.—(1) Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of subsection 1 of section 354 of the said Act are repealed and the following substituted therefor:

1. For prohibiting or regulating the keeping of animals, or any class thereof, and for restricting the number of animals or any class thereof that may be kept by any person within the municipality or defined areas thereof.

(a) In this paragraph and paragraphs 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7, "animal" includes birds and reptiles.

2. For regulating establishments for the breeding or boarding of animals, or any class thereof, within the municipality or defined areas thereof.

(2) Paragraph 5 of subsection 1 of the said section 354 is repealed and the following substituted therefor:

5. For prohibiting or regulating within any part of the municipality or within any defined area thereof, or upon any defined highways therein, the being at large or trespassing of animals, other than dogs, and for providing for impounding them and for causing them to be sold, if they are not claimed within a reasonable time or if the damages, fines and expenses are not paid according to law.

(3) Paragraph 49 of subsection 1 of the said section 354 is repealed and the following substituted therefor:

49. With the approval of the Municipal Board and within the limitations and restrictions and under the conditions prescribed by order of the Board:

i. For buying and storing fuel and such articles of food as may be designated by order of the Board and for selling them to dealers and residents of the municipality.

ii. For acquiring land, erecting buildings, establishing, conducting and maintaining depots, stores, warehouses and yards and purchasing machinery, plant, appliances and equipment necessary for such purposes.

iii. For appointing officers, clerks and servants to manage and conduct such businesses.

(4) Clau
secti
1976

(5) Clau
secti
ther

(b)

(6) Para
repe

(7) Para
as ar
secti
ther

87.

CHAPTER 353

The Pounds Act

1. Except so far as varied by any by-law passed under section 354 of *The Municipal Act*, this Act is in force in every city, town, township and village in Ontario. R.S.O. 1960, c. 299, s. 1.

2. The owner or occupant of any land is responsible for any damage caused by any animal under his charge and keeping as though such animal were his own property, and the owner of any animal not permitted to run at large by the by-laws of the municipality is liable for any damage done by such animal, although the fence enclosing the premises of the complainant was not of the height required by such by-laws. R.S.O. 1960, c. 299, s. 2.

3.—(1) Damages are not recoverable in respect of injuries to property committed upon any land in a provisional judicial district by horses, cattle, sheep or swine straying on such land unless the animal so straying was running at large contrary to a municipal by-law.

(2) Where there is no such by-law in force in the municipality or where such trespass was committed upon land in any part of such district not included in an organized municipality, no such damages are recoverable unless the animal has broken through or jumped over a fence then being in reasonably good repair and of the height of 4½ feet.

(3) This section does not apply to breachy or unruly animals. R.S.O. 1960, c. 299, s. 3.

4. No bull over the age of ten months nor any swine shall be allowed to run at large in any part of such district not included in an organized municipality. R.S.O. 1960, c. 299, s. 4.

5. The owner of a bull or swine running at large contrary to section 4 is liable in damages for all injuries committed by such animal or animals, and also is guilty of an offence and on summary conviction is liable to a fine of not more than \$10. R.S.O. 1960, c. 299, s. 5.

6.—(1) If not previously replevied, the poundkeeper shall impound any horse, bull, ox, cow, sheep, goat, pig or other cattle, geese or other poultry, distrained for unlawfully running at large

may be varied by by-law R.S.O. 1960, c. 299

Liability of owners and others for damage done

to provisional judicial districts

Unless animal broke through or jumped over fence

Exemption Bulls and swine not to run at large

Owner of bull or swine liable for damages

What animals to be impounded

Local By-law can alter provisions.

Municipal Act

I-2A(g)

APPENDIX IV

ANIMALS FOR RESEARCH

13

ed therewith, except where the pound is operated by such society or affiliated society; and

- (b) take all reasonable steps to find the owner of the dog or cat and shall forthwith notify the owner, if found, that the dog or cat has been impounded.

(5) During the redemption period and subject to subsection 7, the operator of a pound shall not destroy or cause or permit to be destroyed any dog or cat that is in the pound but he may return the dog or cat to the person who owned it before it came into his possession, subject to the payment of such damages, fines and expenses as are required by law. dog or cat not to be destroyed

24.

(6) After the redemption period has expired and subject to subsection 7, the operator of a pound shall not destroy or cause or permit to be destroyed any dog or cat that is in the pound but he may, L

- (a) return the dog or cat to the person who owned it before it came into the possession of the operator of the pound, subject to the payment of such damages, fines and expenses as are required by law;
- (b) sell the dog or cat, dispose of it by gift or hold it in possession for sale or disposal by gift to a bona fide purchaser or donee,
 - (i) as a pet,
 - (ii) for use in hunting, or
 - (iii) for working purposes; or
- (c) sell the dog or cat to the operator of a registered research facility in Ontario who has requested the operator of the pound to sell him a dog or cat, as the case may be.

(7) Notwithstanding subsection 5 or 6, the operator of a pound may destroy or cause or permit to be destroyed any dog or cat that has been impounded in the pound where, Where dog or cat may be destroyed

- (a) the person who owned the dog or cat before it came into the possession of the operator of the pound has requested in writing that the dog or cat be destroyed;
- (b) an inspector or veterinarian has ordered that the dog or cat be destroyed pursuant to subsection 11;
- (c) the dog or cat has been impounded in the pound for the redemption period and the operator of the pound has satisfied all requests referred to in clause c of subsection 6 from operators of research facilities; or
- (d) during the redemption period, the dog or cat is in a pound and,
 - (i) is ill or injured and in his opinion is incapable of being so cured or healed as to live thereafter without suffering, and

1-25(1)

ANIMALS FOR RESEARCH

- (ii) he has satisfied all requests referred to in clause c of subsection 6 from operators of research facilities.
- (8) Where the operator of a pound sells a dog or cat to the operator of a research facility under subsection 6, the price of the dog or cat,
- (a) where no maximum price has been prescribed in the regulations in respect of the dog or cat, shall not exceed a price that is reasonable having regard to all the circumstances; or
 - (b) shall not exceed the maximum price prescribed in the regulations in respect of the dog or cat.
- (9) In addition to the price paid for a dog or cat under clause b of subsection 8, the operator of a pound may require the operator of a research facility to pay such amount as is prescribed in the regulations in respect of the care, treatment, food and accommodation of a dog or cat.
- (10) Where a dog or cat is sold or otherwise disposed of in a manner referred to in subsection 6, no person shall make any payment in respect of the dog or cat to the operator of the pound or any person employed therein but shall make such payment in the manner and to such other person as is prescribed in the regulations.
- (11) An inspector or veterinarian may order a dog or cat to be destroyed,
- (a) where, during the redemption period, the dog or cat is in a pound and is ill or injured and, in the opinion of the inspector or veterinarian, is incapable of being so cured or healed as to live thereafter without suffering; or
 - (b) where the dog or cat,
 - (i) is in a pound, supply facility or research facility,
 - (ii) has not, where it is in a pound, been redeemed by its owner within the redemption period, and
 - (iii) is, in the opinion of the inspector or veterinarian, not suitable for use in research by reason of ill health, injury, malnutrition, excessive age or other infirmity.
- (12) Where the operator of a pound has in his possession a dog or cat that is impounded pursuant to a by-law of a local municipality, he shall at all times identify the dog or cat in such manner as is prescribed in the regulations.
- (13) This section does not apply to an animal that by reason of being suspected of being infected with any communicable disease is confined in a pound pursuant to *The Public Health Act* or the

Rate price
of dog or
cat

Additional
amount
payable

No payment
to be made
to operator
of pound

Order for
destruction
of dog
or cat

Identification
of
dog or cat

Exception
as to
certain
animals
R.S.O. 1970,
c. 377

FINNIE 1.

APKEN D 1256



25 Adelaide Street East, Toronto, Ontario M5C 1H3

Telephone

Larry Henderson's Comments

Friday, January 14, 1977

Cats on leash

File today's comment in the "Isn't it amazing department." Mayor Ron Searle of Mississauga - whom I just finished voting for - is now telling me I have to walk my cat on a leash. I haven't got a cat, but that's beside the point. If I did have a cat, do you think I would walk it on a leash?

We started having this trouble with finicky neighbours and finicky Mayors in Mississauga about five years ago. I am a 30 year resident and have lived in the same house before most of Mississauga was built. All of a sudden, along come these people who wanted me to tie up Tippy and put Tabby on a leash. They also want us to follow our pets around with portable toilet facilities.

It astounds me how clean indeed we have suddenly become. It is somehow typical that in an age when human beings have begun behaving like dogs and cats, they want animals to behave like human beings.

All forms of public sex are now in. They tell the kids how to do it at school with movies and the kids act it out all over the place. Sex parties are in, decency is out. Abortions are skyrocketing. Porn proliferates at the corner drug store. Nudie magazines with tips on how to enjoy adultery are delivered free to the door. Three out of four new marriages are breaking up. Violence on TV is unrestricted. Paki-bashing is a popular school sport. Crime is at an all time high. That is Mississauga 1977.

But when it comes to the toilet habits of our cats and dogs -- boy, are we pure! I actually know a lady who has taught her cat to use the toilet and mew to have it flushed. So help me!

Personally, I would prefer we put some of our kids on leashes and let our feline and canine friends go free. It's all a case of overcompensation. If we weren't so dirty-minded ourselves, we wouldn't worry about poor Tabby and Tip.

I love him! Larry

RADIO ROGERS LIMITED



City of Mississauga

MEMORANDUM

To Animal Control Committee

Dept. _____

From Keith A. Cowan, P. Eng.
Director of Building Standards
Engineering, Works and Building

September 22nd, 1975

REQUEST NO. 762 & 903
CLERK'S FILE NO. 108-75

SUBJECT

Brief Submitted by the Peoples Animal Welfare Society organization on June 11th, 1975 to General Committee.

ORIGIN

General Committee Report dated June 11th, 1975, Item 605.

COMMENTS

The writer has reviewed the proposal referred to above and wishes to report as follows.

The main thrust of the brief is as follows:-

1. It is desirable that the number of unwanted dogs and cats in this municipality be kept to a minimum.
2. It is preferable that this be accomplished through birth control rather than by the killing of animals already born.
3. Many people are unwilling to pay more than \$20.00 to have their pet sterilized.
4. The municipality should step in and provide low cost pet sterilization at clinics established for this purpose.

The brief quite forcefully makes the case that the principal deterrent to pet sterilization is cost and then concludes that the only way to provide the service at an affordable price is to have the municipality do the work.

Facts and figures to back up these conclusions are drawn principally from the experience of the City of Los Angeles where such municipal clinics are operated.

In order for the Municipality to become involved in such a service, it should be clearly established that there is a need above and beyond the solution offered by existing private means. In other words, are there no facilities available at this time in Mississauga for adequately performing this service, or is it simply the price that is in question?

Continued.....

The yellow pages of the local Telephone Directory list approximately twenty-five (25) small animal hospitals in this area. Therefore, I think it can be assumed that sufficient facilities exist: that leaves us with only one real consideration and that is the cost.

Assuming that low cost sterilization is desirable, (particularly for people of modest incomes such as pensioners and the disabled) is the Municipality the logical agent to provide such a service? Comparing ourselves with the Los Angeles experience can be quite misleading. For example the numbers of animals concerned are quite different. We in Mississauga are dealing with the annual processing of perhaps 2,500 animals whereas the Los Angeles figures represent about fifty times that many. One must also ask whether the socio-economic situation in the problem area is the same.

In studying the Los Angeles experience, one is drawn to the conclusion that they had an enormous problem which had gotten out of control and which called for drastic action. I do not think we can say that Mississauga has reached such a crisis situation.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that, while there is a growing problem in this municipality involving unwanted dogs and cats, there is no clear indication at this time that the City of Mississauga should establish low cost spay/neuter clinics. The points brought out in the PAWS brief, however, are quite valid and steps should be taken by the Municipality to encourage the sterilization of pets using existing facilities or additional facilities set up by those knowledgeable in this field.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Schedule of License Fees for Dogs in Mississauga be reviewed by staff to ensure that there is sufficient incentive in the present fee structure to encourage the spaying/neutering of dogs.
2. That the Local Chapter of the Academy of Veterinary Medicine be informed of the concern of this Council regarding the number of unwanted pets being born and requesting their comments on what steps could be taken to make available low cost pet sterilization to people of modest incomes.
3. That the PAWS organization be complimented for bringing attention to the problem and that they be encouraged to carry out further educational programs amongst the general public to make pet owners aware of their responsibilities towards this growing problem.

KAC/ic

Kalwan.

Keith A. Cowan, P. Eng.
Director of Building Standards

1-24

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	792
DATE	JAN 26 1977
FILE NO.	146-77
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	

Maitlen - Ont
44 TIT9
Jan 21/76.

Dear Sir,

This morning I went out to get my Maitlen Petot and spread out on my porch was a garbage bag which I had put out the night before. You can imagine how mad I was. I had stay outside in the cold and pick it all up. I cannot say if it was a cat or dog but which ever I am really mad at the animals running loose. There is always cats on my window sill, in the backyard and on three occasions I found a cat roaming inside my house. I put my canary for outside for a bit of fresh air (in the summer) a cat attacked the cage tipped it over and killed the canary. I could never leave my babies outside to sleep because I was afraid the cats would climb inside the cage. In other words I am fed up of all these animals. I definitely think

I-26a)
If people have to animals they should
be able to look after them. I would
like to get a dog for my kids but I
realise that I would have to keep it
off people's lawn. In the summer
I can hardly walk on the lawn back
or front without stepping on something

So please, I beg of you to
pass this bylaw or do something
to keep all the animals in the house
where they belong.

Yours truly
Mrs A. Frattile

P.S. I have dogs so do not get me
wrong.

I-27

126 Hanson Rd,
Messinaga, Ont
Jan. 13/77

City Council,
Messinaga, Ont.

Dear Sirs:

I wish to voice my objection to
the proposed cat breaking by-law.

Cats, by their nature, cannot and
will not be registered & a leash.
They are quiet, clean and do not
give the problems which unleashed
dogs do.

I hope you will reconsider this
most unrealistic law, as it is just
not possible to keep cats confined to
the house permanently, or to keep them on
a leash.

Yours Truly,
Barbara Vaughan

Jan 13/77
126 Hanson
Miss, Ontario

I-28

Mississauga City Council,

I am very disturbed about the proposed by-law that cats must be put on a leash.

Cats are by nature ,clean,quiet and do not give any problems that unleashed dogs do.I think it is unrealistic to think that you can put a leash on a cat!

For one thing,the cat would inevitably try to get the leash off, possibly leading to strangulation.There are several cats in my neighbourhood and they don't present any problems what so ever.


Also it is impossible to fence a cat in;the cat would just jump or crawl over the fence.

I would just like to say again that I protest against your new cat law.

sincerely,

Gary Vaughan

Gary Vaughan



I-29

37 Indian Valley Trail
Mississauga, Ontario
278-6625, 279-3432

January 26, 1977

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 914
DATE JAN 31 1977
FILE NO. 146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

City of Mississauga
1 City Centre Drive
MISSISSAUGA, Ontario

ATTENTION: Honourable Ron Searle

Honourable Searle:

The new by-law now being considered by the City of Mississauga pertaining to the leashing of cats appear to be one of the most asinine pieces of legislation ever conceived.

To foist the problem of enforcing such a law upon an overworked police force just doesn't make sense. The complications stagger the imagination. The average family cat is a well cared for pet which seldom roams far from its own garden, is clean and well mannered, doesn't rob garbage or become a general nuisance in the neighbourhood.

Rather than attempt to introduce a new law that will be virtually impossible to enforce, and a cruel act as well, it might be advisable to introduce licensing for cats making the requirement for obtaining a license for cats to be kept as pets to be neutered.

This would help to eliminate the small percentage of cats who are in-humanly abandoned by their owners to become strays to roam the streets. These poor creatures are responsible for the howling and fighting which have given the cat a bad name.

Many good habits and traits could be mentioned, but this is not the purpose of this letter.

Yours sincerely,

Jackie Wiggett

Jackie Wiggett

JW/lf

c.c. Terry Julian, Clerk of the City of Mississauga
John Stewart, Mississauga Times
Editor, Mississauga News

Boreal Laboratories Ltd., 1820 Mattawa Avenue, Mississauga, Ontario L4X 1K6

Suppl
D-1

February 25, 1977

City of Mississauga
1 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L5B 1M2

Attn: Mr. Terrance Julian, City Clerk

Reference: Animal Control By-Law
No. 641-76
File No. 146-77

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. <i>1887</i>
DATE FEB 28 1977
FILE NO. <i>146-77</i>
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Dear Mr. Julian:

Thank you for your letter of February 17th in response to our request for a wording change to the proposed animal control By-Law.

We understand that the by-law has only received first reading and representatives of our company will attend the meeting on Monday, March 14th to answer any questions or elaborate if necessary on our proposal.

Yours very truly,

Paul T. Heron
Paul T. Heron
President

PTH/jl

Boreal Laboratories Ltd., 1820 Mattawa Avenue, Mississauga, Ontario L4X 1K6

February 16, 1977

City of Mississauga
1 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L5B 1M2

Attention: Mr. Terrance Julian, City Clerk

Reference: By-Law number 641-76

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	1506
DATE	FEB 16 1977
FILE NO.	146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

Dear Sir:

This letter requests consideration of a change in the wording of the Animal Control By-Law No. 641-76.

We applaud the general intent of the by-law, which is to restrict the number of animals kept by any one person, and to ensure humane treatment of all animals maintained within the city.

However, in its present form, the by-law could also be interpreted to restrict Boreal Laboratories in its well-established business of supplying living zoological material to schools and universities. In order to pursue this business, we must maintain cultures of protozoa, insects, fish and small mammals on a continuous basis.

Because the by-law defines "animals" in section 1 subsection (1) as "any live vertebrate or non-vertebrate", and because we are not designated in section 2 subsection (2), the Animal Control By-law threatens to force us to close this important part of our business.

We believe that this is not the intent of the by-law. Boreal has operated in Mississauga for more than twenty years. In 1976 we employed over thirty people and paid \$6,200.00 in taxes to the City. More important, our activity in no way violates the intent of 641-76.


D-1(L)

We propose, therefore, that our company be designated in section 2 sub-section 2 by amending paragraph "g" to read:

" (g) an educational institution or supplier thereof "

I will be pleased to meet with any members of Council, or have them visit our facilities if this will assist them in considering our proposal.

Yours very truly,



Paul T. Heron
President

DELIVERED BY HAND

PTH/j1

The Dixie



Racing Pigeon Club

PRESIDENT

Joe Petre

MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO

SECRETARY-TREASURER

Stan Blakely


To the City Clerk of Mississauga:

We would like to notify you, that the Dixie Racing Pigeon Club of Mississauga would like to make a Deputation at the Council meeting in regard to the proposed animal Bylaw.

Yours truly,

Heinz Goeckel

Heinz Goeckel
Race Secretary
1107 Crestdale Road
Mississauga, Ontario
L5H 1X6




D-3

1114 Shadeland Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L5C 1P1

February 11, 1977

Mr. Terence L. Julian, A.M.C.T.
City Clerk
City of Mississauga
2nd Floor
1 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L5B 1M2



Dear Mr. Julian:


Re: Proposed Animal Control By-law No. 641-76

Please find attached our Brief to the City of Mississauga Council on the above mentioned subject, Section 4 - Animal Running at Large.

We do not wish to elaborate on our written presentation, but will be present at the Council Meeting on March 14, 1977 and would hope that there will be a forum for interchange between Council and the citizens attending the meeting.

We trust this is satisfactory.

Yours truly,


Joanne E. Gould Haines


Richard C. Haines

Attached

c.c. Mayor R. Searle
City of Mississauga

Mr. Fred Hooper
Councillor - Ward 6

February 11, 1977.

D-3(a)

BRIEF TO THE
CITY OF MISSISSAUGA COUNCIL

Re: Proposed Animal Control By-law No. 641-76
Section 4 - Animal Running at Large

The proposed by-law to regulate, restrict or prohibit the keeping of certain animals within the City of Mississauga, (especially Section 4 - Animal Running at Large - Clause 4 (1), (2), (3), (5))^{*} concerns us at this time due to the detrimental effect it has on animals (specifically in this brief - cats), and also the infringement on our rights as citizens, tax payers and conscientious neighbours.

We agree with the concept of animal control, but feel it is important to define methods of implementing control. Councillor H. Spence, who was chairman of the animal control committee, was quoted as saying "people who have to get on this emotional bandwagon about animals will stop reasonable regulations". We suggest that it is perhaps Councillor Spence who is getting on the reactionary bandwagon by the former Animal Control Committee and subsequent Sub-Committee appointed by Council, substituting irrational solutions for reasonable answers to animal control. There are more rational and humane ways to effect control of the animal population than indicated in the proposed by-law now being considered by Council. We desire that the TRUE PROBLEMS are considered before passing regulations which could prove difficult, and costly, to implement. Appeasing complainers with such a by-law is not going to solve the animal problem. Moreover, regulations such as 4.5⁽¹⁾ can seriously harm community spirit.

A more realistic appraisal of problems related to animal control can be divided into three major areas:

1. Overpopulation;
2. People Problem; and
3. Nuisance Problem.

SOLUTIONS

1. OVERPOPULATION

In a recent quote in a Mississauga paper Councillor Spence has identified the crux of the animal problem -- "increasingly domestic and especially stray animals are running at large".

D-3(4)

SOLUTIONS (continued)

to bring in an animal control by-law of questionable effect, let's aim to control stray cats before restricting the movements of ALL cats.

Licensing

The existing program for licensing dogs could serve as an example for cat licensing with the same stipulation that license tags be worn at all times. Furthermore, neutered/spayed cats would be charged a reduced fee, thereby providing an incentive to spay or neuter. Animal control officers could then determine which cats were indeed strays. A peaceful death for non-adoptive animals would be more humane than death through neglect, abuse, improper food, freezing temperatures and lack of medical care.

Spaying & Neutering

Once licensing is underway a concerted effort should be made to reduce the animal population by encouraging spaying and neutering. Lack of owner control over female animals in heat and indiscriminate breeding of animals by owners, aggravated by the stray problem, cause the proliferation of unwanted animals and prolong a never-ending cycle of animal neglect.

Reasonably priced and/or subsidized spaying and neutering clinics must be set up in Mississauga. Such clinics would reduce the methodical killing of dogs and cats and will save money.(2)

Licensing would :

- (a) PROTECT the animal and the owner in case of loss
- (b) PERMIT more accurate records of the cat population
- (c) SIMPLIFY the job of the Ontario Humane Society in controlling unowned strays and unwanted pets, by aiding identification of unowned cats.

(2) - Refer to Appendix B.

2.3(4)
PEOPLE PROBLEM

The "people problem" is synonymous with the overpopulation problem. A vigorous campaign is needed to educate pet owners of their social responsibilities and of proper pet care.

Inform the public of the number of animals killed annually. For example, in Mississauga in 1974, 5,578 dogs and cats (unwanted and stray) were destroyed. In 1973, 2,000 dogs were impounded and 743 were killed.

In 1974, Mr. Tom Hughes of the Ontario Humane Society stated that in 1973, 2750 cats had been picked up from owners who didn't want them. The Toronto Humane Society indicated recently that 5,000 dogs and 12,000 cats are destroyed annually. (3) Obviously a by-law to restrict the movements of animals does not solve the major problem experienced here.

I suggest that an advertising campaign be launched to destroy the myths prevalent regarding spaying/neutering and reproduction.

3. NUISANCE PROBLEM

From experience, I know it is impossible to keep a cat from occasionally strolling over someone else's property. Cats do not lend themselves to confinement, and they do not walk easily on a leash. Spayed and neutered animals do not have the motivation to roam and, therefore, will stay on their own property the majority of the time.

In increasingly crowded urban centres, the nuisance problem is the real nub of complaints. Everyone, everywhere suffers from some nuisance caused by others which he/she would prefer eradicated. By reducing the number of unwanted cats and strays, we then reduce a portion of the nuisance problem. By being tolerant and considerate, everyone then contributes to a harmonious neighbourhood.

Cat owners are also putting up with nuisance items from neighbours. Maybe it's the neighbour's teenage children with their car windows open wide, loitering the drive, while every ear in the area is assaulted by the radio's loud rock; maybe it's the mother or father who cannot enjoy the great outdoors without music blasting from the radio; maybe it's the neighbours themselves, fighting or carousing

D-3(4)

3. NUISANCE PROBLEM (continued)

until dawn; or a child squalling; or the conscientious person out too early with his power mower or snowblower; or the silent irritation of an overhanging branch dropping its maple keys; or the blowing acrid smoke from the barbecue.....


But no reasonable person complains about such things. No one writes letters to the newspapers and councillors, or presses criminal charges. If we could reduce the number of homeless cats and dogs, then we would wish everyone could live and let live.

In conclusion, we suggest a three-prong attack:

1. licensing to determine unwanted and uncared for animals;
2. a public education program; and
3. curtailment of reproduction through spaying and neutering.

Let's tackle the animal control problem realistically rather than placing an impractical by-law on cat owners. When Council speaks of animal control, the implication is killing. This is a cruel method of control compared to the alternatives. We believe there are reasonable alternatives, if the specifics of the problem are faced and resolved by an involved and conscientious Council and community.


Joanne E. Gould Haines


Richard C. Haines

11/2/77

D-3(2)

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
BY-LAW NUMBER 641-76

APPENDIX -- A

A By-law to regulate, restrict or prohibit
the keeping of certain animals within the
City of Mississauga or defined areas thereof.

DEFINITIONS

- (2) "Animals" means any live non-human vertebrate or invertebrate, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing includes domestic animals, animals raised for commercial purposes, animals kept as working animals, pets or for hobby purposes.

ANIMAL RUNNING AT LARGE

- * 4. (1) For the purpose of this by-law, any animal shall be considered to be running at large when found on a highway or other public place, or on premises other than the premises upon which it is habitually kept, if not under the control of any person, and an animal shall be deemed not to be under the control of any person unless accompanied by an attendant who shall exercise control over the said animal at all times.
- * (2) No owner of any animal, shall allow such animal to run at large, or trespass upon private property within the limits of the City of Mississauga even when such animal is on a leash.
- (3) Any animal running at large contrary to the provisions of this by-law may be impounded and may be sold as hereinafter provided.
- (4) Any person who owns, harbours or possesses any animal as a pet shall clean up after it.
- * (5) Any person may capture in a humane way any animal running at large and trespassing on his property and deliver same to the poundkeeper or Animal Control Officer.
- (6) An Animal Control Officer, or other duly appointed officer, may enter on any public property, or on private property with the consent of the owner or tenant of the property, for the purpose of catching any animal, running at large contrary to the provisions of this by-law.

TITLE

16. This by-law may be referred to as "The Animal Control By-law".

DATE BY-LAW IN FORCE AND EFFECT

17. This By-law comes into force and effect thirty (30) days after final passing thereof.

ENACTED and PASSED this day of , 1976.

MAYOR

CLERK

1ST READING: *NOVEMBER 24th, 1976.*

APPROVED
City Solicitor
MISSISSAUGA

11/24/76

2ND READING:

3RD READING:

SCHEDULE "A" TO BY-LAW NO. 641-76

SCHEDULE OF REDEMPTION FEES

EFFECTIVE AS OF THE DATE OF PASSING HEREOF

ANIMAL RUNNING AT LARGE (within a six month period)

First Time Impounded	-	\$ 5.00
Second Time Impounded	-	\$10.00
Each Subsequent Time Impounded Thereafter: Redemption Fee for previous Time Impounded plus additional	-	\$ 5.00

Plus a maintenance sum of two dollars (\$2.00) for each day subsequent to the day of impounding that the animal remains impounded.

D-3. (4)

D-3(8)

10 The Toronto Sun, Monday, January 31, 1977



Toronto's Other Voice

EDWARD DUNLOP, President
DOUGLAS CREIGHTON, Publisher
DONALD HUNT, General Manager
PETER WORTHINGTON, Editor-in-Chief
ED MONTEITH, Managing Editor
220 King St. E., Toronto M5A 2K5, 360-8111



J. D. MacFARLANE, Editorial Director

It pays to spay!

The Toronto Humane Society is to be commended for opening a reasonably-priced spay-neuter clinic, starting Feb. 7. Never mind that this should have been done long ago, thank goodness it is starting now.

Instead of paying an average \$60 to \$100 for a vet to spay a female dog or cat, the THS will charge \$25 to spay a female and \$15 to "neuter" (ugh!) a male. City Council and the THS have dragged their feet on such a clinic for years — partly because they feared opposition from veterinarians who might see this as taking business from them. Creeping socialism and all that. And partly because they didn't understand.

There may be opposition all right — but it is misguided. In fact such clinics are likely to increase vets' business! This is what has happened in Los Angeles where a spay-neuter program has resulted in savings. LA figures every \$1 spent on such low-cost clinics has resulted in a savings of \$6.50 over 10 years. Not bad.

Looked at from another aspect, what such a clinic will do for Toronto is to reduce the methodical killing of dogs and cats. And will save money.

Traditionally when humane societies talk of pet "control" they mean killing them. The THS estimates there are some 42,000 dogs and 44,000 cats in Toronto proper. Over 10% of these are "put down" every year — bumped off. Some 75% of the dogs that come into the humane society have to be killed, aren't "adopted." That's a crude cruel way of control compared to preventing dogs and cats from breeding. (In LA the killing rate has dropped by over 20% since spay clinics have been started).

There are no flaws in the spay-neuter program — providing people use it. We hope the message will be spread. It is not only helpful to the community, it leads to better lives for pets which have to have all their shots before the operation. That means the vets make a bit of lolly too.

The Borough of York led the way in Metro for spay-neuter clinics. Those with concern for the welfare of animals and a sense of responsibility to the community will do what they can to see that the program succeeds. We'll do our bit. . .

New spaying clinic opens

Destroying unwanted and lost pets

By DONALD GRANT

Every day, Margaret Cook gives as many as 25 dogs and cats at the Toronto Humane Society a loving pat on the head.

"Maybe it's an apology from me. I just kind of pat it or pet it," the young mother said almost tearfully. "Maybe it's an apology from the human race—an irresponsible public."

For when Mrs. Cook lovingly touches the animal, she's made a fateful decision. It's one of the number scheduled to be destroyed that day.

"Sometimes I make four or five rounds of the kennels," she said. "But I still haven't chosen which ones are to live and which ones are to die. I find I'm actually avoiding it."

But the time for a decision must come.

"Suddenly, I realize the technicians are waiting downstairs and I must choose. I know it has to be done—

there's no alternative."

Mrs. Cook remembers the thousands of animals that humane society workers bring into the pound. "... the suffering, cruelty and abandonment. At least the animals I put down today will never suffer again."

She looks forward to the spaying and castration clinic that the society opens today as a birth control measure that could reduce the need to destroy animals.

The last census of the animal world in the city of Toronto showed there were 44,000 dogs and 42,000 cats—one animal for every seven people living in the centre of Metro.

In an attempt to cut down on this burgeoning animal population, the society will spay cats and dogs for \$25, while castrations will cost \$15.

The society expects some adverse reaction from the private veterinarians who, selected at random last fall,

quoted fees of \$30 to \$60 for the simplest spaying or castration operation up to a high of \$100.

Fran Agnew, the society's public relations officer, said yesterday that the humane society will continue to spay or castrate animals for welfare cases for a donation.

Miss Agnew said the society can't afford to keep the strays for days.

* About 5,600 dogs and 12,000 cats are destroyed annually.

Mrs. Cook said there were criteria for the fatal selection, usually age and the availability for adoption.

"I can fool myself some of the time," she said, "but not all of the time. No matter how you look at it, it's still killing."

Even after three years of decision-making, Mrs. Cook said, "sometimes I have nightmares. There's that horrible fear of a mistake that can't be reversed.

"I look at them in a pile when it's over. It haunts me. If there is a God in Heaven and I have to justify, what then?"

Three young animal health technicians, Michelle Blagdon, Dorothy Bonham and Vicki Blak, trained at community colleges like nurses, administer first the tranquilizers and then the barbiturate needle.

"It takes about three seconds," Miss Blak said. "But it gets pretty difficult. It's not the easiest part of the job."

Miss Blak said they must "realize the dogs and cats could be out in the street getting crushed by cars, into garbage or getting diseases of the street. It's just not fair to let them live in that environment."

But, like Mrs. Cook, Miss Blak has the same bad dreams about destroying animals.

"You wake up in the night, wondering what you're doing."

7-3(L)

D-4

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 911
DATE JAN 31 1977
FILE NO. 146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

1357 Avonbridge Tr.,
Mississauga, Ont.,
Jan. 27, 1977.

LSG 346

Mr. Chief City Clerk,
City of Mississauga,
Mississauga, Ont.

Dear Sir:

I understand that those who wish to speak on the proposed Animal Control by-law at the March 14th meeting must register with your office. Would you therefore be good enough to let my name go to those who will be presenting this's next evening.

Sincerely yours,

Robert T. Hurson

Robert T. Hurson.

46 PLAINSHAW ROAD,
STREETSVILLE, ONT.
14 FEB 77

CITY CLERK
CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
1 CITY CENTRE DRIVE
MISSISSAUGA, ONT.

Dear Sir,

This will serve as notice that it is my intention to
effect a presentation to council of my views on the
proposed animal control bylaw.

Please make the necessary arrangements and advise me of
the details.

R.A. Keeping

R.A. Keeping



D-6

Erin Mills Pet Hospital
2400 DUNWIN DRIVE
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO

JOHN R. MCKENZIE D.V.M.

TEL: (416) 828-9691

Mr. Terrance Julian,
City Clerk,
City Of Mississauga.

Dear Mr. Julian,

When I was a member of the 'Animal Control Committee' we were given a copy of a proposed cat control by-law. It was thoroughly discussed.

In my opinion such a law is unenforceable and therefore not applicable for this city.

Cats, by their very nature, are extremely difficult to capture. If cornered, most cats will strike out- biting and scratching, in frenzy. Dogs, on the other hand, lend themselves to easier capture often coming right up to the warden. As it is now, the dog control enforcement in this city is far from adequate. To add cat control would mean additional staff and vehicles and holding facilities, thus adding a greater financial burden to the taxpayers.


If a City Council expects residents of a city to be law abiding, then, it is their responsibility to draft sensible laws which are enforceable. Council is wasting their time and more important the time and money of their constituents by drafting legislation which will only sit on the books because it is unenforceable.

The proposed cat control by-law represents such a law.

I would like to add my opposition to the proposed by-law along with the many voices of the citizens I am sure you will hear at the proposed public meeting on this issue.

Yours sincerely

John R. McKenzie
John R. McKenzie, D.V.M.





City of Mississauga

MEMORANDUM

To MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL From L. M. McGillivray
Dept. Clerk's

March 11, 1977


ADDITIONAL ITEMS FOR
SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
ANIMAL CONTROL BY-LAW MEETING
MARCH 14, 1977, 7:30 P.M.

1. DEPUTATION

- (a) Mrs. Margaret Jones
1254 St. Marys Avenue
Mississauga

2. CORRESPONDENCE

- (1) Mrs. Gisela von Goetz
1550 Glenburnie Road
Mississauga
- (2) Mrs. W. Morison
Bob-o-Link Road
Mississauga,
- (3) A. Oehr
2377 Wadding Cr.
Mississauga


L. M. McGillivray
Deputy City Clerk

LMM/sjc
encl.

Mrs. Gisela von Goetz
1550 Glenburnie Rd.
Mississauga.

March 9, 1977

RECEIVED
REGISTRY NO. 283
DATE MAR 10 1977
FILE NO. 104-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Mississauga City Council.
Re: Animal control.

Ladies and Gentlemen,

Since I moved to Mississauga several years ago the insistence of my fellow citizens to let their pets roam has caused me considerable and unnecessary harm and annoyance.

The days when my child was bitten by a dog, my barbeque was eaten by another, I was marooned in my house while a terrifying two ome had taken over my garden (Until the Humane Society rescued me!) are only the more colourful confrontations with my neighbours' passion for animals. My hobby of wild flower gardening is constantly being frustrated by dogs trampling or cats rolling on my painstakingly raised seedlings.

So are my evening walks. The direction they take is largely determined by the canine population. Admittedly being afraid of dogs I change my course whenever a snarling growling animal blocks my way in an idiotic attempt to defend a public street against being used by a trespasser. (On Donnelly Drive they, the dogs not the trespassers,

1 (a)

travel in packs).


Regarding the cat by-law: has it not occurred to anyone that apart from the alternative "leash or loose " there exists a rational and practical choice, namely have cats confined to their owners homes. Thousands of cats (and dogs for that matter) are living happily in apartments without the benefit of other peoples gardens eating cat food instead of birds. Last summer my friend's pet bird, caged outside in a completely fenced garden was pulled through the wires piece by piece by a neighbours cat.

As far as I am concerned Mississaugans should be allowed to keep any kind of animal as long as they do not impose with their hobby on others who certainly have the right to hobbies of their own like gardening, walking, barbecuing etc. Not to mention ^{freedom from} ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ chores like cleaning up the lawn before cutting the grass and collecting the garbage before the truck arrives.

I am only one of many who find the situation intolerable and who hope that council will have the courage to deal with it effectively.

Sincerely yours,

Gregory Hertz



St. Louis Road,
Mississippi, La
March 4 1977.

Dear Sirs:

As a long time resident of Mississippi (Barkham area) I would like to comment on the cat bylaw. I do not hate cats but I have a large dog which is kept close to our house in a dog pen in our large garden. He is a water dog and at eight years of age does a little barking mostly when anyone or anything comes on our property - which is why we have him. He is never allowed to run loose to mess up other people's property - but I wish you could see the dogs that come in and mess up our lawn & annoy him. A few of our neighbors have cats who continually come in & then he doesn't stop barking until we go out & chase the cat - they know he can't get out! This is rather tiresome as the barking annoys everyone - especially us.

These cats also at times get in the garage & walk on our cars & all over our patio furniture & dig up my flowers which our dog does not do.

I see no reason why a cat cannot be contained & I would like to see heavy fines put on people who let their dogs run loose - garbage day is

201
a disgrace & there are 2 or 3 who come with
our mail men every day & roost around in the
shrub & try to get in the house - I don't feed up
with paying 10. to keep my dog penned up & have
them alter feeling my lawn! Maybe if you
charged 25. for dogs who were penned more
people would do it. All the Spaying in the world
won't stop people letting their dogs run. How
about a little help for us citizens who try
to obey the law?


Mrs. W. B. Merison.

A. Oehr
2377 Wadding Cr.
Mississauga, Ont.

March 3, 1977

3

City Council
One City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ont.



Re: Animal Control By-Law

Gentlemen:

I support the by-law strongly which forces animal owners to keep any animal within their property borders, and enforces heavy fines to make the law stick.

It is the responsibility of any pet-owner not to inconvenience other people with their pets, or even endanger their health originating from all the foul-ups on property. Protecting human beings comes first, and not so-called love for animals, misused to an incredible degree in the suburb. We object to many things, e.g.

- 1) Foulups on lawns and drive ways by cats and dogs, attracting even more droppings from other roaming animals. It is a serious health hazard and an aggravation, when cutting lawns.
- 2) Incredible stench from cat droppings and urine on porches and front entrances, caused by cats which normally start using one place as "W-C" and keep on using it. All cats in our street roam at day and night and must be kept indoors or on a leash.
- 3) Damage to bark of expensive trees and bushes by cats sharpening their nails. We have lost several specimens which took many years to grow. Any law must keep cats inside their owners property.
- 4) Breaking up of garbage bags by roaming dogs. That is common, and must be controlled strictly. Again, a very serious health hazard.
- 5) Barking of dogs kept outside during the night.

It is difficult to call police every time an animal offends other people or a by-law. We suggest that a citizen complaint phone number is set-up which will take complaints and in turn phone the pet owner, informing him of the complaint. If such complaints do not bring results, police and heavy fines should be the solution. Police spend plenty of time on traffic offenders, maybe humans will get a break in the future and the time is spent on chasing cats and dogs.

Sincerely yours,

cc: Fred Hooper

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	2292
DATE	MAR 10 1977
FILE NO.	146-77
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	